

FROM DOUBLE HECKE ALGEBRA TO FOURIER TRANSFORM

IVAN CHEREDNIK [†] AND VIKTOR OSTRIK [‡]

The paper is mainly based on the series of lectures on the one-dimensional double Hecke algebra delivered by the first author at Harvard University in 2001. It also contains the material of other talks (MIT, University Paris 6) and new results. The most interesting is the classification of finite dimensional representations.

Concerning the proofs, we followed the principle, *the more proof the better*, which the first author adopted during his studies in combinatorics. Quite a few theorems were proved twice in the lectures (sometimes even three times), using different tools. This is true as well in the paper.

Methods. There are deep classical origins and relations to the theory of special functions, old and new. We did not try to reconstruct systematically the history of the subject and review the connections either in the lectures or in the paper. There are many comments but they are fragmentary.

We recommend [M3, O2, C6, C10] to those who are interested in recent developments. Concerning Riemann and other great masters of Fourier analysis, see e.g., [Ed]. The book [An] is a good introduction to the theory of q -functions. See also [HO1],[He] about the relations to the spherical functions, and Helgason's notes about Harish-Chandra, the creator of the harmonic analysis on the symmetric spaces.

The focus is on the q -Fourier transform and the corresponding representations of the double affine Hecke algebra. This transform was introduced about five years ago as a q -generalization of the classical Hankel and Harish-Chandra transforms with deep relations to the Macdonald orthogonal polynomials, combinatorics, p -adic representations, Gaussian sums, and Verlinde algebras.

Date: November 2001.

[†] Partially supported by NSF grants DMS-0200276.

[‡] Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0098830.

The objective of the lectures was to convince the audience that the q -theory provides unification as well as simplification. In the paper, we closely follow the notes of the lectures. The exposition is based on the recent theory of the so-called nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials. See [D, O2, M3, KnS, C3, C4]. Opdam mentions in [O2] that a definition of the nonsymmetric polynomials (in the differential setup) was given in Heckman's unpublished lectures.

The case of A_1 is considered in the paper, with a reservation about the last section devoted to relations to the p -adic theory, which are discussed in complete generality. However the proofs are mainly of a general nature and can be transferred to the case of arbitrary (reduced) root systems. The paper is designed to be an introduction to the general multidimensional theory. There are some exceptions, mainly when the explicit formula for Macdonald's truncated theta function was used, which has no reasonable multidimensional counterparts. See [C5, C10] for the general theory.

Results. Let us mention the most interesting ones.

a) Detailed proof of the main formula for the q -Mellin transform found by the second author. It helps in controlling the spaces of analytic functions involved in the formula and is closely related to the analytic theory of the shift operator from [C9].

b) Integrating the Gaussian over $\mathbb{R}$ with respect to the q -measure, which leads to the Appell functions. It was performed by P. Etingof. The paper is mainly about imaginary integration and Jackson-type summation. Real integration completes the picture.

c) A new theory of the q -Fourier transform for the scalar product without the conjugation $q \mapsto q^{-1}$. This transform directly generalizes the one from [O2]. In a way, Opdam's w_0 is replaced by T_{w_0} , which is closely connected with [O3, O4].

d) The classification of irreducible finite dimensional representations of the double Hecke algebra, including the Fourier-invariant ones. It is closely connected with [C7, C10].

e) The limit to the p -adic Macdonald–Matsumoto theory [Mat] of spherical functions. It is partially based on the lecture of the first author at MIT (V. Kac's seminar). The transform from c) is used here. It develops the corresponding results from [C3].

Actually the lectures and the paper are more about the technique than about the results themselves. The main theorem of the course is

very simple to formulate: *q-Fourier transform is self-dual*. The problem was to justify, clarify, extend, and apply this fact.

The participants of the lectures helped a lot to achieve this goal. Our special thanks go to Pavel Etingof and David Kazhdan who organized the course, to Alexander Braverman, Dmitry Nikshych, and Alexander Polyshchuk.

The main part of the paper was prepared when the first author was appointed by the Clay Mathematics Institute.

CONTENTS

1. From Euler's integral to Gaussian sum	4
1.1. Euler's integral and Riemann's zeta	5
1.2. Fourier analysis	6
1.3. Selberg–Mehta–Macdonald formula	7
1.4. Hankel transform	8
1.5. Gaussian sums	10
2. Imaginary integration	11
2.1. Macdonald's measure	12
2.2. Meromorphic continuations	14
2.3. Using the constant term	15
2.4. Shift operator	18
2.5. Applications	20
3. Jackson and Gaussian sums	22
3.1. Sharp integration	22
3.2. Sharp shift–formula	25
3.3. Roots of unity	25
3.4. Gaussian sums	27
3.5. Etingof's theorem	29
4. Nonsymmetric Hankel transform	33
4.1. Operator approach	33
4.2. Nonsymmetric theory	35
4.3. Double H double prime	38
4.4. Finite dimensional representations	40
4.5. Truncated Hankel transform	42
5. DAHA and Macdonald's polynomials	43
5.1. Rogers' polynomials	44
5.2. Nonsymmetric polynomials	45
5.3. Double affine Hecke algebra	47
5.4. Back to Rogers' polynomials	50
5.5. Conjugated polynomials	51

6.	Four corollaries	52
6.1.	Basic definitions	53
6.2.	Creation operators	54
6.3.	Standard identities	55
6.4.	Change $k \mapsto k + 1$	57
6.5.	Shift-formula	58
6.6.	Proof of the shift-formula	60
7.	Difference Fourier transforms	62
7.1.	Functional representation	62
7.2.	Proof of the Master formula	64
7.3.	Topological interpretation	66
7.4.	Plancherel formulas	68
7.5.	Inverse transforms	72
8.	Generic finite dimensional representations	73
8.1.	Generic q , special k	73
8.2.	Additional series	79
8.3.	Fourier transform	81
8.4.	Roots of unity q , generic k	83
9.	Classification, Verlinde algebras	85
9.1.	The list	86
9.2.	Special spherical representations	88
9.3.	Perfect representations	94
10.	DAHA and the p -adic theory	98
10.1.	Affine Weyl group	98
10.2.	Affine Hecke algebra	99
10.3.	Deforming p -adic formulas	101
10.4.	Fourier transform	104
10.5.	The A_1 -case	106
	References	107

1. FROM EULER'S INTEGRAL TO GAUSSIAN SUM

Practically all results in the paper are parts of the following general program: *to connect the Gaussian sums at roots of unity with the Gauss integrals in one theory*. The key points of this program will be explained in the following three sections.

The technique is actually quite elementary. One needs to calculate a couple of intermediate q -integrals, similar to those considered by Ramanujan (see Askey's paper in [An]). There is nothing here beyond classical calculus. However it is not surprising that the connection between the Euler-Gauss integral and the Gaussian sums was not established in the 19-th century. The q -functions and q -integrals reached a proper level of maturity later, as well as general understanding of the importance of roots of unity and p -adic methods.

1.1. Euler's integral and Riemann's zeta. We begin with one of the most famous classical formulas:

$$(1.1) \quad 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} x^{2k} dx = \Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2}),$$

where k is a complex number with $\Re k > -\frac{1}{2}$. Actually it is the best way to introduce the Γ -function, so it is more of a definition than a formula. Indeed, it readily results in

- (i) the functional equation $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$,
- (ii) the meromorphic continuation of $\Gamma(x)$ to all complex x ,
- (iii) the infinite Weierstrass product formula for Γ .

For $k = 0$, this formula reduces to the Poisson integral

$$(1.2) \quad 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} dx = \sqrt{\pi}.$$

Other remarkable special values are

$$\Gamma(n+1) = n! \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n}(n)!} \sqrt{\pi}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Formula (1.1) has tremendous applications in both mathematics and physics. In the first place, it gave birth to analytic number theory. The "perturbation" of (1.1)

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{|x|^{2k}}{e^{x^2} - 1} dx = \zeta(k + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2}), \quad \Re k > 0,$$

leads to the analytic continuation of Riemann's zeta function $\zeta(s)$ and the functional equation.

This is due to Euler and Riemann. It is interesting to mention that the left-hand side did appear in physics (Landau and Lifshitz) as a perturbation of (1.1).

There are three celebrated closely connected and entirely open problems concerning the behavior of $\zeta(k+1/2)$ in the critical strip $\{-1/2 < \Re k < 1/2\}$:

- (i) the Riemann hypothesis: all zeros are k -imaginary,
- (ii) the distribution of the zeros beyond the $T \log T$ -formula,
- (iii) the growth estimates in this strip as $\Im k \rightarrow \infty$.

Intensive computer experiments confirm (i) and strongly indicate that the imaginary parts of the zeros are distributed randomly subject to the approximate formula $T \log T$ for the number of zeros between 0 and iT . The latter means that essentially there is nothing to expect beyond the Riemann hypothesis. This problem is relatively recent. Note that there are also conjectural formulas for the higher correlators (Bogomolny *et.al.*), which are also in full harmony with the computer simulations (Odlyzko).

For instance, the connection of the zeros of the ζ on the critical line with the so-called Gramm points is too good to be true, as well as similar sophisticated qualitative "laws". Indeed, all of them were rejected by computers.

I think that quite a few specialists in the 19th century and at the beginning of the 20th century suspected that the zeros of the zeta function are far from being regular. Now we are almost certain that they are completely irrational, modulo Riemann's hypothesis.

1.2. Fourier analysis. A much more "rational" extension of (1.1) is the Fourier analysis. Instead of perturbing the Gaussian towards the zeta, we simply multiply it by the Bessel function. The corresponding integral, the Hankel transform of the Gaussian, can be calculated without difficulties. The theory is plane and square. All standard facts about the usual Fourier transform take place. The above program becomes: *to connect the Hankel transform and the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{Z}_N$ in one theory.*

A natural step is to go from the Hankel transform to its trigonometric variant, the Harish-Chandra spherical transform. Unfortunately the latter is worse than the Hankel transform. Actually the only features which completely survive in the Harish-Chandra theory are as follows:

- (i) the analytic description of the Fourier-image of the space of compactly supported functions,
- (ii) and the Harish-Chandra inversion formula, generalizing the self-duality of the Hankel transform.

Technically, we replace the measure $|x|^{2k}dx$, which makes the Bessel functions pairwise orthogonal, by $|\sinh(x)|^{2k}$, which governs the orthogonality of the hypergeometric and spherical functions. The first serious problem is that the integral

$$2 \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} \sinh(x)^{2k} dx$$

becomes transcendental apart from $k \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. When the Gaussian is multiplied by the generalized spherical or hypergeometric functions the integral gets even worse. It can be calculated exactly only when $k = 0$ and in the so-called group case $k = 1$. It is transcendental even for the classical spherical functions in the orthogonal and symplectic cases (when $k = 1/2, 2$).

Unfortunately we need the latter integral and similar ones, because they are nothing but the Harish-Chandra transforms of the Gaussian, which are important to know at almost all levels of the harmonic analysis on the symmetric spaces.

A bypass was suggested in [C2, C5]. We go from the Bessel function to the next *but one* level, the *basic hypergeometric function*, a q -generalization of the classical hypergeometric function. The resulting transform is self-dual, and has all other good properties of the Hankel transform. The paper contains a complete algebraic theory of this q -transform and elements of the analytic theory.

To explain this development we will begin with the Hankel transform, including elementary properties of Bessel functions from scratch. These functions were a must for quite a few generations of mathematicians but not anymore.

To put things in perspective, let us start with the following multidimensional counterpart of (1.1).

1.3. Selberg–Mehta–Macdonald formula. Let $\mathbb{R}^n$ be a Euclidean vector space with scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a reduced irreducible root system. For any root α , we set

$$\alpha^\vee = \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha, \alpha)}, \quad \tilde{\alpha} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha}{|\alpha|}.$$

Note that $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}) = 2$. We will need $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha > 0} \alpha$. Given $\alpha \in R$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\tilde{x}_\alpha = (x, \tilde{\alpha})$. By dx we mean the standard measure on the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 1.1. (*Mehta–Macdonald integral*) For any complex number k such that $\Re k > -\frac{1}{h^\vee}$, where $h^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number of R , we have the identity

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{\alpha \in R} |\tilde{x}_\alpha|^k e^{-(x,x)/2} dx = (2\pi)^{n/2} \prod_{\alpha > 0} \frac{\Gamma(k(\rho, \alpha^\vee) + k + 1)}{\Gamma(k(\rho, \alpha^\vee) + 1)}.$$

This formula was conjectured by Mehta for the root system of type A_n . Bombieri readily deduced it from Selberg’s integral. Then Macdonald formulated it in [M1] for all root systems and proved for the classical systems B, C, D . Finally Opdam found a uniform proof using the technique of shift operators [O1].

Example. Let us check the Mehta–Macdonald formula for the root system of type A_1 . In this case $n = 1$, and there is only one positive root $\alpha : (\alpha, \alpha) = 2$. So $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha^\vee = \alpha$, $\rho = \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $(\rho, \alpha^\vee) = 1$. Let $u = \tilde{x}_\alpha$. Then formula (1.3) reads as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u|^{2k} e^{-u^2/4} \frac{du}{\sqrt{2}} = (2\pi)^{1/2} \frac{\Gamma(2k + 1)}{\Gamma(k + 1)}.$$

Changing the variables and using the classical doubling formula for the Γ -function

$$2^{2k} \Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(2k + 1)}{\Gamma(k + 1)},$$

we get (1.1). Thus (1.3) is a generalization of formula (1.1) to higher rank root systems.

1.4. Hankel transform. For any complex number $k \notin -\frac{1}{2} - \mathbb{Z}_+$, we define the function

$$\phi^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2m} \Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2})}{m! \Gamma(k + m + \frac{1}{2})}.$$

The convergence is for all t and fast. It is clear that $\phi^{(k)}(0) = 1$. Function $\phi^{(k)}(t)$ is related to the classical Bessel function $J_k(t)$ by the formula

$$(1.4) \quad \phi^{(k)}(t) = \Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2}) t^{-k+1/2} J_{k-1/2}(2it).$$

Theorem 1.2. For any complex k with $\Re k > -\frac{1}{2}$,

$$(1.5) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} \phi^{(k)}(\lambda x) \phi^{(k)}(\mu x) |x|^{2k} dx = \phi^{(k)}(\lambda \mu) e^{\lambda^2 + \mu^2} \Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2}),$$

which reduces to (1.1) as $\lambda = \mu = 0$.

Definition 1.3. *The real Hankel transform of an even function $f(x)$ is given by the formula*

$$\mathbf{H}_{re}^k(f)(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2})} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^{(k)}(x\lambda) f(x) |x|^{2k} dx.$$

The Hankel transforms are even functions of λ ; the transforms of odd $f(x)$ are zero. Formula (1.5) states that

$$(1.6) \quad \mathbf{H}_{re}^k(\phi^{(k)}(\mu x) e^{-x^2}) = e^{\mu^2} (\phi^{(k)}(\mu \lambda) e^{\lambda^2}).$$

Definition 1.4. *The imaginary Hankel transform of an even function $f(x)$ is*

$$\mathbf{H}_{im}^k(f)(\lambda) = \frac{1}{i\Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2})} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \phi^{(k)}(x\lambda) f(x) |x|^{2k} dx.$$

Let V_{re}^k be the linear span of the functions $\phi^{(k)}(\lambda x) e^{-x^2}$ treated as functions of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Similarly, V_{im}^k is the span of $\phi^{(k)}(\lambda x) e^{\lambda^2}$ considered as functions of $\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}$. It is clear that $\mathbf{H}_{re}^k$ maps V_{re}^k to V_{im}^k and $\mathbf{H}_{im}^k$ maps V_{im}^k to V_{re}^k . As an immediate consequence of (1.5), we get the inversion formula.

Corollary 1.5. *The maps $\mathbf{H}_{re}^k$ and $\mathbf{H}_{im}^k$ are inverse to each other.*

Here we can replace the spaces V_{re}^k and V_{im}^k by their suitable completions. These completions are large enough as can be seen from the following corollary.

Corollary 1.6. *Let U_{re} (respectively U_{im}) be the linear spans of functions in the form $p(x)e^{-x^2}$ (respectively $p(\lambda)e^{\lambda^2}$) where p runs through even polynomials. Then $\mathbf{H}_{re}$ maps U_{re} to U_{im} , $\mathbf{H}_{im}$ maps U_{im} to U_{re} , $\mathbf{H}_{im}\mathbf{H}_{re} = id$ and $\mathbf{H}_{re}\mathbf{H}_{im} = id$. Moreover,*

$$\mathbf{H}_{re} : U_{re}^{\leq n} \mapsto U_{im}^{\leq n}, \quad \mathbf{H}_{im} : U_{im}^{\leq n} \mapsto U_{re}^{\leq n},$$

if $U_{re}^{\leq n} \subset U_{re}$ (respectively $U_{im}^{\leq n} \subset U_{im}$) are subspaces generated by $p(x)e^{-x^2}$ (respectively $p(\lambda)e^{\lambda^2}$) for all even polynomials p of degree $\leq n$.

Proof. Differentiating formula (1.6) $2n$ times in terms of the variable μ and setting $\mu = 0$, we get that $\mathbf{H}_{re}(x^{2n})$ is e^{λ^2} times a polynomial in λ . A better and more constructive proof will be presented below. $\square$

1.5. Gaussian sums. Let N be a natural number, q a primitive N -th root of 1. We will also need $q^{1/4}$, which will be picked in primitive $4N$ -th roots of 1. We will consider the so-called *generalized Gaussian sums*

$$\tau = \sum_{j=0}^{2N-1} q^{j^2/4}.$$

Note that $q^{j^2/4}$ depends only on the residue of j modulo $2N$, so we can assume that the summation index runs through $\mathbb{Z} \bmod 2N$. A natural choice for $q^{1/4}$ is $e^{\pi i/2N}$. In this case, we have the celebrated formula of Gauss:

$$(1.7) \quad \tau = (1 + i)\sqrt{N}.$$

Comment. The standard definition of the Gaussian sum is $\tau' = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q^{j^2}$. Gauss proved that for $q = e^{2\pi i/n}$,

$$\tau' = \begin{cases} \sqrt{n} & \text{if } n \bmod 4 = 1, \\ i\sqrt{n} & \text{if } n \bmod 4 = 3, \\ (1+i)\sqrt{n} & \text{if } n \bmod 4 = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \bmod 4 = 2. \end{cases}$$

Formula (1.7) corresponds to $n = 4N$. It somewhat resembles Fresnel's integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix^2} dx = (1+i)\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}},$$

which can be obtained from (1.2) by changing the variable $x \mapsto \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}x$ and shifting the contour of integration. In a sense, $\sqrt{2N}$ substitutes for $\sqrt{\pi}$ when we switch from the real theory to roots of unity. $\square$

There are two levels in the theory of Gaussian sums:

- (a) checking that $\tau\bar{\tau} = 2N$, which is simple and entirely conceptual,
- (b) finding $\arg(\tau)$, which is not.

Let us recall (a). We consider the space V of complex valued functions on $\mathbb{Z} \bmod 2N$, pick a primitive $2N$ -th root $q^{1/2}$ of 1, and introduce the Fourier transforms $\mathbf{F}_+$ and $\mathbf{F}_-$ on V :

$$\mathbf{F}_{\pm}(f)(\lambda) = \sum_{x=0}^{2N-1} q^{\pm x\lambda/2} f(x).$$

Then

- (i) $\mathbf{F}_-\mathbf{F}_+ = \mathbf{F}_+\mathbf{F}_- =$ multiplication by $2N$,
(ii) $\mathbf{F}_+(\gamma) = \tau\gamma^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{F}_-(\gamma^{-1}) = \bar{\tau}\gamma$,
where $\gamma(x) = q^{x^2/4}$ for a primitive $4N$ -th root of unity $q^{1/4}$.
Indeed,

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \bmod 2N} q^{(x-y)\lambda/2} = 2N$$

for $x = y$ and zero otherwise. We get (i). As for (ii), it suffices to examine $\mathbf{F}_+(\gamma)$

$$= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z} \bmod 2N} q^{x\lambda/2} q^{x^2/4} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z} \bmod 2N} q^{(x+\lambda)^2/4} q^{-\lambda^2/4} = \tau q^{-\lambda^2/4}.$$

The relation $\tau\bar{\tau} = 2N$ is immediate from (i) and (ii). By the way, it is easy to show that $\bar{\tau} = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{F}_+)$, but it is not helpful for calculating $\arg(\tau)$.

We are going to deduce (1.7) from a q -variant of (1.1). There will be two interesting corollaries:

- (1) a formula for τ for any primitive root q ,
- (2) a generalization of (1.7) to arbitrary integral k .

The former is closely connected with known formulas, the latter is new.

2. IMAGINARY INTEGRATION

In this section, we will discuss the imaginary integration, which is called the *compact case* in the context of symmetric spaces.

Let us first switch from $\mathbb{R}$ to $i\mathbb{R}$. Recall our main formula

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} |x|^{2k} dx = \Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2}), \quad \Re k > -1/2.$$

Changing the variable $x \mapsto ix$,

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{1}{i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} e^{x^2} |x|^{2k} dx = \Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2}), \quad \Re k > -1/2.$$

These two formulas are of course equivalent but their q -counterparts are not. It is similar to the Harish-Chandra theory. The real integration corresponds to the so-called *noncompact case*, which is very different from the compact case.

Comments. (i) There is an improvement of (2.1):

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{-\varepsilon + i\mathbb{R}} e^{x^2} (-x^2)^k dx = \Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2}) \cos(\pi k),$$

which holds for *all* complex k provided that $\varepsilon > 0$. It can be readily deduced from the well-known classical definition of the Γ -function

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_C \frac{(-z)^k e^{-z}}{i\sqrt{-z}} dz = \Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2}) \cos(\pi k).$$

Change the variable $z \mapsto -x^2$. Here the path of the integration C begins at $z = -\varepsilon i + \infty$, moves to the left down the positive real axis to $-\varepsilon i$, then circles the origin and returns along the positive real axis to $\varepsilon i + \infty$. The branches of the log in the expression $(-z)^k = e^{k \log(-z)}$ and $\sqrt{-z}$ are standard, with the cutoff at $-\mathbb{R}_+$.

(ii) The usage of the Gaussian e^{x^2} makes the classical formula in terms of e^{-z} somewhat more elegant, but of course is not significant. It is different in the q -theory, which does require the formula in terms of the Gaussian. This is directly connected with the appearance of the double Hecke algebra. The conjugation by Gaussian is an automorphism of the latter. The substitution $z = -x^2$ does not seem meaningful from the viewpoint of this algebra.

2.1. Macdonald's measure. Let q be a real number, $0 < q < 1$. We set $q = e^{-1/a}$ for a real number $a > 0$. The q -counterpart of x^{2k} is the following function of complex variable x :

$$(2.3) \quad \delta_k(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{2x+j})(1 - q^{-2x+j})}{(1 - q^{2x+j+k})(1 - q^{-2x+j+k})}.$$

If k is a non-negative integer, formula (2.3) reads as

$$(2.4) \quad \delta_k(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1 - q^{2x+j})(1 - q^{-2x+j}).$$

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that $\Re k > 0$. Then $\delta_k(x)$ is regular for $x \in i\mathbb{R}$ and*

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{1}{i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} q^{-x^2} \delta_k(x) dx = 2\sqrt{\pi a} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{k+j}}{1 - q^{2k+j}}.$$

Comment. The right and left-hand sides of formula (2.5) are well defined for any number k such that $\Re k \notin -\mathbb{Z}_+$. However formula (2.5) is *not* true when $\Re k < 0$. $\square$

Before proving the theorem, let us get (2.1) as the limit of (2.5) when $q \rightarrow 1$.

Proposition 2.2. *As $q \rightarrow 1$, the leading term of the left-hand side of formula (2.5) is $\left(\frac{a}{4}\right)^{-k} \sqrt{a} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} e^{z^2} (-z^2)^k dz$, and the leading term of the right-hand side of this formula is $2a^{-k} \sqrt{\pi a} \frac{\Gamma(2k)}{\Gamma(k)}$. Hence (2.5) implies (2.1).*

Proof. Introduce the q -counterpart of the Γ -function:

$$\Gamma_q(x) = (1-q)^{1-x} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^{x+j}}{1-q^{1+j}}.$$

It is well-known (and not difficult to prove) that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \Gamma_q(x) = \Gamma(x)$. Hence the right-hand side of formula (2.5) as $q \rightarrow 1$ is $2a^{-k} \sqrt{\pi a} \frac{\Gamma(2k)}{\Gamma(k)}$ asymptotically.

Now consider the left-hand side of formula (2.5). Upon the change of variable $x \mapsto \sqrt{a}z$,

$$\frac{1}{i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} q^{-x^2} \delta_k(x) dx = \frac{1}{i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} e^{z^2} \delta_k(\sqrt{a}z) \sqrt{a} dz.$$

Lemma 2.3. (*Stirling–Moak*) *One has*

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \delta_k(\sqrt{a}z) \left(\frac{a}{4}\right)^k = (-z^2)^k,$$

where the standard branch of the logarithm is taken for $(-z^2)^k$ and k is arbitrary complex.

It is obvious in the case $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Indeed, asymptotically,

$$\delta_k(\sqrt{a}z) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1 - e^{j/a} e^{2z/\sqrt{a}}) (1 - e^{j/a} e^{-2z/\sqrt{a}}) \approx \left(-\frac{4z^2}{a}\right)^k.$$

We omit the proof for general k . Actually this formula can be applied only if one can estimate the remainder. The most convenient formula for the latter is due to Moak. We will not discuss it because it is applied in the presence of the Gaussian in the integrand when the convergence is simple. $\square$

It follows from the lemma that the left-hand side of formula (2.5) is asymptotically $\left(\frac{a}{4}\right)^{-k} \sqrt{a} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} e^{z^2} (-z^2)^k dz$. Comparing it with the asymptotical behavior of the right-hand side and using the doubling formula

$$2^{2k-1} \Gamma(k+1/2) = \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2k) / \Gamma(k),$$

we get (2.1) from (2.5). $\square$

2.2. Meromorphic continuations. It is instructional to calculate the difference between the right-hand side and the left-hand side of formula (2.5) as $-1 < k < 0$. It is based on the general technique of meromorphic continuation of the integrals depending on parameters "to the left" and can be applied to many functions in place of the Gaussian.

Comment. A good example is the integral $\int_{i\mathbb{R}} (q^{x^2} - 1)^{-1} \delta_k(x) dx$, i.e., a certain q -variant of Riemann's zeta, up to q -Gamma factors. It has a meromorphic continuation in k to the left (and other interesting properties). See [C9]. Surprisingly, it *does not* converge to $\zeta(k+1/2)\Gamma(k+1/2)$ for $\Re k < 0$, as one can expect. Its limit as $a \rightarrow \infty$ is a combination of Γ -factors (the ζ does not appear at all). We can get the desired limiting behavior by switching from $(q^{x^2} - 1)^{-1}$ to $(q^{x^2} + 1)^{-1}$. In this case, the integral does converge to what can be expected. Calculating these and similar limits requires the technique of analytic continuation based on the shift operator, which will be considered later in this section. $\square$

We will involve the intermediate function

$$f_\varepsilon(k) = \frac{1}{i} \int_{\varepsilon+i\mathbb{R}} q^{-x^2} \delta_k(x) dx,$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $f(k)$ be the left-hand side of (2.5), $g(k)$ the right-hand side. The difference $f_\varepsilon - f$ is $2\pi i$ times the sum of the residues of $\frac{1}{i} q^{-x^2} \delta_k(x)$ over its poles in the strip $0 < \Re x < \varepsilon$. The calculation depends on the domain of k . For instance, f_ε and $f(k)$ coincide for $\Re k > 2\varepsilon$ because the strip contains no x -poles for such k .

Let $0 < \Re k < 2\varepsilon$. Then the poles are $\frac{k}{2} + n\pi ia$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. So

$$f_\varepsilon = f + \Pi_k, \quad \Pi_k = \frac{2\pi i}{i} \operatorname{Res}_{x=k/2} \delta_k(x) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{-(k/2+n\pi ia)^2}.$$

Since $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}^{x=k/2+\varepsilon} (1 - q^{-2x+k}) = -a/2$,

$$\operatorname{Res}_{x=k/2} = -(a/2) \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{k+j})(1 - q^{-k+j})}{(1 - q^{2k+j})(1 - q^{j+1})}.$$

On the other hand,

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{-(k/2+n\pi ia)^2} = (2\sqrt{\pi a})^{-1} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2} q^{mk},$$

thanks to the functional equation for the theta function. Finally,

$$\Pi_k = -\frac{\sqrt{\pi a}}{2} \left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2} q^{mk} \right) \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{k+j})(1 - q^{-k+j})}{(1 - q^{2k+j})(1 - q^{j+1})}.$$

If $-2\varepsilon < \Re k < 0$, the poles are $-\frac{k}{2} + n\pi ia$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $f_\varepsilon = f - \Pi_{-k}$. The minus of $-\Pi_{-k}$ reflects the change $a/2 \mapsto -a/2$ in the calculation of the residues.

The function $f_\varepsilon(k)$ is well defined for $|\Re k| < 2\varepsilon$ and equals $g(k) + \Pi_k$ in this strip. Recall that $g(k)$ is given by the product formula meromorphic for all complex k , and coinciding with $f(k)$ as $\Re k > 0$. Therefore

$$(2.7) \quad f(k) = f_\varepsilon + \Pi_{-k} = g(k) + \Pi_k + \Pi_{-k} \text{ for } -1 < \Re k < 0.$$

2.3. Using the constant term. We can reformulate Theorem 2.1 in a purely algebraic way. Indeed, the function q^x is periodic with the period $\omega = 2\pi ia$. So is $\delta_k(x)$. Actually its period is $\omega/2$ but we will use ω in the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} q^{-x^2} \delta_k(x) dx &= \frac{1}{i} \int_0^\omega \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{-(x+n\omega)^2} \delta_k(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{i} \int_0^\omega \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2/4+nx} \frac{\delta_k(x)}{2\sqrt{\pi a}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

The second equality results from the functional equation for the theta function, in a form slightly different from (2.6).

Since

$$\int_0^\omega q^{nx} dx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \neq 0, \\ 2\pi ia & \text{if } n = 0, \end{cases}$$

we need to represent $\delta_k(x)$ as a Laurent series and then find the *constant term CT* of $\widehat{\gamma}_-(x)\widehat{\delta}_k(x)$, where

$$\widehat{\gamma}_-(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2/4+nx},$$

$\widehat{\delta}_k(x)$ is the expansion of $\delta_k(x)$ as a Laurent series of q^x . To be more exact, we will take the expansion with the coefficients which are power series in terms of the variables q, q^k . Then it is determined uniquely.

Generally speaking, a product of two Laurent series is not well defined, but here we have perfect convergence thanks to the $q^{n^2/4}$ from $\widehat{\gamma}_-(x)$. Finally,

$$\frac{1}{i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} q^{-x^2} \delta_k(x) dx = \frac{2\pi ia}{i2\sqrt{\pi a}} CT(\widehat{\gamma}_-(x) \widehat{\delta}_k(x)),$$

and Theorem 2.1 is equivalent to

Theorem 2.4. *We have an equality:*

$$CT\left(\widehat{\gamma}_-(x) \widehat{\delta}_k(x)\right) = 2 \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{k+j}}{1 - q^{2k+j}}.$$

The expansion of δ_k can be calculated explicitly. Let us introduce the function

$$(2.8) \quad \mu_k(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{2x+j})(1 - q^{-2x+j+1})}{(1 - q^{2x+k+j})(1 - q^{-2x+k+j+1})}.$$

It is closely connected with δ_k :

$$(2.9) \quad \delta_k(x) = \frac{\mu_k(x) + \mu_k(-x)}{1 + q^k}.$$

Following Macdonald, we may call it *truncated theta function* since for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\mu_k(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1 - q^{2x+j})(1 - q^{-2x+j+1}).$$

As $\mathbb{Z}_+ \ni k \rightarrow \infty$, it becomes the classical theta function.

Theorem 2.5. (*Ramanujan's ${}_1\Psi_1$ -summation*)

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_k(x)/CT(\mu_k(x)) &= 1 + \frac{q^k - 1}{1 - q^{k+1}}(q^{2x} + q^{1-2x}) + \dots \\ &+ \frac{(q^k - 1)(q^k - q)(q^k - q^2) \dots (q^k - q^m)}{(1 - q^{k+1})(1 - q^{k+2})(1 - q^{k+3}) \dots (1 - q^{k+m})}(q^{2mx} + q^{m-2mx}) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Use $\mu_k(x + \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{q^{2x+k}-1}{q^{2x}-q^k} \mu_k(x)$. □

Theorem 2.6. (*Constant Term Conjecture*)

$$(2.10) \quad CT(\mu_k(x)) = \frac{(1 - q^{k+1})^2(1 - q^{k+2})^2 \dots}{(1 - q^{2k+1})(1 - q^{2k+2}) \dots (1 - q)(1 - q^2) \dots}.$$

Proof. First,

$$(2.11) \quad CT(\mu_{k+1}(x)) = \frac{(1 - q^{2k+1})(1 - q^{2k+2})}{(1 - q^{k+1})^2} CT(\mu_k(x)).$$

Indeed, $\mu_{k+1}(x) = (1 - q^{2x+k})(1 - q^{-2x+k+1})\mu_k(x)$ and Theorem 2.5 results in

$$\begin{aligned} CT(\mu_{k+1}(x)) &= CT((1 - q^{2x+k} - q^{-2x+k+1} + q^{2k+1})\mu_k(x)) \\ &= (1 + q^{2k+1})CT(\mu_k(x)) - 2q^{k+1} \frac{q^k - 1}{1 - q^{k+1}} CT(\mu_k(x)) \\ &= \frac{CT(\mu_k(x))}{1 - q^{k+1}} ((1 + q^{2k+1} - q^{k+1} - q^{3k+2} - 2q^{2k+1} + 2q^{k+1})) \\ &= CT(\mu_k(x)) \frac{(1 + q^{k+1})(1 - q^{2k+1})}{1 - q^{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote the right-hand side of (2.10) by c_k . Then $CT(\mu_k)/c_k$ is a periodic function in terms of k with the period 1. Hence the expansion of c_k in terms of q, q^k is invariant under the substitution $q^k \mapsto qq^k$. So it does not depend on k and is a series in terms of q . It must be 1 because $\mu_0 = 1$. $\square$

Comment. The above calculation is well-known (see [An, AI]). The celebrated constant term conjecture due to Macdonald is for arbitrary root systems. It was proved first for A_n , then for BC_n by Kadell, and then for G_2, F_4 using computers. The case of E_6 appeared to be beyond the capacity of modern computers. The proof from [C1] is based on the shift operators, generalizing those introduced by Opdam in the differential setup. Let us formulate the statement for an arbitrary reduced irreducible root system $R = \{\alpha\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

In terms of the one-dimensional μ above:

$$\mu_k^R(x) = \prod_{\alpha > 0} \mu_k(x_\alpha/2).$$

The Macdonald conjecture reads as

$$CT(\mu_k^R(x)) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \prod_{\alpha > 0} \frac{(1 - q^{k(\rho, \alpha^\vee) + j})^2}{(1 - q^{k(\rho, \alpha^\vee) + j + k})(1 - q^{k(\rho, \alpha^\vee) + j - k})}.$$

There are no good formulas for other coefficients of μ_k^R . Generally speaking, they are not q -products. It was the main problem with calculating $CT(\mu^R)$. If Theorem 2.5 existed, it would be straightforward.

2.4. Shift operator. We are going to prepare the main tool for proving Theorem 2.4. The *shift operator* is

$$S(f(x)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{f(x - \frac{1}{2}) - f(x + \frac{1}{2})}{q^x - q^{-x}}.$$

It is a q -variant of the differentiation $x^{-1}d/dx$. It plays an important role in the theory of the so-called basic hypergeometric function. See e.g., [AI].

Let $g_k = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^{k+j}}{1-q^{2k+j}}$. Given a function $f(x)$ defined on the imaginary line, its q -Mellin transform is introduced by the formula

$$\Psi_k(f) = \frac{1}{ig_k} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} f(x) \delta_k(x) dx.$$

The function Ψ_k is an analytic function of the variable k in the half-plane $\Re k > 0$, provided we have the integrability.

Let us examine the behavior of the q -Mellin transform under the q -variant of the integrating by parts.

Theorem 2.7. *Assume that the function $f(x)$ is analytic in an open neighborhood of the strip $|\Re x| \leq 1$. Provided that the integrals below are well defined,*

$$(2.12) \quad \Psi_k(f) = (1 - q^{k+1})\Psi_{k+1}(f) + q^{k+3/2}\Psi_{k+2}(S^2(f)).$$

Proof is direct. Later we will give a better one, with modest calculations. However the theorem will be applied to various classes of functions, so an explicit proof is helpful to control the analytic matters.

First, we check that $S^2(f)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{f(x-1)}{(q^x - q^{-x})(q^{x-1/2} - q^{-x+1/2})} + \frac{f(x+1)}{(q^x - q^{-x})(q^{x+1/2} - q^{-x-1/2})} \\ &\quad - \frac{f(x)}{q^x - q^{-x}} \left(\frac{1}{q^{x-1/2} - q^{-x+1/2}} + \frac{1}{q^{x+1/2} - q^{-x-1/2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Second, we will change the variables and move the contour of integration. The resulting formulas are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.13) \quad & \int_{i\mathbb{R}} S^2(f) \delta_k(x) dx \\
&= \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(x) \delta_k(x+1) dx}{(q^{x+1} - q^{-x-1})(q^{x+1/2} - q^{-x-1/2})} - \\
&\quad - \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(x) \delta_k(x) dx}{(q^x - q^{-x})(q^{x+1/2} - q^{-x-1/2})} + \\
&\quad + \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(x) \delta_k(x-1) dx}{(q^{x-1} - q^{-x+1})(q^{x-1/2} - q^{-x+1/2})} - \\
&\quad - \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(x) \delta_k(x) dx}{(q^x - q^{-x})(q^{x-1/2} - q^{-x+1/2})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote the first two terms in the right-hand side by A and the second two terms (lines) by B . So the integral is $A + B$.

Third,

$$\delta_k(x+1) = \frac{(1 - q^{2x+k})(1 - q^{2x+1+k})(1 - q^{-2x-2})(1 - q^{-2x-1})}{(1 - q^{-2x-2+k})(1 - q^{-2x-1+k})(1 - q^{2x})(1 - q^{2x+1})} \delta_k(x),$$

and

$$\delta_k(x-1) = \frac{(1 - q^{-2x+k})(1 - q^{-2x+1+k})(1 - q^{2x-2})(1 - q^{2x-1})}{(1 - q^{2x-2+k})(1 - q^{2x-1+k})(1 - q^{-2x})(1 - q^{-2x+1})} \delta_k(x).$$

Fourth,

$$A = - \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \frac{(q^{x+1/2} + q^{-x-1/2})(1 - q^{2k-1}) f(x) \delta_k(x) dx}{(1 - q^{-2x-2+k})(1 - q^{-2x-1+k})(q^x - q^{-x}) q^{2x+1}},$$

and

$$B = \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \frac{(q^{x-1/2} + q^{-x+1/2})(1 - q^{2k-1}) f(x) \delta_k(x) dx}{(1 - q^{2x-2+k})(1 - q^{2x-1+k})(q^x - q^{-x}) q^{-2x+1}}.$$

Now,

$$\frac{A+B}{(1 - q^{2k-1})} = \int_{i\mathbb{R}} G f(x) \delta_k(x) dx$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& G = \\
& \frac{1 + q + q^k + q^{2x} + q^{-2x} - q^{k-2} - q^{2k-3} - q^{2k-2} - q^{2x+2k-2} - q^{-2x+2k-2}}{(1 - q^{2x-2+k})(1 - q^{2x-1+k})(1 - q^{-2x-2+k})(1 - q^{-2x-1+k}) q^{3/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Regrouping the terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A+B}{(1-q^{2k-1})} &= (q^{1-k} - q^{k-1}) \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \frac{q^{-1/2} f(x) \delta_k(x) dx}{(1-q^{2x-1+k})(1-q^{-2x-1+k})} \\ &+ \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \frac{q^{-3/2} (1-q^{2k-3})(1+q^{k-1})(1+q^{2-k}) f(x) \delta_k(x) dx}{(1-q^{2x-2+k})(1-q^{2x-1+k})(1-q^{-2x-2+k})(1-q^{-2x-1+k})}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{k-1}(x) &= \frac{\delta_k(x)}{(1-q^{2x-1+k})(1-q^{-2x-1+k})}, \quad \delta_{k-2}(x) \\ &= \frac{\delta_k(x)}{(1-q^{2x-2+k})(1-q^{2x-1+k})(1-q^{-2x-2+k})(1-q^{-2x-1+k})}, \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g_k}{g_{k-1}} &= (1-q^{2k-1})(1+q^{k-1}), \\ \frac{g_k}{g_{k-2}} &= (1-q^{2k-3})(1+q^{k-2})(1-q^{2k-1})(1+q^{k-1}), \end{aligned}$$

we come to the formula

$$q^{k-1/2} \Psi_k(S^2(f)) = \Psi_{k-2}(f) - (1-q^{k-1}) \Psi_{k-1}(f),$$

which is equivalent to the statement of the theorem. $\square$

2.5. Applications. The simplest example is $f(x) = 1$. We get the formula

$$CT(\delta_k(x)) = \frac{(1-q^k)(1-q^{2k+1})}{(1-q^{k+1})(1-q^{2k})} (CT(\delta_{k+1}(x))),$$

which is equivalent to the formula (2.11) above.

Now let $f(x) = q^{-x^2}$. It is easy to check that $S(q^{-x^2}) = q^{-1/4} q^{-x^2}$. Therefore

$$\Psi_k = (1-q^{k+1}) \Psi_{k+1} + q^{k+1} \Psi_{k+2}$$

for $\Psi_k = \Psi_k(q^{-x^2})$ or, equivalently,

$$\Psi_k - \Psi_{k+1} = -q^{k+1} (\Psi_{k+1} - \Psi_{k+2}).$$

Introducing the function

$$\phi_k = (-1)^k q^{(k+1)k/2} (\Psi_k - \Psi_{k+1}),$$

we see that it is periodic with the period 1 in the variable k . In particular, it can be extended to the whole complex plane, where it is analytic.

The function ϕ_k is also quasi-periodic with the period ω because Ψ_k is ω -periodic by construction:

$$\phi_{k+\omega} = q^{k\omega+\omega^2/2} \phi_k = e^{-2\pi i k} e^{-\pi i \omega} \phi_k.$$

Recall that $\omega = 2\pi i a$, $q = e^{-1/a}$. These are the defining properties of the classical

$$\vartheta_3(k) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i m k} e^{\pi i m^2 \omega}.$$

For instance, ϕ_k has only one zero in the fundamental parallelogram if it is not zero identically. The zero is known to be $(\omega + 1)/2$.

However it is straightforward to check that the ϕ_k vanish at $k = 0$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_0 &= \frac{2}{i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} q^{-x^2} dx = 2\sqrt{\pi a}, \\ \Psi_1 &= \frac{1}{i(1-q)} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} q^{-x^2} (1-q^{2x})(1-q^{-2x}) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{i(1-q)} \left(2 \int_{i\mathbb{R}} q^{-x^2} dx - \int_{i\mathbb{R}} q^{-x^2+2x} dx - \int_{i\mathbb{R}} q^{-x^2-2x} dx \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} q^{-x^2} dx = \Psi_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\phi = 0$ and Ψ_k is a constant, which is the value of $\Psi = \Psi_k(q^{-x^2})$ at zero, that is $2\sqrt{\pi a}$. $\square$

Comment. The above argument can be simplified using a reformulation of Theorem 2.4 in terms of the Laurent series. First, we check a variant of Theorem 2.7 for ω -periodic even functions $f(x)$ and the integration over the imaginary period. Then we replace integrating over the period by taking the constant term and switch entirely to the Laurent expansions. Third, we apply the shift-formula to $f(x) = \widehat{\gamma_-}(x)$. In this setting, ϕ_k is not considered as an analytic function, but becomes a formal series in terms of the variables q and q^k . For such series, the equality $\phi_{k+1} = -q^{k+1}\phi_k$ immediately implies that $\phi_k = 0$.

3. JACKSON AND GAUSSIAN SUMS

We are going to change the imaginary integration in the main formula of the previous section to the Jackson summation.

Recall that the imaginary q -Mellin transform is

$$\Psi_k(f) = \frac{1}{ig_k} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} f(x) \delta_k(x) dx, \quad g_k = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{k+j}}{1 - q^{2k+j}},$$

$$\delta_k(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{2x+j})(1 - q^{-2x+j})}{(1 - q^{2x+k+j})(1 - q^{-2x+k+j})}.$$

Using this transform, Theorem 2.1 reads as

$$\Psi_k(q^{-x^2}) = 2\sqrt{\pi a}, \quad \text{where } q = e^{-1/a}, \quad \Re k > 0.$$

The next theorem will be very close to Theorem 2.1. There was one place in its proof where we used special features of the imaginary integration more than absolutely necessary. Let us first somewhat improve it.

We introduced $\phi_k = \Psi_k(q^{-x^2}) - \Psi_{k+1}(q^{-x^2})$, checked that $\phi_k = -q^{k+1}\phi_{k+1}$, and found that $\phi_0 = 0$ and therefore $\phi_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. This part remains unchanged. Now it is easy to see that the function $\Psi_k(q^{-x^2})$ is analytic in terms of the $K = q^k$ considered as a new variable in a neighborhood of $K = 0$. So is ϕ_k . However the latter has infinitely many zeros in a neighborhood of $K = 0$, which results in $\phi_k = 0$. The rest of the proof is the same as in the previous section.

3.1. Sharp integration. For an analytic function $f(x)$ in a neighborhood of the positive real axis, we define its *sharp q -Mellin transform*

$$(3.1) \quad \Psi_k^\sharp(f) = \frac{1}{ig_k} \int_C f(x) \delta_k(x) dx,$$

where the path of the integration C begins at $z = -\varepsilon i + \infty$, moves to the left down the positive real axis to $-\varepsilon i$, then moves up to εi and returns along the positive real axis to $\varepsilon i + \infty$.

The behavior of C near 0 is not important in the q -theory. Recall that the classical paths of this type used for Γ and ζ *must* go around zero. Our C is like a pencil aimed at zero from $+\infty$; that is why we call it sharp.

Theorem 3.1. *Provided that $\Re k > 0$ and $|\Im k| < 2\varepsilon$ (equivalently, $k/2$ sits inside C),*

$$(3.2) \quad \Psi_k^\sharp(q^{x^2}) = (-a\pi) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{j+k})(1 - q^{j-k-1})}{(1 - q^j)^2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{(k-j)^2/4}.$$

Comment. The sum $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{(k-j)^2/4}$ can be expressed as the infinite product

$$q^{k^2/4} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{j/2})(1 + q^{j/2-1/4+k/2})(1 + q^{j/2-1/4-k/2})$$

by Jacobi's triple product formula. $\square$

Proof begins with the following lemma. Recall that $q = e^{-1/a}$ and $\omega = 2\pi i a$.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that $f(x)$ is analytic for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and that $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} f(x + \xi)e^{c\xi} = 0$ for arbitrary $c > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the function $\Psi_k^\sharp(f)$ has an analytic continuation to all $k \in \mathbb{C}$ and, moreover, vanishes at the points from $\mathbb{Z}_+ + \mathbb{Z}\omega$.*

Proof. By Cauchy's Theorem, the integral (3.2) is the following sum of residues:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_k^\sharp(f) &= (-a\pi) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{j-k-1})}{(1 - q^j)} \times \\ &\times \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{j+k}}{1 - q^k} \prod_{l=1}^j \frac{1 - q^{l+2k-1}}{1 - q^l} f\left(\frac{k+j}{2}\right) q^{-kj}. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side gives the desired analytic continuation. $\square$

Using the above formula we can reformulate Theorem 3.1 in a purely algebraic way:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad &\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{(k-j)^2/4} \frac{1 - q^{j+k}}{1 - q^k} \prod_{l=1}^j \frac{1 - q^{l+2k-1}}{1 - q^l} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{j+k}}{1 - q^j} \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{(k-j)^2/4} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The left-hand side is a *Jackson sum*, i.e., the summation of the values of a given function (here $q^{(k-j)^2/4}$) over $\mathbb{Z}$ with some weights. There

is a proof of the theorem directly in terms of the Jackson summation, without using the sharp integration. It will not be discussed here (see [C5]).

Comment. (i) Formula (3.3) obviously holds for $k = 0$, to be more exact, as $k \rightarrow 0$ (we have $1 - q^k$ in the denominator). Indeed, we get

$$1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{j^2/4} = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{j^2/4}.$$

Hence, the difference between the left-hand and the right-hand sides of formula (3.3) has a zero of the *second* order at $k = 0$.

(ii) For $k = 1$, formula (3.3) reads as

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{(1-j)^2/4} \frac{(1 - q^{j+1})^2}{1 - q} = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{j^2/4},$$

and can be checked by a simple calculation.

(iii) It holds for $k = -1/2$. Indeed, in this case the left-hand side is $2q^{1/16}$ and the right-hand side can be transformed using Jacobi's triple product formula as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{1/16} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{j/2})(1 + q^{j/2})(1 + q^{j/2-1/2}) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{j-1/2}}{1 - q^j} \\ &= q^{1/16} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^{j/2-1/2})(1 - q^{j-1/2}) \\ &= 2q^{1/16} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^j)(1 - q^{j-1/2})}{(1 - q^{j/2})} = 2q^{1/16}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote the right-hand side of formula (3.2) by Π_k . We have the following properties:

- (a) $\Pi_k = -q^{k+1} \Pi_{k+1}$, (b) $\Pi_{k+2\omega} = q^{\omega^2+k\omega} \Pi_k$,
- (c) the zeros of Π_k are $\{0, \omega, 1/2 + \omega\} \pmod{\mathbb{Z} + 2\omega\mathbb{Z}}$.

In (c), all zeros are simple.

These properties determine Π_k uniquely. The only property which is not immediate is (c). It can be proved using Jacobi's triple product or deduced from (a),(b). Indeed, the latter give that Π_k has three zeros inside the parallelogram of periods with the sum $1/2$. Two of them are obvious: $\{0, \omega\}$.

3.2. Sharp shift–formula. Let $\Psi_k^\# \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Psi_k^\#(q^{x^2})$ be the left-hand side of formula (3.2). It is clear that

$$(b') \quad \Psi_{k+2\omega}^\# = q^{\omega^2+k\omega} \Psi_k^\#,$$

$$(c') \quad \Psi_k^\# \text{ has zeros at } 0 \text{ and } \omega.$$

Thus if we prove $(a') \quad \Psi_k^\# = -q^{k+1} \Psi_{k+1}^\#$, then $\Pi_k = c \Psi_k^\#$ for a constant c , which has to be 1 (use the normalization). So we need a variant of the shift–formula (2.12) for the sharp integration.

Lemma 3.3.

$$(3.4) \quad \Psi_k^\#(f) = (1 - q^{k+1}) \Psi_{k+1}^\#(f) + q^{k+3/2} \Psi_{k+2}^\#(S^2(f)),$$

provided we have the existence of the integrals. Here the shift operator is $S(f)(x) = \frac{f(x-1/2)-f(x+1/2)}{q^x-q^{-x}}$, $f(x)$ is an even function continuous on the sharp integration path C and, moreover, analytic in the domain

$$\{x \mid -1 - \delta < \Re x < 1 + \delta, -\epsilon < \Im x < \epsilon\} \text{ for } \delta > 0.$$

Proof is a straightforward adjustment of that in the imaginary case. The analyticity is necessary to ensure the invariance of the integration with respect to the shifts by ± 1 . $\square$

Using the formula $S(q^{x^2}) = q^{1/4} q^{x^2}$ (note the change of sign, compared with the previous section),

$$\Psi_k^\# = (1 - q^{k+1}) \Psi_{k+1}^\# + q^{k+2} \Psi_{k+2}^\#.$$

So the function $\phi_k = \Psi_k^\# + q^{k+1} \Psi_{k+1}^\#$ is periodic: $\phi_{k+1} = \phi_k$. On the other hand, (b') results in $\phi_{k+2\omega} = q^{\omega^2+k\omega} \phi_k$. Combining with the 1-periodicity, we conclude that ϕ_k has only one zero in the parallelogram of periods. However we already know that ϕ_k has zero of order 2 at $k = 0$. Hence

$$(3.5) \quad \phi_k = 0 \Rightarrow \Psi_k^\# = -q^{k+1} \Psi_{k+1}^\# \Rightarrow \Psi_k^\# = \Pi_k,$$

and Theorem 3.1 is proved. $\square$

3.3. Roots of unity. We almost completed the first part of our program:

imaginary integration $\Rightarrow$ sharp integration

$\Rightarrow$ Jackson summation $\Rightarrow$ Gaussian sums.

Switching in (3.3) to the roots of unity, we come to the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $q^{1/4}$ be a primitive $4N$ -th root of unity and k be an integer such that $0 < k \leq N/2$. Then*

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{N-2k} q^{\frac{(k-j)^2}{4}} \frac{1 - q^{j+k}}{1 - q^k} \prod_{l=1}^j \frac{1 - q^{l+2k-1}}{1 - q^l} = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{1 - q^j} \sum_{j=0}^{2N-1} q^{\frac{(k-j)^2}{4}}.$$

Proof. By Galois theory, it is sufficient to pick any primitive $q^{1/4}$, so we may assume that $q^{1/4} = e^{\pi i/2N}$. Let us substitute $\hat{q}^{1/4} = e^{\pi i/2N - \pi/a}$ for q in formula (3.3).

Lemma 3.5. (Siegel) *Let $j_m = h + 2Nm$ where $h, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then asymptotically as $a \rightarrow +\infty$,*

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \hat{q}^{(j_m - k)^2/4} \approx \frac{\sqrt{a}}{2N} q^{(h-k)^2/4}.$$

Proof. First,

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{q}^{(j_m - k)^2/4} = q^{(h-k)^2/4} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi(h+2Nm-k)^2/4a}.$$

Second, the sum

$$\Sigma = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi(h+2Nm-k)^2/4a} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi N^2(m+(h-k)/2N)^2/a}$$

approximates the integral

$$S = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi N^2(x+(h-k)/2N)^2/a} dx$$

with the difference $S - \Sigma$ bounded as $a \rightarrow +\infty$. Third,

$$S \approx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi N^2 x^2/a} dx = \frac{\sqrt{a}}{N}$$

asymptotically. $\square$

Now we compare the asymptotics of the left-hand side and the right-hand side of formula (3.3) as $\hat{q} \rightarrow q$, equivalently, $a \rightarrow +\infty$. We represent either side of this formula as the sum of $2N$ subsums corresponding to all possible values $j \bmod 2N$.

Due to the lemma, the right-hand side approaches the right-hand side of formula (3.6) times $\sqrt{a}/N$. To manage the left-hand side of formula (3.3), we note that the product $\prod_{l=1}^j \frac{1 - q^{l+2k-1}}{1 - q^l}$ is a periodic function

of j of period N because $q^N = 1$. Moreover, this product vanishes for $N - 2k + 1 \leq j < N$. Therefore the left-hand side of formula (3.3) tends to the left-hand side of formula (3.6) times $\sqrt{a}/N$. Theorem 3.4 is proved. $\square$

3.4. Gaussian sums. We come to the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *Taking $q^{1/4} = e^{\pi i/2N}$,*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2N-1} q^{j^2/4} = (1 + i)\sqrt{N}.$$

Proof. Letting $k = [N/2]$ in formula (3.6),

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2N-1} q^{j^2/4} = \begin{cases} q^{n^2/4} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - q^j) & \text{if } N = 2n, \\ q^{n^2/4} (1 + q^{(2n-1)/4}) \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - q^j) & \text{if } N = 2n + 1. \end{cases}$$

Only even $N = 2n$ will be considered. Setting $\Pi = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - q^j)$, we get $\Pi\bar{\Pi} = 2N$, since it is the value of $(X^N - 1)(X + 1)(X - 1)^{-1}$ at $X = 1$. Here the bar is the complex conjugation. On the other hand,

$$\arg(1 - e^{i\phi}) = \phi/2 - \pi/2 \text{ for angles } 0 < \phi < 2\pi, \text{ and}$$

$$\arg \Pi = \frac{\pi}{N} \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - \frac{\pi n}{2} = \frac{\pi(1-n)}{4}. \quad \square$$

There is the following reduction of Theorem 3.4, important from the viewpoint of applications to the Gaussian sums. It has a direct relation to the "little" double affine Hecke algebra (see [C7]).

Theorem 3.7. *Let $n = [N/2]$. Then for $0 < k \leq n$,*

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} q^{j^2-kj} \frac{1 - q^{2j+k}}{1 - q^k} \prod_{l=1}^{2j} \frac{1 - q^{l+2k-1}}{1 - q^l} = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{1 - q^j} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} q^{j^2-kj}.$$

Proof. Considering the variant of (3.6) for $q^{1/4} \mapsto -q^{1/4}$, check that (3.7) equals either the half-sum of these two formulas for even k or the half-difference for odd k . $\square$

Corollary 3.8. *For even $N = 2n$ and $k = n$,*

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (-1)^j q^{j^2} = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - q^j),$$

which can be rewritten as

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j q^{j^2} = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (1 - q^j),$$

due to the substitution $j \mapsto j + n$.

We are going to use these formulas for $q = \exp(\pi i m/n)$ to calculate the corresponding Legendre symbol in terms of the integer parts $[mj/(2n)]$. Let $n > 0$ be any integer and $m > 0$ an odd integer, assuming that they are relatively prime: $(m, n) = 1$. We set

$$(3.10) \quad \left\{ \frac{m}{n} \right\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} i^{(n-1)(m-1)/2} (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} [\frac{mj}{2n}]},$$

$$(3.11) \quad G(m, n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^n e^{\pi i j^2 \frac{m}{n} + \pi i j m}.$$

Formula (3.11) is the classical definition of the generalized Gaussian sum (see e.g., [Cha]). As we will see in the next theorem, the first definition extends the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)$. The latter is ± 1 as m is a quadratic residue (non-residue) modulo n , where n is an odd prime number or its power.

Theorem 3.9. (a) For odd m coprime with n ,

$$(3.12) \quad G(m, n) = \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{1-n} \left\{ \frac{m}{n} \right\}.$$

(b) Taking $n = p^a$ for odd prime p and odd a , $\left\{ \frac{m}{n} \right\} = \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)$.

Proof. The $G(m, n)$ is the sum from (3.9) for $q = e^{\pi i/n}$. The product on the right hand-side of this equality was calculated in Corollary 3.6 as $m = 1$. Indeed, $G(1, n)$ is given by (3.12).

(a) The equality $|G(m, n)| = \sqrt{n}$ is immediate:

$$G(m, n) \overline{G(m, n)} = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} (1 - q^j) = \frac{N}{2} = n.$$

Here $G(1, n)$ is sufficient to examine (apply the Galois automorphisms). The formula for the argument of $G(m, n)$ is direct from formula (3.9). Using $\arg(1 - e^{i\phi}) = \phi/2 - \pi/2$ for $0 < \phi < 2\pi$:

$$\arg(G(m, n)) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\pi m j}{2n} - \left[\frac{mj}{2n} \right] \pi - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\pi m}{2n} \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - (n-1) \frac{\pi}{2} - \pi \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{mj}{2n} \right] = \\
&= \frac{\pi}{4} (m-1)(n-1) - \frac{\pi}{4} (n-1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{mj}{2n} \right] \pmod{2\pi}.
\end{aligned}$$

(b) For $m = 1$, the coincidence is evident. Generally,

$$G(m, n) = \pm G(1, n) = \left\{ \frac{m}{n} \right\} G(1, n).$$

Using the left-hand side of (3.9), the sign is plus if m is a quadratic residue modulo n and is constant on all non-residues m . We use that $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ is cyclic. It cannot always be plus because it would give the invariance of $G(1, n)$ under the Galois automorphisms $q \mapsto q^m$ and would result in $G(1, n) \in \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore the sign is minus on the non-residues. $\square$

3.5. Etingof's theorem. So far we considered the imaginary integration, the Jackson summation, and its variant at roots of unity. However the most natural choice is of course $\int_{i\epsilon+\mathbb{R}} q^{x^2} \delta_k(x) dx$. The calculation of the latter integral was performed by P. Etingof. We are grateful for the permission to include his note in the paper. Here we use i (instead of $\imath$) for the imaginary unit.

Recall that $q = \exp -1/a$, $\omega = 2\pi ia$. Let k be a positive real number, $\epsilon > 0$ a small positive number. We will use the function Π_k , the right-hand side of (3.2), and its properties, including information about the zeros. Let

$$\Psi_k = \frac{1}{g_k} \int_{i\epsilon+\mathbb{R}} q^{x^2} \delta_k(x) dx.$$

It is clear that Ψ_k is well defined.

Our goal is to calculate Ψ_k , which will be done in Theorem 7.4 below. To formulate the theorem, we need some definitions.

First, the theta function is

$$\theta(k, \tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n e^{2\pi i n k + \pi i n(n-1)\tau}, \quad \Im(\tau) > 0.$$

It is a periodic entire function with period 1, which satisfies the equation

$$\theta(k + \tau, \tau) = -e^{-2\pi i k} \theta(k, \tau).$$

It is defined by this equation uniquely up to scaling. The zeros of $\theta(k, \tau)$ with respect to k are $m + n\tau$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (all of them are simple).

Define the following (degenerate) Appell function:

$$A(k, \tau) = 2\pi i \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus 0} \frac{e^{2\pi i n^2 \tau + 2\pi i n k}}{e^{2\pi i n \tau} - 1}.$$

It is not expressed via theta functions.

Theorem 3.10. *The function Ψ_k extends to an entire function, and one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_k &= 2\sqrt{\pi a} \cdot e^{-\pi i k} q^{-k(k+1)/2} \frac{\theta(k, \omega)}{\theta'(0, \omega)} \times \\ &\times \left(\frac{\theta'}{\theta}(k, \omega) - \frac{\theta'}{\theta}(1/2, \omega) - A(k, \omega) + A(1/2, \omega) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We present the proof as a chain of lemmas.

Lemma 3.11. *The function Ψ_k has an analytic continuation to the region $\Re(k) > 0$, $|\Im(k)| < |\omega|$.*

Proof. The contour of integration can be replaced by

$$(-\infty + i\epsilon, i\epsilon] \cup [i\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}\omega - i\epsilon] \cup [\frac{1}{2}\omega - i\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}\omega - i\epsilon + \infty),$$

or by

$$(\frac{1}{2}\omega - i\epsilon + \infty, \frac{1}{2}\omega - i\epsilon] \cup [\frac{1}{2}\omega - i\epsilon, i\epsilon] \cup [i\epsilon, \infty + i\epsilon).$$

This implies the statement. $\square$

Lemma 3.12.

$$\Psi_{k+\omega} - \Psi_k = -2i\Psi_k^\sharp = -2i\Pi_k.$$

In particular, Ψ_k extends to a holomorphic function in the half-plane $\Re(k) > 0$.

Proof. This follows directly from Cauchy's residue formula. $\square$

Lemma 3.13. *One has*

$$\Psi_k = -q^{k+1}\Psi_{k+1}.$$

Proof. This is proved by using the shift-formula in the same way as the relation (3.5). Actually the sharp integration is a variant of the real integration so they result in the same multiplier. However their behavior in the imaginary direction is different. $\square$

Lemma 3.14. Ψ_k extends to an entire function.

Proof. This is immediate from Lemma 3.13. $\square$

Let us now define the entire function

$$F_k = q^{k(k+1)/2} e^{\pi i k} \Psi_k.$$

Lemma 3.15. F_k is periodic with the period 1, and

$$(3.13) \quad e^{2\pi i k} F_{k+\omega} + F_k = 2ie^{\pi i k} q^{k(k+1)/2} \Pi_k,$$

where Π_k is the right-hand side of (3.2).

Proof. The real periodicity is direct from Lemma 3.13. Equation (3.13) is checked following the same lines as formula (2.7). $\square$

Now, we are going to construct at least one holomorphic solution of equation (3.13) and then correct it by adding a solution of the homogeneous equation, i.e., a multiple of $\theta(k, \omega)$.

To construct such a solution, let us express the right-hand side of (3.13) in terms of the theta function.

Lemma 3.16. One has

$$ie^{\pi i k} q^{k(k+1)/2} \Pi_k = C \theta(k + \omega + 1/2, 2\omega) \frac{\theta(k, \omega)}{\theta'(0, \omega)},$$

where C is a constant.

Proof is a combination of the translational properties of Π_k and the information about its zeros. $\square$

Now consider the following two equations:

$$(3.14) \quad G_{k+\omega} - G_k = 1, \text{ and}$$

$$(3.15) \quad H_{k+\omega} - H_k = \theta(k + \omega + \frac{1}{2}, 2\omega) - 1.$$

It is clear from Lemma 3.16 that if G_k solves (3.14) and H_k solves (3.15) then $-2C \frac{\theta(k, \omega)}{\theta'(0, \omega)} (G_k + H_k)$ solves (3.13). Concerning (3.14), it is satisfied by

$$G_k = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\theta'}{\theta}(k, \omega).$$

On the other hand,

$$H_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} A(k, \omega)$$

solves (3.15). This implies that

$$F_k = -\frac{C}{\pi i} \frac{\theta(k, \omega)}{\theta'(0, \omega)} (A(k, \omega) - \frac{\theta'}{\theta}(k, \omega) - \beta)$$

for constants C, β (note that the right-hand side is an entire function). So we need to find these constants.

Lemma 3.17. *The function F_k satisfies the conditions $F_0 = 2\sqrt{\pi a}$, $F_{1/2} = 0$.*

Proof. The first statement is clear (use the Gauss integral); the second one follows from the fact that g_k has a pole at $k = -1/2$ and F_k is 1-periodic. $\square$

Now we see that $\beta = A(1/2, \omega) - \frac{\theta'}{\theta}(1/2, \omega)$, and $C = 2\pi i \sqrt{\pi a}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Comment. The function $A(k, \omega)$ has the following connection to Appell functions (see e.g., [Po] and references therein). The Appell function $\kappa(u, k, \tau)$ is defined as a unique holomorphic, 1-periodic in k solution of the equation

$$\kappa(u, k + \tau, \tau) = e^{2\pi i u} \kappa(u, k, \tau) + \theta(k + \frac{1 + \tau}{2}, \tau).$$

It has the Fourier series expansion

$$\kappa(u, k, \tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e^{\pi i n^2 \tau + 2\pi i n k}}{e^{2\pi i n \tau} - e^{2\pi i u}}.$$

The Appell function has a pole at $u = 0$, so one can introduce its regular part:

$$\kappa_0(k, \tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus 0} \frac{e^{\pi i n^2 \tau + 2\pi i n k}}{e^{2\pi i n \tau} - 1}.$$

Then

$$A(k, \omega) = 2\pi i (\kappa_0(k, 2\omega) + \kappa_0(k + \omega, 2\omega)).$$

4. NONSYMMETRIC HANKEL TRANSFORM

We go to the second level of our program: *to connect the Hankel transform and the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{Z}_N$ in one theory.*

In the first place, we will interpret the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2} |x|^{2k} dx = \Gamma(k + 1/2), \quad \Re k > -1/2,$$

as the structural constant of the classical Hankel transform, and prove Theorem 1.2. Our approach is different from the usual treatment of similar integrals in classical works on Bessel functions.

4.1. Operator approach. Recall that $\phi_\lambda^{(k)}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi^{(k)}(\lambda x)$ is given as follows:

$$\phi^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2n} \Gamma(k + 1/2)}{n! \Gamma(k + n + 1/2)}, \quad k \notin -1/2 - \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

It is even in both x and λ : $\phi^{(k)}(t) = \phi^{(k)}(-t)$. The connection with the classical Bessel function is established in (1.4).

Our first step is to reprove the classical formula (see e.g., [Luk]) for the Gauss integral in the presence of the Bessel functions, using the operator interpretation of the latter.

Theorem 4.1. *For arbitrary complex λ, μ and $\Re(k) > -1/2$,*

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_\lambda^{(k)}(x) \phi_\mu^{(k)}(x) e^{-x^2} |x|^{2k} dx = \Gamma(k + 1/2) \phi_\lambda^{(k)}(\mu) e^{\lambda^2 + \mu^2}.$$

Proof is based on the theory of the differential operator

$$L = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{2k}{x} \frac{d}{dx}.$$

Lemma 4.2. (i) *The function $\phi_\lambda^{(k)}(x)$ is a unique even solution of the eigenvalue problem $L\phi(x) = 4\lambda^2\phi(x)$ with the normalization $\phi(0) = 1$.*

(ii) *The operator L is selfadjoint with respect to the scalar product $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(x)|x|^{2k} dx$.*

Proof. Both statements are straightforward. Concerning (ii), we check that $L = |x|^{-k} \circ H \circ |x|^k$, where $H = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{k(1-k)}{x^2}$, and use that H is selfadjoint for the scalar product $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(x)dx$. $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *Asymptotically as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\phi_\lambda^{(k)}(x) \sim C(\lambda)(e^{2\lambda x} + e^{-2\lambda x})|x|^{-k}$$

for a constant $C(\lambda)$.

Proof. The eigenfunctions of H with the eigenvalue $4\lambda^2$ are asymptotically $e^{2\lambda x}$ and $e^{-2\lambda x}$. $\square$

Let $f(x)$ be an even function of $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x)e^{cx} \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ for any c . The *Hankel transform* is defined as follows:

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbf{F}(f)(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\Gamma(k+1/2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \phi_\lambda^{(k)}(x) |x|^{2k} dx, \quad \Re k > -1/2.$$

Let $\mathbf{F}^{op}$ be the corresponding transform on the operators: $\mathbf{F}^{op}(A) = \mathbf{F} A \mathbf{F}^{-1}$.

Lemma 4.4. (a) $\mathbf{F}^{op}(L) = 4\lambda^2$,

$$(b) \quad \mathbf{F}^{op}(4x^2) = L_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} + \frac{2k}{\lambda} \frac{d}{d\lambda},$$

$$(c) \quad \mathbf{F}^{op}(4x \frac{d}{dx}) = -4\lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} - 4 - 8k.$$

Proof. Formulas (a) and (b) hold because the operator L is selfadjoint and $\phi_\lambda^{(k)}(x)$ is its eigenfunction. Formula (c) formally follows from (a), (b), and the identity

$$[L, x^2] = 4x \frac{d}{dx} + 2 + 4k. \quad \square$$

We set $\phi_{\pm, \lambda}^{(k)} = \phi_\lambda^{(k)}(x) e^{\pm x^2}$, and

$$L^+ = e^{x^2} \circ L \circ e^{-x^2}, \quad L^- = e^{-x^2} \circ L \circ e^{x^2}.$$

Explicitly,

$$L^+ = L + 4x \frac{d}{dx} + 2 + 4k + 4x^2, \quad L^- = L - 4x \frac{d}{dx} - 2 - 4k + 4x^2.$$

Using Lemma 4.4,

$$\mathbf{F}^{op}(L^-) = L^+.$$

It is immediate from Lemma 4.2, (i) that $L^\pm \phi_{\pm, \lambda}^{(k)} = 4\lambda^2 \phi_{\pm, \lambda}^{(k)}$ and

$$\mathbf{F}(\phi_{-, \mu}^{(k)}) = C_\mu e^{\mu^2} \phi_{+, \mu}^{(k)}(\lambda),$$

where C_μ does not depend on λ . Therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_\lambda^{(k)}(x) \phi_\mu^{(k)}(x) e^{-x^2} |x|^{2k} dx = \Gamma(k+1/2) C_\mu \phi_\mu^{(k)}(\lambda) e^{\lambda^2 + \mu^2}.$$

The left-hand side of this equality is invariant under the change $\lambda \leftrightarrow \mu$, so is the right-hand side. Hence $C_\mu = C_0 = 1$. The theorem is proved. $\square$

4.2. Nonsymmetric theory. The Hankel transform sends even functions to even functions (and is zero when applied to odd functions), by construction. In this section we consider its nonsymmetric version. We denote the reflection $f(x) \mapsto f(-x)$ by s .

Key Definition (C. Dunkl) $D = \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{k}{x}(s - 1)$. $\square$

The operator $\mathcal{D}$ is obviously odd, i.e., $s\mathcal{D}s = -\mathcal{D}$. The restriction of the even operator $\mathcal{D}^2$ to the space of even functions coincides with $L = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{2k}{x} \frac{d}{dx}$:

$$\mathcal{D}^2(f) = \mathcal{D}(f') = f'' + \frac{2k}{x}f' \text{ for even } f(x).$$

The following two lemmas are counterparts of Lemmas 4.2 and 4.4.

Lemma 4.5. (i) If $\lambda \neq 0$ or $\lambda = 0$ and $k \notin -1/2 - \mathbb{Z}_+$, then the eigenvalue problem $\mathcal{D}\psi = 2\lambda\psi$ has a unique analytic at 0 solution $\psi_\lambda^{(k)}(x)$ with the normalization $\psi_\lambda^{(k)}(0) = 1$. Moreover, $\psi_\lambda^{(k)}(x) = \psi^{(k)}(\lambda x)$ and

$$\psi^{(k)}(t) = \phi^{(k)}(t) + \frac{(\phi^{(k)}(t))'}{2} \text{ for } \phi^{(k)}(t) = \frac{\psi^{(k)}(t) + \psi^{(k)}(-t)}{2}.$$

If $\lambda = 0$ and $k = -1/2 - n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $\psi = 1 + Cx^{2n+1}$ for $C \in \mathbb{C}$.

(ii) Let $\mathcal{D}^*$ be the adjoint of $\mathcal{D}$ with respect to the scalar product $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(x)|x|^{2k}dx$. Then $\mathcal{D}^* = -\mathcal{D}$.

Comment. For any k , there is an extra solution $\psi = x/|x|^{2k+1}$ of the equation $\mathcal{D}\psi = 0$, but it is analytic at 0 only as $k \in -\frac{1}{2} - \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proof. (i) We set $\psi = \psi_0 + \psi_1$ where ψ_0 is even and ψ_1 is odd. Then $\mathcal{D}\psi = 2\lambda\psi$ is equivalent to the system of equations

$$\psi_0' = 2\lambda\psi_1, \quad \psi_1' + \frac{2k}{x}\psi_1 = 2\lambda\psi_0.$$

We get that ψ_0 satisfies Lemma 4.2, (i).

(ii) The operator $|x|^k \circ \mathcal{D} \circ |x|^{-k} = \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{k}{x}s$ is anti-selfadjoint with respect to the scalar product $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(x)dx$. $\square$

Following Dunkl, we introduce the *nonsymmetric Hankel transform*:

$$\mathbf{F}(f)(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k + 1/2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \psi_{\lambda}^{(k)}(x) |x|^{2k} dx;$$

$\mathbf{F}^{op}$ is its action on operators.

Lemma 4.6. (a) $\mathbf{F}^{op}(\mathcal{D}) = -2\lambda$,
 (b) $\mathbf{F}^{op}(s) = s_{\lambda}$, $s_{\lambda}f(\lambda) \mapsto f(-\lambda)$,
 (c) $\mathbf{F}^{op}(2x) = \mathcal{D}_{\lambda} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{d}{d\lambda} - \frac{k}{\lambda}(s_{\lambda} - 1)$. □

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.7. (*Master formula*)

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{\lambda}^{(k)}(x) \psi_{\mu}^{(k)}(x) e^{-x^2} |x|^{2k} dx = \Gamma(k + 1/2) \psi_{\lambda}^{(k)}(\mu) e^{\lambda^2 + \mu^2}.$$

Proof is parallel to the proof of Theorem 4.1. However the necessary calculations are simpler than in the symmetric case. Introduce functions $\psi_{\pm, \lambda}^{(k)}(x) = \psi_{\lambda}^{(k)}(x) e^{\pm x^2}$ and operators $\mathcal{D}^+ = e^{x^2} \circ \mathcal{D} \circ e^{-x^2}$, $\mathcal{D}^- = e^{-x^2} \circ \mathcal{D} \circ e^{x^2}$. Easy calculations show that

$$\mathcal{D}^{\pm} \psi_{\pm, \lambda}^{(k)} = 2\lambda \psi_{\pm, \lambda}^{(k)}, \quad \mathcal{D}^{\pm} = \mathcal{D} \mp 2x, \quad \mathbf{F}^{op}(\mathcal{D}^-) = \mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^+.$$

Hence

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbf{F}(\psi_{-, \mu}^{(k)}) = C_{\mu} e^{\mu^2} \psi_{+, \mu}^{(k)}(\lambda),$$

where C_{μ} does not depend on λ . Finally, $C_{\mu} = C_0 = 1$ thanks to the symmetry $\lambda \leftrightarrow \mu$. □

Comment. Theorem 4.7 is equivalent to Theorem 4.1, which is a special feature of the one-dimensional setup. Generally, the nonsymmetric formula results in the symmetric one but not the other way round.

In the first place, Theorem 4.7 implies Theorem 4.1, since

$$\phi_{\lambda}^{(k)}(x) = (\psi_{\lambda}^{(k)})_0(x) = (\psi_{\lambda}^{(k)}(x) + \psi_{-\lambda}^{(k)}(x))/2, \text{ and for } \mu.$$

To deduce Theorem 4.7 from Theorem 4.1 we need to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\psi_{\lambda}^{(k)})_1(x) (\psi_{\mu}^{(k)})_1(x) e^{-x^2} |x|^{2k} dx = \Gamma(k + 1/2) (\psi_{\lambda}^{(k)})_1(\mu) e^{\lambda^2 + \mu^2},$$

where $(\psi_{\lambda}^{(k)})_1(x)$ is the odd component of $(\psi_{\lambda}^{(k)})(x)$. We may ignore the "cross-terms" $(\psi_{\lambda}^{(k)})_1(x) (\psi_{\mu}^{(k)})_0(x)$ since they are odd and their integrals

are zero. To calculate the integral above, we can use the same Theorem 4.1 because of the following *shift-formula*:

$$\frac{1}{x}(\psi_\lambda^{(k)})_1 = \frac{2\lambda}{1+2k}\phi_\lambda^{(k+1)}.$$

This equivalence somewhat clarifies why the nonsymmetric Hankel transform did not appear (as far as we know) in classical works on Bessel functions. It adds nothing new to the symmetric (even) one. $\square$

Using the operator $e^{-\mathcal{D}^2/4}$, we can rewrite formula (4.3) in the following form:

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_\lambda^{(k)}(x) e^{-\mathcal{D}^2/4}(f(x)) e^{-x^2} |x|^{2k} dx = \Gamma(k+1/2) f(\lambda) e^{\lambda^2},$$

where $f(x)$ is a function from a suitable completion of the space linearly generated by the functions $\psi_\mu^{(k)}(x)$. Indeed, Theorem 4.7 shows that (4.5) holds for $f(x) = \psi_\mu^{(k)}(x)$. Formula (4.5) leads to the following entirely algebraic definition of the Hankel transform:

$$\mathbf{F} = e^{x^2} \circ e^{\mathcal{D}^2/4} \circ e^{x^2}.$$

We will use it later to introduce the truncated Hankel transform.

Since $\mathcal{D}$ is nilpotent, (4.5) results in the following important corollaries.

Corollary 4.8. *(cf. Corollary 1.6) The nonsymmetric Hankel transform restricts to a map $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{C}[x]e^{-x^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\lambda]e^{\lambda^2}$ and, moreover, preserves the filtration by degrees of polynomials.* $\square$

We set $\mathcal{B}_x = \sum_\mu \mathbb{C} \psi_\mu^{(k)}(x) e^{-x^2}$, $\mathcal{B}_\lambda = \sum_\mu \mathbb{C} \psi_\mu^{(k)}(\lambda) e^{\lambda^2}$.

Corollary 4.9. *(Inversion) Introducing the imaginary Hankel transform*

$$\mathbf{F}_{im}(g)(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{i\Gamma(k+1/2)} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} g(\lambda) \psi_x^{(k)}(-\lambda) |\lambda|^{2k} d\lambda,$$

$\mathbf{F} \circ \mathbf{F}_{im} = id$ and $\mathbf{F}_{im} \circ \mathbf{F} = id$ in the spaces $\mathcal{B}_x, \mathcal{B}_\lambda$, or $\mathbb{C}[x]e^{-x^2}$, $\mathbb{C}[\lambda]e^{\lambda^2}$, or their suitable completions.

Proof. This follows from the formula

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{1}{i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \psi_\lambda^{(k)}(-x) \psi_\mu^{(k)}(x) e^{x^2} |x|^{2k} dx = \Gamma(k+1/2) \psi_\lambda^{(k)}(\mu) e^{-\lambda^2 - \mu^2},$$

which results from (4.3) upon $x \mapsto ix, \lambda \mapsto i\lambda, \mu \mapsto -i\mu$. The passage to $\mathbb{C}[x]e^{-x^2}$ and $\mathbb{C}[\lambda]e^{\lambda^2}$ is either by means of (4.5) or via the completion. $\square$

Corollary 4.10. (*Plancherel formula*) For functions $f(x), g(x)$ in $\mathcal{B}_x$ or in $\mathbb{C}[x]e^{-x^2}$, we set $\hat{f} = \mathbf{F}(f), \hat{g} = \mathbf{F}(g)$. Then

$$(4.7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(x)|x|^{2k}dx = \frac{1}{i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(-\lambda)\hat{g}(\lambda)|\lambda|^{2k}d\lambda.$$

Proof. The left-hand side of (4.7) can be readily calculated for $f(x) = \psi_{\mu_1}^{(k)}(x)e^{-x^2}$ and $g(x) = \psi_{\mu_2}^{(k)}(x)e^{-x^2}$ thanks to formula (4.4). We substitute $\sqrt{2}x \mapsto x$ and use that $\psi_{\mu}^{(k)}(x)$ depends on the product μx . Similarly, we calculate the right-hand side using (4.6). Completing or using (4.5), we switch to the space $\mathbb{C}[x]e^{-x^2}$. $\square$

Concerning completions, when $k \in \mathbb{R}$ the last corollary produces the nonsymmetric Hankel transform on the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |x|^{2k})$ because it is the L^2 -completion of the space $\mathbb{C}[x]e^{-x^2}$ with respect to the scalar product $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\overline{g(x)}|x|^{2k}dx$.

To be more exact, we first use this corollary for real-valued functions. The image of the corresponding L^2 will be the $\mathbb{R}$ -subspace of $L^2(i\mathbb{R}, |\lambda|^{2k})$ formed by functions satisfying $\overline{f(\lambda)} = f(-\lambda)$. Then we extend the coefficients from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{C}$.

4.3. Double H double prime. We denote it by $\mathcal{H}''$ ($\mathcal{H}'$ is reserved for the trigonometric limit which leads to the Harish-Chandra theory). It is

$$\mathcal{H}'' = \langle \mathcal{D}, x, s \rangle / \{ s\mathcal{D}s = -\mathcal{D}, sxs = -x, [\mathcal{D}, x] = 1 + 2ks, s^2 = 1 \}.$$

The algebra $\mathcal{H}''$ acts on the vector space $\mathbb{C}[x]$ via the Dunkl operator:

$$s(f(x)) = f(-x), \quad x(f(x)) = xf(x), \quad \mathcal{D}(f) = \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \frac{k}{x}(s-1) \right) f.$$

We will call it the *polynomial representation*.

Theorem 4.11. (a) The $\mathcal{H}''$ -module $\mathbb{C}[x]$ is faithful.

(b) (PBW-property) The elements $x^n \mathcal{D}^m s^\varepsilon$, $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \varepsilon = 0, 1$, form a basis of $\mathcal{H}''$.

Proof. It is clear that any element of $\mathcal{H}''$ can be expressed in the following form:

$$H = \sum_{n,m,\varepsilon} c_{n,m,\varepsilon} x^n \mathcal{D}^m s^\varepsilon, \quad c_{n,m,\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

If $c_{n,m,\varepsilon} \neq 0$ at least once, then the image of H is nonzero in the space of either even or odd functions. This gives both (a) and (b). $\square$

Because the polynomial representation is always faithful we will identify $\mathcal{D}$ considered as a generator of the double H double prime with its image, the Dunkl operator.

The algebra $\mathcal{H}''$ has an automorphism ω defined by

$$(4.8) \quad \omega(\mathcal{D}) = -2x, \quad \omega(2x) = \mathcal{D}, \quad \omega(s) = s.$$

It can be represented as follows:

$$(4.9) \quad \omega = e^{x^2} \circ e^{\mathcal{D}^2/4} \circ x^2 = e^{\mathcal{D}^2/4} \circ e^{x^2} \circ e^{\mathcal{D}^2/4}.$$

Here we extend $\mathcal{H}''$ by adding e^{x^2} , $e^{\mathcal{D}^2/4}$, and treat the latter as inner automorphisms in the resulting greater algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}''$. It is simple to see that both preserve $\mathcal{H}''$. The automorphism ω is nothing else but an algebraic version of the Hankel transform **F**.

The coincidence of the two representations of ω can be deduced from the defining relations or (much simpler) checked in the polynomial representation. It defines the action of the *projective* $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ (due to Steinberg) on $\mathcal{H}''$.

The polynomial representation is always faithful but not always irreducible.

Theorem 4.12. *The $\mathcal{H}''$ -module $\mathbb{C}[x]$ is irreducible if and only if $k \notin -1/2 - \mathbb{Z}_+$.*

Proof. If $k \notin -1/2 - \mathbb{Z}_+$, then the equation $\mathcal{D}\psi = 0$ has a unique solution $\psi = 1$ in $\mathbb{C}[x]$. Since the operator $\mathcal{D}$ is nilpotent in $\mathbb{C}[x]$, any submodule should contain 1 and therefore the whole $\mathbb{C}[x]$. If $k = -1/2 - n$ then x^{2n+1} generates a nontrivial submodule of $\mathbb{C}[x]$ since $\mathcal{D}(x^{2n+1}) = 0$. $\square$

We see that $\mathcal{H}''$ can have finite dimensional irreducible representations for some k . It is not difficult to describe them all. Generally, the theory of finite dimensional representations of double Hecke algebras associated with root systems is far from being complete.

4.4. Finite dimensional representations. We will use that

$$(4.10) \quad [h, x] = x, \quad [h, \mathcal{D}] = -\mathcal{D} \quad \text{for } h = (x\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{D}x)/2.$$

Note that h is $x \frac{d}{dx} + k + 1/2$ in the polynomial representation. Since it is faithful, (4.10) is the claim that $x, \mathcal{D}$ are homogeneous operators of degrees ± 1 , which is obvious.

These relations are the defining relations of $osp(2|1)$, but we will not rely on the theory of this super Lie algebra. For our purpose, a reduction to sl_2 is sufficient.

Namely, we will use that the elements $e = x^2$, $f = -\mathcal{D}^2/4$, and h satisfy the defining relations of $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$. Indeed, $[e, f] = h$ because

$$[\mathcal{D}^2, x^2] = [\mathcal{D}^2, x]x + x[\mathcal{D}^2, x] = 2\mathcal{D}x + x(2\mathcal{D}),$$

and the relations $[h, e] = 2e$, $[h, f] = -2f$ readily result from (4.10). The Casimir operator $C = h^2 - 2h + 4ef$ becomes

$$(h^2 - 2h)(1) = h(h - 2)(1) = (k + 1/2)(k - 3/2) \text{ in } \mathbb{C}[x].$$

The module $\mathbb{C}[x]$ is the Verma module with the h -lowest weight $1/2 + k$ over $U(osp(2|1))$. It is the direct sum of the two Verma modules with h -lowest weights $1/2 + k$ and $3/2 + k$, formed by even and odd functions respectively, with respect to the action of $U(sl_2)$.

Let $k = -n - \frac{1}{2}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then $V_{2n+1} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^{2n+1})$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathcal{H}''$. The elements of V_{2n+1} can be identified with polynomials of degree smaller than $2n + 1$.

Theorem 4.13. *Finite dimensional representations of $\mathcal{H}''$ exist only as $k = -n - 1/2$ or $k = n + 1/2$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Given such k , the algebra $\mathcal{H}''$ has a unique finite dimensional irreducible representation up to isomorphisms. It is either V_{2n+1} for negative k , or for its image under the $\mathcal{H}''$ -automorphism:*

$$(4.11) \quad x \mapsto x, \mathcal{D} \mapsto \mathcal{D}, \quad s \mapsto -s, k \mapsto -k,$$

in the case of positive k .

Proof. Let V be an irreducible finite dimensional representation of $\mathcal{H}''$. Then the subspaces V^0, V^1 of V formed respectively by s -invariant and s -anti-invariant vectors are preserved by e, f , and h . Indeed, $sxs = -x$, $s\mathcal{D}s = -\mathcal{D}$, and $sh = hs$. Note that s leaves all h -eigenspaces invariant. For instance, it commutes with the sl_2 -action. One gets

$$\mathcal{D}x = h + k + 1/2, \quad x\mathcal{D} = h - k - 1/2 \quad \text{in } V^0,$$

and the other way round in V^1 .

Let us check that $\pm k \in -1/2 - \mathbb{Z}_+$. All h -eigenvalues in V are integers thanks to the general theory of finite dimensional representations of $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$.

We pick a highest vector v , i.e., a nonzero h -eigenvector $v \in V$ with the maximal possible eigenvalue m . Using the automorphism (4.11), we will assume now and later that it belongs to V^0 . Then $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ (the theory of sl_2) and $x(v) = 0$ because the latter is an h -eigenvector with the eigenvalue $m + 1$. Hence $\mathcal{D}x(v) = 0$, $m + k + 1/2 = 0$, and $k = -1/2 - m$.

Let U^0 be a nonzero irreducible $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ -submodule of V^0 . The spectrum of h in U^0 is $\{-n, -n + 2, \dots, n - 2, n\}$ for an integer $n \geq 0$. Let $v_l \neq 0$ be an h -eigenvector with the eigenvalue l . If $e(v) = 0$, then $v = cv_n$ for a constant c , and if $f(v) = 0$ then $v = cv_{-n}$.

Let us check that $\mathcal{D}x(v_n) = 0$, $x\mathcal{D}(v_{-n}) = 0$, and

$$\mathcal{D}x(v_l) \neq 0 \text{ for } l \neq n, \quad x\mathcal{D}(v_l) \neq 0 \text{ for } l \neq -n.$$

Both operators, $\mathcal{D}x$ and $x\mathcal{D}$, obviously preserve U^0 :

$$\mathcal{D}x(v_l) = (l + k + 1/2)v_l, \quad x\mathcal{D}(v_l) = (l - k - 1/2)v_l.$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{D}^2x^2(v_l) = ((\mathcal{D}x)^2 + (1 - 2k)(\mathcal{D}x))(v_l) = (l + k + 1/2)(l - k + 3/2)v_l.$$

Setting $l = n$, we get that $(n + k + 1/2)(n - k + 3/2) = 0$ and $k = -1/2 - n$, because $k < 0$ and $n - k + 3/2 > 0$. Thus $\mathcal{D}x(v_n) = 0$. The case of $x\mathcal{D}$ is analogous.

The next claim is that $x(v_n) = 0$, $\mathcal{D}(v_{-n}) = 0$. Indeed, $x(v') = 0$ and $\mathcal{D}(v') = 0$ for $v' = x(v_n)$. Therefore

$$0 = [\mathcal{D}, x](v') = (1 + 2ks)(v') = (1 - 1 + n)v' = nv'.$$

This means that either $v' = 0$ or $n = 0$. In the latter case, v' is proportional to v_0 and therefore $v' = x(v_0) = 0$ as well. Similarly, $\mathcal{D}(v_{-n}) = 0$.

Now we use the formula

$$\mathcal{D}(x^2(v_l)) = x(2 + x\mathcal{D})(v_l) = (2 + l - k - 1/2)x(v_l) = (2 + l + n)x(v_l),$$

and get that $x(v_l) \in \mathcal{D}(U^0)$ for any $-n \leq l \leq n$. Hence $U = U^0 + \mathcal{D}(U^0)$ is x -invariant. It is obviously $\mathcal{D}$ -invariant and s -invariant ($\Leftarrow \mathcal{D}(V^0) \subset V^1$). Also the sum is direct.

Finally, U is an $\mathcal{H}'''$ -module and has to coincide with V because the latter was assumed to be irreducible. The above formulas make the

$\mathcal{H}''$ - isomorphism $U \simeq V_{2n+1}$ explicit: the h -eigenvectors $x^i(v_{-n}) \in U$ go to the monomials $x^i \in V_{2n+1}$. $\square$

4.5. Truncated Hankel transform. Let us consider the representation V_{2n+1} closely. We endow it with the following two scalar products:

$$\langle f, g \rangle_+ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Res} (f(x)g(x)x^{-2n-1})$$

and

$$\langle f, g \rangle_- \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Res} (f(x)g(-x)x^{-2n-1}),$$

analogous to the standard scalar products considered above. Here by Res , we mean the coefficient of x^{-1} . One has: $\langle sf, g \rangle_{\pm} = \langle f, sg \rangle_{\pm}$, and

$$(4.12) \quad \langle xf, g \rangle_{\pm} = \pm \langle f, xg \rangle_{\pm}, \quad \langle \mathcal{D}f, g \rangle_{\pm} = \mp \langle f, \mathcal{D}g \rangle_{\pm}.$$

Note that if $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} a_i x^i$ then

$$\langle f, f \rangle_{\pm} = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (\pm)^i a_i a_{2n-i},$$

so these scalar products are far from being positive definite.

In contrast to $\mathbb{C}[x]$, the Gaussians are well defined in V_{2n+1} :

$$e^{\pm x^2} = \sum_{m=0}^{2n} (\pm x^2)^m / m!.$$

We may introduce the truncated Hankel transform on this space by the old formula $\mathbf{F}_+ = e^{x^2} e^{\mathcal{D}^2/4} e^{x^2}$. The multiplication by e^{x^2} and $e^{\mathcal{D}^2/4}$ are well defined on the space V_{2n+1} because x and $\mathcal{D}$ are nilpotent. Similarly, $\mathbf{F}_-(f(x)) = \mathbf{F}_+(f(-x))$. Another (equivalent) approach requires the truncated nonsymmetric Bessel functions, which will not be discussed here. See [CM].

Theorem 4.14. *The truncated inversion reads as*

$$\mathbf{F}_- \circ \mathbf{F}_+ = (-1)^n \text{id} = \mathbf{F}_+ \circ \mathbf{F}_-.$$

Let $\widehat{f} = \mathbf{F}_+(f)$ and $\widehat{g} = \mathbf{F}_+(g)$. We have the Plancherel formula

$$\langle f, g \rangle_+ = (-1)^n \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle_-.$$

Proof. Using (4.12), we conclude that F_+ , inducing the automorphism ω on $\mathcal{H}''$, sends the anti-involution corresponding to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+$ to that of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_-$. We need to examine the generators $s, x, \mathcal{D}$. The representation V_{2n+1} is irreducible, so the scalar products $\langle f, g \rangle_+$ and $\langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle_-$ have to be proportional.

To find the proportionality coefficient, let us calculate the Hankel transform explicitly. First, $\mathbf{F}_+(1)$ has to be proportional to x^{2n} since 1 is a unique eigenvector of $\mathcal{D}$ and ω sends $\mathcal{D}$ to $-2x$. Hence $\mathbf{F}_+(x^m)$ is proportional to $\mathcal{D}^m \mathbf{F}_+(1)$, that is x^{2n-m} . Second,

$$\mathbf{F}_+(e^{-x^2}) = (e^{x^2} e^{\mathcal{D}^2/4} e^{x^2}) e^{-x^2} = e^{x^2}, \text{ therefore}$$

$$\mathbf{F}_+(x^{2m}) = (-1)^m \frac{m!}{(n-m)!} x^{2n-2m}, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{F}_+(x^{2m+1}) = (\mathcal{D}/2) \mathbf{F}_+(x^{2m}) = (-1)^m \frac{m!}{(n-m-1)!} x^{2n-2m-1}.$$

In particular $\mathbf{F}_+(1) = \frac{x^{2n}}{n!}$ and $\mathbf{F}_+(x^{2n}) = (-1)^n n!$. We get the inversion formula. The Plancherel formula holds since

$$\langle 1, x^{2n} \rangle_+ = 1 \text{ and } \langle \mathbf{F}_+(1), \mathbf{F}_+(x^{2n}) \rangle_- = (-1)^n \langle x^{2n}, 1 \rangle_- = (-1)^n. \quad \square$$

5. DAHA AND MACDONALD'S POLYNOMIALS

Recall Theorem 2.1:

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{1}{i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} q^{-x^2} \delta_k(x) dx = 2\sqrt{\pi a} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{k+j}}{1 - q^{2k+j}}, \quad \Re k > 0,$$

where

$$\delta_k(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{j+2x})(1 - q^{j-2x})}{(1 - q^{k+j+2x})(1 - q^{k+j-2x})}$$

for $q = e^{-1/a}$.

For a Laurent series $f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n q^{nx}$ in the variable q^x , $\langle f(x) \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} c_0$ is called the *constant term*. We expand $\delta_k(x)$ in a Taylor series in terms of q^k . It becomes a Laurent series in terms of q^x with the coefficients from $\mathbb{C}[q^k][[q]]$. Let

$$\widehat{\gamma}_- \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{nx+n^2/4}.$$

It is a definition, but the right-hand side does coincide with q^{-x^2} in the space of distributions on periodic functions in the variable x with the period $\omega = 2\pi ia$. Formula (5.1) is equivalent to

$$(5.2) \quad \langle \widehat{\gamma_-} \delta_k(x) \rangle = 2 \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{k+j}}{1 - q^{2k+j}}.$$

5.1. Rogers' polynomials. We are going to interpret the latter formula as a calculation of the structural constant of the difference spherical Fourier transform in the A_1 -case. Generally speaking, the spherical transform is an integration with the spherical (or hypergeometric) functions. In this setup, the spherical functions are Rogers' polynomials and the integration is the constant term functional.

Definition 5.1. *Rogers' polynomials $p_n(x) \in \mathbb{C}(q, q^k)[q^x + q^{-x}]$ are uniquely defined by the properties:*

- (a) $p_n(x) = q^{nx} + q^{-nx} + \sum_{|m| < n} c_m q^{mx}$, $n > 0$, $p_0 = 1$;
- (b) $\langle p_n(x) q^{mx} \delta_k(x) \rangle = 0$ for all m with $|m| < n$.

The first two nontrivial Rogers' polynomials are:

$$p_1 = q^x + q^{-x}, \quad p_2 = q^{2x} + q^{-2x} + \frac{(1 - q^k)(1 + q)}{1 - q^{k+1}}.$$

The formula for p_1 is immediate from the following simple lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $p_n(x) = \sum_m c_m q^{mx}$. Then $c_m \neq 0$ only for even $n - m$.*

Proof. The Laurent series $\delta_k(x)$ involves only even powers of q^x .
□

To calculate p_2 , we use that $\delta_k(x)$ can be replaced in (b) by

$$\delta_k^0(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\delta_k(x)}{\langle \delta_k(x) \rangle}.$$

We calculated it in Theorem 2.5:

$$\delta_k^0(x) = 1 + \frac{(1 + q)(q^k - 1)}{2(1 - q^{k+1})}(q^{2x} + q^{-2x}) + \dots$$

The constant term of p_2 is the first coefficient of this expansion multiplied by -2 .

More generally, this argument gives that the coefficients of all $p_n(x)$ lie in $\mathbb{Q}(q, q^k)$ since so do the coefficients of $\delta_k^0(x)$.

Rogers' polynomials $p_n(x)$ play the role of the Bessel functions in the following theorem

Theorem 5.3.

$$(5.3) \quad \langle p_n(x)p_m(x)\widehat{\gamma_-}\delta_k^0(x) \rangle = p_n(m+k/2)p_m(k/2)q^{(m^2+n^2+2k(m+n))/4} \langle \widehat{\gamma_-}\delta_k^0(x) \rangle.$$

Proof will be given later. $\square$

Obviously (5.3) results in the $m \leftrightarrow n$ -invariance of the product $p_n(m+k/2)p_m(k/2)$. Vice-versa, it can be readily deduced from this symmetry, as we will see soon.

The most natural proof of this theorem goes via the q -counterparts of the nonsymmetric Bessel functions.

5.2. Nonsymmetric polynomials. Recall formulas (2.8),(2.9):

$$\delta_k^0(x) = \frac{\mu_k^0(x) + \mu_k^0(-x)}{2},$$

where

$$\mu_k(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{j+2x})(1 - q^{j+1-2x})}{(1 - q^{k+j+2x})(1 - q^{k+j+1-2x})},$$

$$\mu_k^0(x) = \mu_k(x)/\langle \mu_k(x) \rangle = 1 + \frac{q^k - 1}{1 - q^{k+1}}(q^{2x} + q^{1-2x}) + \dots$$

We use the following linear order on the set of monomials q^{nx} : $q^{nx} \succ q^{mx}$ if either $|m| < |n|$ or $n = -m$ and n is negative (so $q^{-x} \succ q^x$).

Definition 5.4. *Nonsymmetric polynomials $e_n(x) \in \mathbb{C}(q, q^k)[q^x, q^{-x}]$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ are uniquely defined by the properties:*

- (a) $e_n(x) = q^{nx} + \text{lower terms with respect to } \succ$,
- (b) $\langle e_n(x)q^{-mx}\mu_k^0(x) \rangle = 0$ if $q^{mx} \prec q^{nx}$ (note the minus sign!)

The definition and the beginning of the expansion of μ_k^0 give that

$$e_0 = 1, e_1 = q^x, e_{-1} = q^{-x} + \frac{1 - q^k}{1 - q^{k+1}}q^x, e_2 = q^{2x} + q\frac{1 - q^k}{1 - q^{k+1}}.$$

Similar to p_n , each $e_n(x)$ involves only monomials q^{mx} with even $n - m$ because $\mu_k^0(x)$ contains only even powers of q^x . Similar to $p_n(x)$,

$$e_n(x) \in \mathbb{Q}(q, q^k)[q^x, q^{-x}], \text{ since } \mu_k^0(x) \in \mathbb{Q}(q, q^k)[[q^x, q^{-x}]].$$

The main technical advantage of the theory of e -polynomials versus the p -polynomials is that we can construct them using the intertwining operators of the double Hecke algebra. The following *creation operator* is due to Knop and Sahi in the case of A_n . See [KnS] and [C1, C3] for the general theory.

Proposition 5.5. *Denoting $\pi(f(x)) = f(1/2 - x)$,*

$$q^{(1-n)/2}e_n = q^x\pi(e_{1-n}(x)) \text{ for } n > 0.$$

Proof. It is clear that $q^{(n-1)/2}q^x\pi(e_{1-n}(x)) = q^{nx} + \text{lower terms}$. So it suffices to check that

$$(5.4) \quad \langle q^x\pi(e_{1-n}(x))q^{-mx}\mu_k^0(x) \rangle = 0, \quad m = n-1, n-2, \dots, 1-n.$$

The following two properties of π are evident:

$$(a) \quad \langle \pi(f) \rangle = \langle f \rangle, \quad (b) \quad \pi(\mu_k^0(x)) = \mu_k^0(x).$$

Using them, we see that (5.4) is true for $m = n-1, \dots, 2-n$. The only remaining case $m = 1-n$ is trivial: $\pi(e_{1-n}(x))q^{nx}\mu_k^0(x)$ involves only odd powers of q^x . $\square$

We will deduce (5.3) from its nonsymmetric analogue. Setting $\varepsilon_n(x) = e_n(x)/e_n(-k/2)$, it reads as

$$(5.5) \quad \langle \varepsilon_n(x)\varepsilon_m(x)\widehat{\gamma_-}\mu_k^0(x) \rangle = \langle \widehat{\gamma_-}\mu_k^0(x) \rangle \varepsilon_n(m_\#) q^{(m^2+n^2+2k(|m|+|n|))/4},$$

where $n_\# \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} n + \text{sgn}(n)k/2$ and we set $\text{sgn}(0) = -1$.

It is not surprising that the nonsymmetric (more general) formula is easier to prove than the symmetric one. Recall that the advantage of the nonsymmetric setting was quite clear in the theory of the Hankel transform.

The coefficient of proportionality in formula (5.5) is a combination of formula (5.2) and the constant term conjecture (2.10):

$$\langle \widehat{\gamma_-}\mu_k^0(x) \rangle = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^j}{1 - q^{j+k}}.$$

Formula (5.5) implies that $\varepsilon_n(m_\#)$ is invariant under the change $m \leftrightarrow n$. This of course can be established directly. Let us do it.

5.3. Double affine Hecke algebra. We denote it by "double H" $\mathcal{H}$. It depends on the two parameters $q^{1/2}$ and $t^{1/2}$. The generators are $X^{\pm 1}, Y^{\pm 1}, T$, the relations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} TXT = X^{-1}, TY^{-1}T = Y, \\ Y^{-1}X^{-1}YXT^2q^{1/2} = 1, \\ (T - t^{1/2})(T + t^{-1/2}) = 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

If $t = 1$, then $\mathcal{H}$ is the extension of the Weyl algebra by the reflection S , i.e.,

$$\langle X, Y, S \rangle / \{ Y^{-1}X^{-1}YX = q^{-1/2}, S^2 = 1, SXS = X^{-1}, SYS = Y^{-1} \}.$$

Theorem 5.6. (a) (PBW property) The elements $X^n T^\varepsilon Y^m$, $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\varepsilon = 0, 1$, form a basis of $\mathcal{H}$.

(b) Using $s(f(x)) = f(-x)$ and $\pi(f(x)) = f(1/2 - x)$, the formulas

$$(5.6) \quad T \mapsto t^{1/2}s + \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{q^{2x} - 1}(s - 1), \quad X \mapsto q^x, \quad Y \mapsto \pi T$$

define a representation of $\mathcal{H}$ in the space $\mathbb{C}[q^x, q^{-x}]$. It is faithful for q apart from roots of unity.

Proof. We will start with the following lemma, which is simple to check.

Lemma 5.7. Setting $\pi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} YT^{-1}$, the algebra $\mathcal{H}$ can be alternatively described as follows:

$$\mathcal{H} = \langle T, X^{\pm 1}, \pi \rangle / \left\{ \begin{array}{l} TXT = X^{-1}, \pi^2 = 1, \pi X \pi^{-1} = q^{1/2} X^{-1}, \\ (T - t^{1/2})(T + t^{-1/2}) = 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

The lemma gives that the formulas from part (b) of Theorem 5.6 define a representation of $\mathcal{H}$. Indeed, the formula for T is well-known in the theory of the affine Hecke algebra of type A_1 . This operator does satisfy $TXT = X^{-1}$. So we need to check only the relations involving Y or, equivalently, π . The relations with π are simple.

(a) Any element of $\mathcal{H}$ is a linear combination of $X^n T^\varepsilon Y^m$. These monomials are linearly independent in $\mathcal{H}$ if they are independent for at least one pair of special values of q, t . Let us take q which is not a root of unity. Then the images of these monomials in $\mathbb{C}[q^x, q^{-x}]$ are linearly independent. It is immediate for $t^{1/2} = 1$, i.e., for generic t . It is also true for arbitrary t , and not difficult to check.

We get (a), and the remaining part of (b). $\square$

Comment. In fact, the theorem can be reformulated as follows:

$$\mathbb{C}[q^x, q^{-x}] = \text{Ind}_{\langle T, Y \rangle}^{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where the subalgebra $\langle T, Y \rangle \subset \mathcal{H}$ acts on the one-dimensional space $\mathbb{C}$ via the character $T \mapsto t^{1/2}$, $Y \mapsto t^{1/2}$. Indeed, this relation includes the PBW-theorem and readily results in the formulas from part (b). Such reformulation does not include only one statement: the fact that the polynomial representation is faithful for generic q . $\square$

We introduce the *conjugation* $f \mapsto \bar{f}$ on the polynomial representation:

$$\overline{q^x} = q^{-x}, \quad \overline{q^{1/2}} = q^{-1/2}, \quad \overline{t^{1/2}} = t^{-1/2},$$

and define the scalar product:

$$\langle f, g \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle f \bar{g} \mu_k^0(x) \rangle, \quad \text{where } q^k = t.$$

Here it is necessary to change the field of coefficients $\mathbb{C}$ to the ring $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1/2}, t^{\pm 1/2}]$ or its field of rationals. We will do the same with $\mathcal{H}$. From now on $q^{1/2}$ and $t^{1/2}$ will be considered as formal parameters, unless stated otherwise. Note that the operators T and π from (5.6) preserve the space $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1/2}, t^{\pm 1/2}, q^{\pm x}]$.

Theorem 5.8. *The operators T, X, Y, π, q, t are unitary with respect to the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.*

Proof. It is evident for X, q, t . It is also clear that $\pi^* = \pi = \pi^{-1}$. For T , we use the formula $\mu_k^0(-x) = \frac{1-q^{2x+k}}{q^k-q^{2x}} \mu_k^0(x)$. It gives that the adjoint of s is $(1 - q^{2x}t)(t - q^{2x})s$. Concerning Y , use $Y = \pi T$. $\square$

Since the coefficients of polynomials $e_n(x)$ have denominators, $\mathcal{H}$ and the polynomial representation will be considered over the field $\mathbb{C}(q^{1/2}, t^{1/2})$ in what follows. We will continue using the notation $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm x}]$.

In fact it is sufficient to take $\mathbb{Q}$ instead of $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, the coefficients of e_n are expressed in terms of q, t . However the action of T and π requires the square roots of q, t .

Theorem 5.9. *Considering the polynomials $e_n(x)$ as elements of the $\mathcal{H}$ -module $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm x}]$,*

$$Y e_n(x) = q^{-n_{\sharp}} e_n(x), \quad n_{\sharp} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (n + \text{sgn}(n)k)/2, \quad \text{sgn}(0) = -1, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. The operator Y is unitary and preserves the filtration induced by $\prec$, which is easy to check. Note that T does not preserve this filtration. It readily gives that $e_n(x)$ are eigenvectors of Y . Use the definition. Examining the leading term q^{nx} of $e_n(x)$, one gets the corresponding eigenvalue. $\square$

Theorem 5.10. *Setting $\varepsilon_n(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e_n(x)/e_n(-k/2)$,*

$$\varepsilon_n(m_{\sharp}) = \varepsilon_m(n_{\sharp}).$$

Equivalently, the pairing $\{f, g\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(Y^{-1})(g)(-k/2)$ is symmetric on $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm x}]$, where $f(Y^{-1}) = f(q^x \mapsto Y^{-1})$.

Proof. First, we introduce the anti-involution ϕ of the algebra $\mathcal{H}$, by setting

$$\phi(X) = Y^{-1}, \quad \phi(Y) = X^{-1}, \quad \phi(T) = T, \quad \phi(q) = q, \quad \phi(t) = t.$$

Later it will be associated with the Fourier transform on the space of the generated functions.

Second, we need the *evaluation map* $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(q^{1/2}, t^{1/2})$ defined by

$$\{X^n T^\varepsilon Y^m\} = t^{-n/2} t^{\varepsilon/2} t^{m/2}.$$

It is obviously ϕ -invariant. Explicitly,

$$\{H\} \text{ is the evaluation of } H(1) \in \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm x}]$$

for $H \in \mathcal{H}$. The evaluation of a polynomial in $q^{\pm x}$ is its value at the point $x = -k/2$.

Third, the scalar product $\{A, B\} = \{\phi(A)B\}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is symmetric, i.e., $\{A, B\} = \{B, A\}$. It follows from $\{\phi(H)\} = \{H\}$ for $H \in \mathcal{H}$. It coincides with $\{f, g\}$ on Laurent polynomials upon the substitution $X = q^x$.

Finally,

$$(5.7) \quad \{e_n(X), e_m(X)\} = \{e_n(Y^{-1})e_m(X)\} = e_n(m_{\sharp})e_m(-k/2),$$

where we used that $Y^{-1}e_n(x) = q^{n_{\sharp}}e_n(x)$ in the polynomial representation. $\square$

5.4. Back to Rogers' polynomials. The relation of the Macdonald polynomials to the double affine Hecke algebras was the first obvious confirmation of their importance. These algebras were designed for a somewhat different purpose: to connect the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation with the quantum many-body (eigenvalue) problem in the q -case. Paper [C11] is devoted to it. It was an important step in the new theory of generalized q -hypergeometric functions. However the application to the celebrated Macdonald constant term conjecture and the norm-conjecture was the first recognized success of the double Hecke algebras.

In our case, symmetric means even. We will constantly use $X = q^x$ instead of x . Then the reflection s sends $X \mapsto X^{-1}$. Concerning operators, we call them symmetric if they commute with s . Symmetric operators on the space $\mathbb{C}[X^{\pm 1}]$ preserve the subspace $\mathbb{C}[X + X^{-1}]$ of symmetric polynomials. For the operators we consider it is necessary and sufficient.

Recall that $p_0 = 1$ and the other p_n are defined from the relations

$$p_n(x) = X + X^{-1} + \text{lower terms}, \quad \langle p_n(x)p_m(x)\delta_k^0(x) \rangle = \delta_{mn}C_n,$$

where $\delta_k^0(x) = (\mu_k^0(x) + \mu_k^0(-x))/2$ and C_n are certain constants.

Theorem 5.11. (a) *The operator $Y + Y^{-1}$ commutes with T and is symmetric. Setting $\varpi(f)(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(x+1/2)$, $\mathcal{L} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Y + Y^{-1} \mid \mathbb{C}[X + X^{-1}]$,*

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{t^{1/2}X - t^{-1/2}X^{-1}}{X - X^{-1}}\varpi + \frac{t^{1/2}X^{-1} - t^{-1/2}X}{X - X^{-1}}\varpi^{-1}.$$

(b) *For any $n > 0$,*

$$(5.8) \quad p_n = (1 + t^{1/2}T)e_n = (1 + s) \left(\frac{t - X^2}{1 - X^2} e_n \right),$$

$$(5.9) \quad \mathcal{L}p_n = (q^{n/2}t^{1/2} + q^{-n/2}t^{-1/2})p_n.$$

Proof. (a) The commutativity of T and $Y + Y^{-1}$ immediately results from the defining relations. Generally, it is due to Bernstein and Zelevinsky. The symmetric polynomials $f \in \mathbb{C}[X + X^{-1}]$ are exactly those satisfying $Tf = t^{1/2}f$. Therefore $Y + Y^{-1}$ is symmetric. The calculation of the restriction of $Y + Y^{-1}$ to $\mathbb{C}[X + X^{-1}]$ is simple, especially if one uses that it is symmetric.

(b) Let us use (5.8) to introduce the polynomials $\tilde{p}_n$. We must check that they coincide with p_n . First,

$$\mathcal{L}\tilde{p}_n = (q^{n/2}t^{1/2} + q^{-n/2}t^{-1/2})\tilde{p}_n,$$

since T commutes with $Y + Y^{-1}$. Second, $(Y + Y^{-1})^* = Y + Y^{-1}$, where $*$ is with respect to the scalar product $\langle f\bar{g}\mu_k^0(x) \rangle$ because the operator Y is unitary. It readily results in $\mathcal{L}^* = \mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{L}^*$ is now defined with respect to the scalar product $\langle f\bar{g}\delta_k^0(x) \rangle$, which is the symmetrization of the above pairing with μ .

Note that here we can drop the conjugation and use $\langle fg\delta_k^0(x) \rangle$, because $\mathcal{L}$ is bar-invariant. Respectively, $\mathcal{L}$ has $*$ -invariant eigenfunctions.

For generic q and t , the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}$ in the space of even polynomials are pairwise distinct and therefore the eigenvectors are pairwise orthogonal. We get the desired coincidence with p . $\square$

Comment. When $k = 1$, we have $\delta_1(x) = (1 - q^{2x})(1 - q^{-2x})$ and it is easy to show that $p_n^{(k=1)}(x) = \frac{q^{(n+1)x} - q^{-(n+1)x}}{q^x - q^{-x}}$. Thus $p_n^{(k=1)}(x)$ is the character of the irreducible representation of sl_2 of dimension $n + 1$. Is there any reasonable interpretation of the coefficients of polynomials $e_n^{(k=1)}$, and the coefficients of general p_n , e_n considered as rational functions or series in terms of q, t ? $\square$

5.5. Conjugated polynomials. Let us examine the action of the bar-involution $\bar{X} = X^{-1}$, $q^{1/2} \mapsto q^{-1/2}$, $t^{1/2} \mapsto t^{-1/2}$ on the ε -polynomials. It preserves p_n and $p_n/p_n(-k/2)$ thanks to formula (5.9) and the relation $\mathcal{L}^* = \mathcal{L}$. One can also see it using that δ_k^0 is bar-invariant.

We will need the following extension to $\mathcal{H}$ of the Kazhdan-Lusztig involution (cf. [KL1]):

$$(5.10) \quad \eta(T) = T^{-1}, \eta(\pi) = \pi, \eta(X) = X^{-1}, q^{\frac{1}{2}} \mapsto q^{-\frac{1}{2}}, t^{\frac{1}{2}} \mapsto t^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Introducing $T_0 = \pi T \pi$, we get $\eta(T_0) = T_0^{-1}$ so it coincides with the Kazhdan-Lusztig involution on the affine Hecke algebra generated by $T_1 = T$, T_0 .

The importance of η in the theory of double affine Hecke algebras is due to the following proposition.

Proposition 5.12. *For arbitrary $f \in \mathbb{C}(q^{1/2}, t^{1/2})[q^{\pm x}]$,*

$$H(\bar{f}) = \overline{\eta(H)f}, \quad H \in \mathcal{H}.$$

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the polynomial $\overline{\varepsilon}_m(x)$ is an eigenvector of $\eta(Y)$ with the eigenvalue $q^{m\sharp}$.

Proof. It suffices to check that $\overline{T}$ is T^{-1} , which is straightforward. $\square$

Proposition 5.13. For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

- (a) $\overline{\varepsilon}_m(x) = t^{-1/2}T(\varepsilon_m(x))$,
- (b) $\overline{\varepsilon}_m(x) = t^{-1/2}X^{-1}\varepsilon_{1-m}(x)$,
- (c) $XT(\varepsilon_m(x)) = \varepsilon_{1-m}(x)$.

Proof. (c) Using Proposition 5.5,

$$\begin{aligned} XT(e_m) &= X\pi Y(e_m) = q^{-m\sharp}X\pi(e_m) \\ &= q^{-m\sharp+m/2}e_{1-m} = q^{-k/2}e_{1-m} = t^{-1/2}e_{1-m} \end{aligned}$$

for $m > 0$. Thus (c) results from $e_{1-m}(-k/2)/e_m(-k/2) = t^{1/2}$, which is a simple corollary of the evaluation formula in the next section.

To check it, one can also proceed as follows. In the relation $X\pi(\varepsilon_m) = C\varepsilon_{1-m}$, we need to find C . The right-hand side is C at $-k/2$. So we need to evaluate the left-hand side at $-k/2$. It suffices to know $\varepsilon_m(1/2+k/2)$. Using the duality:

$$\varepsilon_m(1/2 + k/2) = \varepsilon_1(m\sharp) = q^{m\sharp}q^{k/2}.$$

(b) Thanks to the previous proposition, $\overline{\varepsilon}_m(x)$ is an eigenvector of $\eta(Y)$ with eigenvalue $q^{m\sharp}$. Since $\eta(Y) = YT^{-2} = \pi Y^{-1}\pi$, we get that $\overline{\varepsilon}_m(x)$ is proportional to $\pi\varepsilon_m(x)$ and to $X^{-1}\varepsilon_{1-m}(x)$. Thus

$$\overline{\varepsilon}_m(x) = CX^{-1}\varepsilon_{1-m}(x) \text{ for a constant } C,$$

which has to be $t^{-1/2}$ due to $\varepsilon_n(-k/2) = 1$.

(a) It is a combination of (b) and (c). $\square$

6. FOUR COROLLARIES

Let us emphasize the main points of the previous section. So far we have five key definitions, and five key properties of the double Hecke algebra and the e -polynomials.

6.1. **Basic definitions.** (i) Double affine Hecke algebra:

$$\mathcal{H} = \langle X, Y, T \rangle / \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (T - t^{1/2})(T + t^{-1/2}) = 0, \quad T X T = X^{-1}, \\ Y^{-1} X^{-1} Y X T^2 q^{1/2} = 1, \quad T Y^{-1} T = Y \end{array} \right\}.$$

(ii) There is also an alternative description in terms of the generators $T, X, \pi = Y T^{-1}$:

$$\mathcal{H} = \langle X, T, \pi \rangle / \left\{ \begin{array}{l} T X T = X^{-1}, \quad \pi X \pi^{-1} = q^{1/2} X^{-1}, \\ \pi^2 = 1, \quad (T - t^{1/2})(T + t^{-1/2}) = 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

(iii) The μ -function $\mu_k^0(x)$ is uniquely defined by the properties

$$\mu_k^0(x + 1/2) = \frac{q^{2x+k} - 1}{q^{2x} - q^k} \mu_k^0(x), \text{ and } \langle \mu_k^0(x) \rangle = 1,$$

where $\langle \rangle$ is the constant term.

(iv) The formal conjugation is the automorphism of $\mathbb{C}[X^{\pm 1}]$ defined by $\bar{X} = X^{-1}, \bar{q} = q^{-1}, \bar{t} = t^{-1}$. We will constantly identify X with q^x .

(v) The linear order $\prec$ on the set of monomials X^n :

$$X^n \prec X^m \text{ if either } |n| < |m| \text{ or } n = -m > 0.$$

Let us summarize what has been proved.

(a) (PBW property) The elements $X^n T^\varepsilon Y^m$, $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\varepsilon = 0, 1$, form a basis of $\mathcal{H}$.

(b) (Polynomial representation) For $s(X) = X^{-1}$, $\varpi(X) = q^{1/2} X$, the formulas

$$T \mapsto t^{1/2} s + \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{q^{2x} - 1} (s - 1), \quad \pi \mapsto s \varpi, \quad X \mapsto X, \quad Y \mapsto s \varpi T$$

define a representation of $\mathcal{H}$ in the space $\mathcal{P} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{C}[X^{\pm 1}]$.

(c) (Unitary structure) The operators X, q, Y, π, T are unitary with respect to the scalar product. $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle f \bar{g} \mu_k^0(x) \rangle$ on the space $\mathcal{P}$.

(d) (Nonsymmetric polynomials) They can be defined as follows: $e_n(x) = X^n \bmod \{X^m \prec X^n\}$,

$$Y e_n = q^{-n_\#} e_n, \quad n_\# = (n + \text{sgn}(n)k)/2, \quad 0_\# = -k/2.$$

(e) (Duality) $\varepsilon_n(m_\#) = \varepsilon_m(n_\#)$, where $\varepsilon_n(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e_n(x)/e_n(-k/2)$.

Let us discuss applications. Our main instruments will be the duality and the anti-involution $\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined on the generators by $\phi(X) = Y^{-1}$, $\phi(Y) = X^{-1}$, $\phi(T) = T$, $\phi(q) = q$, $\phi(t) = t$.

6.2. Creation operators. In this section we will consider q and $t = q^k$ as numbers (not as formal variables). We will assume that q is not a root of unity. The coefficients of the polynomials e_n are rational functions of q and q^k , so the polynomials $e_n(x)$ are not well defined for some particular values of q and k . The theory of e -polynomials is (relatively) simple because they can be produced using the *intertwining operators*. The latter play the role of the creation (raising) operators in Lie theory.

Corollary 6.1. *The polynomials $e_n(x)$, $e_{1-n}(x)$ for $n > 1$ are well defined for $k \notin -\{[n/2], \dots, n-1\}$. Explicitly, they can be obtained using the following operations:*

(A) Introducing $\Pi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X\pi$,

$$e_{1-n} = q^{-n/2} \Pi e_n \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(B) Assuming that $q^{2n_\#} \neq 1$,

$$e_{-n} = q^{k/2} \left(T + \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{q^{2n_\#} - 1} \right) e_n.$$

Proof. Recall that Y preserves the filtration on $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{C}[X^{\pm 1}]$ induced by $\prec$, and also subspaces $\mathbb{C}[X^{\pm 2}]$, $X\mathbb{C}[X^{\pm 2}]$. The eigenvector of Y with an eigenvalue $q^{-n_\#}$ is clearly well defined if $q^{-n_\#} \neq q^{-m_\#}$ for all m such that $X^m \prec X^n$ and $n - m$ is even. Since q is not a root of unity, this condition is equivalent to $n_\# \neq m_\#$. It always holds when $n, m > 0$ or $n, m \leq 0$. If $n > 0$, $m \leq 0$, then the conditions $(n + k)/2 \neq (m - k)/2$ or $k \neq (n - m)/2$ are sufficient.

(A) Let us check that $\phi(\Pi) = \Pi$:

$$\phi(\Pi) = \phi(X\pi) = \phi(X\pi^{-1}) = \phi(XTY^{-1}) = XTY^{-1} = \Pi.$$

Using $\Pi X \Pi^{-1} = q^{1/2} X^{-1}$, we get that $\Pi Y^{-1} \Pi^{-1} = q^{1/2} Y$. So we only need to calculate the coefficient of proportionality. Compare the proof of Proposition 5.5, which is based directly on the definition.

(B) The relation $TXT = X^{-1}$ implies

$$\left(T + \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{X^2 - 1} \right) X = X^{-1} \left(T + \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{X^2 - 1} \right).$$

Applying ϕ we come to

$$\left(T + \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{Y^{-2} - 1} \right) Y = Y^{-1} \left(T + \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{Y^{-2} - 1} \right),$$

which gives the desired up to a coefficient of proportionality. We get the latter coefficient from the consideration of the action of T on the leading term of e_n . $\square$

Comments. (i) We will later see that the polynomials $e_n, e_{1-n}, n > 1$, are not well defined for $k \in -\{[n/2], \dots, n-1\}$. The polynomial e_n is well defined if and only if e_{1-n} is well defined thanks to (A).

(ii) The corollary gives an inductive procedure for calculating the nonsymmetric polynomials, which will be used a great deal for the classification of the finite dimensional representations:

$$1 = e_0 \xrightarrow{A_0} e_1 \xrightarrow{B_1} e_{-1} \xrightarrow{A_{-1}} e_2 \xrightarrow{B_2} e_{-2} \xrightarrow{A_{-2}} \dots$$

6.3. Standard identities. Recall that $\varepsilon_n(x) = e_n(x)/e_n(-k/2)$. We continue using X instead of q^x in the formulas.

Corollary 6.2. (a) (Pieri rules) Setting $\nu = 1$ for $m \leq 0$ and $\nu = -1$ otherwise,

$$(6.1) \quad X^{-1}\varepsilon_m = \frac{t^{1/2+\nu}q^{-m+1} - t^{-1/2}}{t^\nu q^{-m+1} - 1}\varepsilon_{m-1} - \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{t^\nu q^{-m+1} - 1}\varepsilon_{1-m},$$

$$(6.2) \quad X\varepsilon_m = \frac{t^{-1/2+\nu}q^{-m} - t^{1/2}}{t^\nu q^{-m} - 1}\varepsilon_{m+1} - \frac{t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2}}{t^\nu q^{-m} - 1}\varepsilon_{1-m}.$$

(b) (evaluation formulas) We have the equalities

$$(6.3) \quad e_m(-k/2) = t^{-|m|/2} \prod_{0 < j < |m|'} \frac{1 - q^j t^2}{1 - q^j t},$$

where $|m|' = m$ if $m > 0$ and $|m|' = 1 - m$ if $m \leq 0$.

(c) (norm formulas) For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(6.4) \quad \langle \varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_n \rangle = \langle \varepsilon_m \overline{\varepsilon_m} \mu_k^0(x) \rangle = \prod_{0 < j < |m|'} \frac{1 - q^j}{t^{-1} - q^j t},$$

$$(6.5) \quad \langle e_m, e_n \rangle = \prod_{0 < j < |m|'} \frac{(1 - q^j)(1 - q^j t^2)}{(1 - q^j t)(1 - q^j t)}.$$

Proof. (a) By Theorem 5.9, $Y\varepsilon_n = q^{-n_\#}\varepsilon_n$. Evaluating this equality at points $X = q^{m_\#}$, we get $(Y\varepsilon_n)(m_\#) = q^{-n_\#}\varepsilon_n(m_\#)$. Let $m \leq 0$. Using the formula for the action of Y in $\mathcal{P}$,

$$t^{1/2}\varepsilon_n((m-1)_\#) + \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{q^{1-2m_\#} - 1}(\varepsilon_n((m-1)_\#) - \varepsilon_n((1-m)_\#)) =$$

$$= q^{-n_\#} \varepsilon_n(m_\#).$$

Now we apply Theorem 5.10:

$$t^{1/2} \varepsilon_{m-1}(n_\#) + \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{q^{1-m}t - 1} (\varepsilon_{m-1}(n_\#) - \varepsilon_{1-m}(n_\#)) = q^{-n_\#} \varepsilon_m(n_\#).$$

This gives formula (6.1) at points $x = n_\#$. Since there are infinitely many such points we get the first formula in (a) for $m \leq 0$. Positive m are considered in the same way. The relations

$$(6.6) \quad \varepsilon_m = q^{(m-1)/2} \Pi \varepsilon_{1-m},$$

connect the second formula with the first.

(b) Assume that $m \leq 0$. The leading terms on the left-hand side and the right-hand sides of (6.1) for $\nu = 1$ are $(1/e_m(0_\#))X^{m-1}$ and respectively,

$$\frac{(t^{3/2}q^{-m+1} - t^{-1/2})}{e_{m-1}(0_\#)(tq^{-m+1} - 1)} X^{m-1}.$$

This implies (b). The case $m > 0$ is analogous.

(c) Let $m \leq 0$. Using (6.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_m \rangle &= \langle X^{-1} \varepsilon_m, X^{-1} \varepsilon_m \rangle = \\ &= \frac{t^{3/2}q^{-m+1} - t^{-1/2}}{tq^{-m+1} - 1} \cdot \frac{t^{-3/2}q^{m-1} - t^{1/2}}{t^{-1}q^{m-1} - 1} \langle \varepsilon_{m-1}, \varepsilon_{m-1} \rangle + \\ &\quad + \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{tq^{-m+1} - 1} \cdot \frac{t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2}}{t^{-1}q^{m-1} - 1} \langle \varepsilon_{1-m}, \varepsilon_{1-m} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Involving Π , which is unitary by Corollary 6.1 (b),

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \varepsilon_{1-m}, \varepsilon_{1-m} \rangle &= \langle \varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_m \rangle \text{ since } \varepsilon_m = q^{(m-1)/2} \Pi \varepsilon_{1-m}, \\ \langle \varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_m \rangle &= \frac{t^{-1} - tq^{-m+1}}{1 - q^{-m+1}} \langle \varepsilon_{m-1}, \varepsilon_{m-1} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and we get (c) by induction. In the case $m > 0$, one uses (6.7). $\square$

Comments. (i) The existence of the three-term relation in the form (a) can be seen directly from the orthogonality of polynomials $e_n(x)$. The approach based on the duality is simpler and readily gives the exact coefficients.

(ii) Formula (b) shows that the polynomial e_n is not well defined for values of k from Corollary 6.1 (a), because otherwise $e_n(-k/2)$ would be defined. So we have the complete list of singular k for each e_n .

(iii) Formula (b) as $k = 1$ is a polynomial in the variable q with positive integral coefficients for either positive odd m or negative even m . These coefficients have some combinatorial interpretation. Note that $p_n^{(k=1)}(1/2)$ is the so-called q -dimension of the representation of sl_2 of dimension $n + 1$. There is a partial interpretation of $e_n(-k/2)$ and $p_n(k/2)$ considered as a series in terms of $q, t^{1/2}$. However it is for A_1 only and there is no known connection with the representation theory.

6.4. Change $k \mapsto k + 1$. We are going to discuss the shift-formula in the presence of the polynomials $p_n^{(k)}(x)$. The p -polynomials appear because of the following corollary.

Corollary 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{A} = (t^{1/2}X - t^{-1/2}X^{-1})$ and $\mathcal{B} = (t^{1/2}Y^{-1} - t^{-1/2}Y)$. The operator $S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} t^{-1/2}\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ preserves the space $\mathbb{C}[X + X^{-1}]$. Its restriction to this space is $\frac{\varpi^{-1}-\varpi}{X-X^{-1}}$ for $\varpi(f)(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(x + 1/2)$, and*

$$(6.8) \quad S(p_n^{(k)}(x)) = (q^{-n/2} - q^{n/2})p_{n-1}^{(k+1)} \text{ for } n > 0.$$

Proof. It is straightforward to check the following equality in $\mathcal{H}$:

$$(T + t^{-1/2})(t^{1/2}X - t^{-1/2}X^{-1}) = (t^{1/2}X^{-1} - t^{-1/2}X)(T - t^{1/2}).$$

As a corollary, we get that the eigenspace of T in $\mathcal{P}$ with the eigenvalue $-t^{-1/2}$ is $\mathcal{A}\mathbb{C}[X + X^{-1}]$. Applying the anti-involution ϕ to this equality we get

$$(t^{1/2}Y^{-1} - t^{-1/2}Y)(T + t^{-1/2}) = (T - t^{1/2})(t^{1/2}Y - t^{-1/2}Y^{-1}).$$

Therefore $\mathcal{B}$ maps $\mathbb{C}[X + X^{-1}]$ to the T -eigenspace of $\mathcal{P}$ with eigenvalue $-t^{-1/2}$, that is $\mathcal{A}\mathbb{C}[X + X^{-1}]$. This shows that S is well defined on $\mathbb{C}[X + X^{-1}]$ and, moreover, preserves this space. The formula for the restriction of S to $\mathbb{C}[X + X^{-1}]$ is simple. Cf. Theorem 5.11.

The formula for S (or directly the definition) gives that the left-hand side and the right-hand side of 6.8 coincide. Therefore it suffices to check

$$\langle S(p_n^{(k)}(x))g(x)\delta_{k+1}^0(x) \rangle = 0$$

for any $g(x) \in \mathbb{C}[q^x + q^{-x}]$ of degree $m < n - 1$. Let us prove that

$$\langle S(p_n^{(k)}(x))g(x)\mu_{k+1}^0(x) \rangle = 0,$$

for any $g(x) \in \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm x}]$ of degree $m < n - 1$. Symmetrizing the latter for even g , we get the former.

First,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{k+1}^0(x) &= \frac{1 - q^{k+1}}{(1 - q^{2k+1})(1 + q^{k+1})}(1 - q^{k+2x})(1 - q^{k+1-2x})\mu_k^0(x) = \\ &= \mathcal{A}(x)\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(x)\mu_k^0(x),\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{A}(x) = t^{1/2}X - t^{-1/2}X^{-1} = q^{(k+2x)/2} - q^{-(k+2x)/2}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a polynomial in q^x of first degree. Second,

$$\langle S(p_n^{(k)}(x))g(x)\mu_{k+1}^0(x) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{B}p_n^{(k)}(x)\tilde{\mathcal{A}}g(x)\mu_k^0(x) \rangle.$$

Here $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}g(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $m' < n$. Third, $p_n^{(k)}(x)$ is a linear combination of $e_n^{(k)}(x)$ and $e_{-n}^{(k)}(x)$ by Theorem 5.11 (b). The same holds for $\mathcal{B}p_n^{(k)}(x)$. We get the desired result. $\square$

6.5. Shift-formula. Let us discuss an analytic interpretation of this corollary. We need to choose the space of functions $\mathfrak{F}$ and the integration ϱ . The following cases can be considered:

(a) $\mathfrak{F}$ is the space of even Laurent series in terms of q^x with the constant term functional taken as ϱ ;

(b) $\mathfrak{F}$ is the space of even analytic functions on the strip

$$-1/2 - \delta \leq \Re x \leq 1/2 + \delta \text{ for } \delta > 0, \text{ and } \varrho = \int_{i\mathbb{R}};$$

(c) $\mathfrak{F}$ is the space of continuous even functions on the real line, and $\varrho = \int_{\mathbb{R}};$

(d) $\mathfrak{F}$ is a space of even functions which are defined and continuous on the two lines $z = \pm\varepsilon i + \mathbb{R}$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$, i.e., on $C \cup \{-C\}$ for the sharp integration path C from (3.1), and, moreover, are analytic in the rectangle

$$\{x \mid -\varepsilon < \Im x < \varepsilon, -1/2 - \delta \leq \Re x \leq 1/2 + \delta\}.$$

In this case,

$$\varrho = 2 \int_C = \int_{\hat{C}}, \text{ where } \hat{C} = C \cup \{-\overline{C}\}$$

for the complex conjugation (preserving C but changing its orientation).

In all cases, the integration ϱ is invariant under the change of variable $x \mapsto -x$, because the integration paths are s -invariant. Note that, topologically, $\hat{C}$ is the cross of the diagonals at the origin both directed

upwards. On even functions, $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\widehat{C}}$ coincides with the sharp integration from (3.1). It is zero on odd functions as well as for the other integrations.

The analytic properties of f are necessary to ensure the invariance of ϱ under the change of variables $x \mapsto x \pm 1/2$. The corresponding domains of analyticity allow deforming the contours after the shift by $\pm 1/2$. The real case is the most relaxed: no domains are necessary. However the q -Gauss integrals are the most difficult to calculate in this case.

Corollary 6.4. *Let $\mathfrak{F}, \varrho$ be one of the pairs above. Then for any $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ such that either the left-hand or the right-hand side below is well defined, the other is well defined too and*

$$\varrho(S(f)p_{n-1}^{(k+1)}(x)\delta_{k+1}(x)) = q^k(q^{(-k-n)/2} - q^{(k+n)/2})\varrho(fp_n^{(k)}(x)\delta_k(x)).$$

Proof will be given below. $\square$

It readily results in the main property of the q -Mellin transform, namely, Theorem 2.7.

For $g_k = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^{k+j}}{1-q^{2k+j}}$, we defined the q -Mellin transform as follows:

$$\Psi_k(f(x)) = \frac{1}{g_k} \varrho(f(x)\delta_k(x)).$$

Let us deduce from the corollary that

$$(6.9) \quad \Psi_k(f(x)) = (1 - q^{k+1})\Psi_{k+1}(f(x)) + q^{k+3/2}\Psi_{k+2}(S^2(f(x))).$$

First of all,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{k+1}(x) &= (1 - tX^2)(1 - tX^{-2})\delta_k(x) = \\ &= \left(1 + t^2 - t \left(p_2^{(k)}(x) - \frac{(1-t)(1+q)}{1-tq}\right)\right) \delta_k(x) = \\ &= \left(\frac{(1+t)(1-t^2q)}{1-tq} - tp_2^{(k)}(x)\right) \delta_k(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using Corollary 6.4 twice,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varrho(f(x)\delta_{k+1}(x)) \\
&= \frac{(1+t)(1-t^2q)}{1-tq} \varrho(f(x)\delta_k(x)) - t\varrho(f(x)p_2^{(k)}(x)\delta_k(x)) = \\
&= \frac{(1+t)(1-t^2q)}{1-tq} \varrho(f(x)\delta_k(x)) - \\
&\quad - \frac{t^{-1}q^{-1}}{(tq - t^{-1}q^{-1})(tq^{3/2} - t^{-1}q^{-3/2})} \varrho(f(x)\delta_{k+2}(x)),
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to formula (6.9).

6.6. Proof of the shift–formula. First, we switch from δ to μ :

$$\delta_{k+1}(x) = (1 - q^{-2x})(1 - q^{k+2x})\mu_k(x).$$

Let us rewrite it in terms of $\mathcal{A}$, $q^x = X$, and $q^{k/2} = t^{1/2}$:

$$\mathcal{A}^2\mu_k(x) = -t^{-1/2} \frac{\mathcal{A}}{X - X^{-1}} \delta_{k+1}(x).$$

Since $f(x)$ is an even function,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varrho(\mathcal{A}^2 f(x)\mu_k(x)) = \varrho(-t^{-1/2} \frac{\mathcal{A}}{X - X^{-1}} f(x)\delta_{k+1}(x)) = \\
(6.10) \quad & = -\frac{1+t^{-1}}{2} \varrho(f(x)\delta_{k+1}(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

When replacing $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{X - X^{-1}} f(x)$ by its symmetrization, we used the invariance of ϱ and $\delta_{k+1}(x)$ under the change $x \mapsto -x$.

Second, we take an even function $g(x)$ of the same type as f such that $\overline{g(x)} = g(x)$. For instance, it can be a Laurent polynomial or convergent Laurent series with the coefficients invariant under $q \mapsto q^{-1}$ and $t \mapsto t^{-1}$. It is not restrictive because later $g(x)$ will be one of $p_n^{(k)}$, which are bar-invariant. One gets

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1+t^{-1}}{2} \varrho(S(f(x))S(g(x))\delta_{k+1}(x)) \\
& \stackrel{(1)}{=} -\varrho(\mathcal{A}^2 S(f(x))S(g(x))\mu_k(x)) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \varrho(\mathcal{A}^2 S(f(x))\overline{S(g(x))}\mu_k(x)) \\
& \stackrel{(3)}{=} -\varrho(\mathcal{B}(f(x))\overline{\mathcal{B}(g(x))}\mu_k(x)) \stackrel{(4)}{=} \varrho(\mathcal{B}^2(f(x))\overline{g(x)}\mu_k(x)),
\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from (6.10), the second follows from $\overline{S} = -S$, and the third follows from $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = -\mathcal{A}$. Only the fourth equality

requires some comment. In the algebraic variant, i.e., for the constant term integration (a), we can simply use that Y is unitary. See Theorem 5.8. The same argument is applied for the other three integrations since ϱ is invariant under $x \mapsto -x$ and $x \mapsto x \pm 1/2$. Note that the latter symmetry is necessary when collecting $\mathcal{B}$ together.

Third, we apply the t -symmetrizer $P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1+t)^{-1}(1+t^{1/2}T)$. It projects $\mathbb{C}[X^{\pm 1}]$ onto $\mathbb{C}[X + X^{-1}]$. One has

$$(6.11) \quad P\mathcal{B}^2P = -\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}'P \text{ where } \mathcal{B}' = t^{1/2}Y - t^{-1/2}Y^{-1}.$$

Indeed, $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}' = -Y^2 - Y^{-2} + t + t^{-1}$ commutes with T and, in particular, is even. So it suffices to check that $P\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}')(f) = 0$ for any $f \in \mathbb{C}[X + X^{-1}]$. However $\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}' = (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})(Y + Y^{-1})$ commutes with T . Hence $f' = (\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}')(f) \in \mathbb{C}[X + X^{-1}]$ and $T\mathcal{B}(f') = -t^{-1/2}f'$. Cf. the proof of Corollary 6.3 above. Formula (6.11) is checked.

Combining the previous formulas, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1/2(1+t^{-1})\varrho(S(f(x))S(g(x))\delta_{k+1}(x)) &= \varrho(\mathcal{B}^2(f(x))\overline{g(x)}\mu_k(x)) = \\ &= \varrho(\mathcal{B}^2(P(f(x)))\overline{P(g(x))}\mu_k(x)) = \varrho(P\mathcal{B}^2P(f(x))\overline{g(x)}\mu_k(x)) = \\ &= -\varrho(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}'(f(x))\overline{g(x)}\mu_k(x)) = -\varrho(f(x)\overline{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}'(g(x))}\mu_k(x)) = \\ &= -1/2(1+t)\varrho(f(x)\overline{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}'(g(x))}\delta_k(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the relation

$$(6.12) \quad \varrho(S(f(x))S(g(x))\delta_{k+1}(x)) = -t\varrho(f(x)\overline{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}'(g(x))}\delta_k(x))$$

is proved, provided that $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{C}[q^x + q^{-x}]$ and $\overline{g(x)} = g(x)$.

Now we simply take $g(x) = p_n^{(k)}(x)$ in (6.12) for $n \geq 1$. Using Theorem 5.11,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}'(p_n^{(k)}(x)) &= (-q^n t - q^{-n} t^{-1} + t + t^{-1})p_n^{(k)}(x) \\ &= (tq^{n/2} - t^{-1}q^{-n/2})(q^{-n/2} - q^{n/2})p_n^{(k)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using Corollary 6.3,

$$\varrho(S(f)p_{n-1}^{(k+1)}\delta_{k+1}) = -t(tq^{n/2} - t^{-1}q^{-n/2})\varrho(f(x)p_n^{(k)}\delta_k).$$

Corollary 6.4 is proved.

7. DIFFERENCE FOURIER TRANSFORMS

Recall the notation. We set $0_{\sharp} = -k/2$ and $n_{\sharp} = (n + \operatorname{sgn}(n)k)/2$ for integers $n \neq 0$, where $t = q^k$. For generic q, t , the Laurent polynomials $\varepsilon_n(x)$ are uniquely defined by the properties $Y\varepsilon_n(x) = q^{-n_{\sharp}}\varepsilon_n(x)$ and $\varepsilon_n(0_{\sharp}) = 1$. We permanently use $X = q^x$.

The Gaussian is $\widehat{\gamma}_- = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^2/4} X^n$. We will also use γ , a solution of the corresponding difference equation treated as a formal symbol. When Jackson's summation is considered, $\gamma = q^{x^2}$.

The scalar product $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle f \bar{g} \mu_k^0 \rangle$ is given in terms of

$$\mu_k^0(x) = 1 + \frac{q^k - 1}{1 - q^{k+1}}(q^{2x} + q^{1-2x}) + \dots,$$

the constant term functional $\langle \cdot \rangle$, and the involution $f \mapsto \bar{f}$ of the space $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{C}(q^{1/2}, t^{1/2})[X^{\pm 1}] : \bar{X} = X^{-1}, \bar{q}^{1/2} = q^{-1/2}, \bar{t}^{1/2} = t^{-1/2}$. Our aim is the following theorem.

Theorem 7.1. (*Master formula*) For arbitrary $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(7.1) \quad \langle \varepsilon_n(x) \varepsilon_m(x) \widehat{\gamma}_- \mu_k^0(x) \rangle = q^{\frac{m^2 + n^2 + 2k(|m| + |n|)}{4}} \varepsilon_m(n_{\sharp}) \langle \widehat{\gamma}_- \mu_k^0(x) \rangle,$$

$$(7.2) \quad \langle \varepsilon_n(x) \overline{\varepsilon_m}(x) \widehat{\gamma}_- \mu_k^0(x) \rangle = q^{\frac{m^2 + n^2 + 2k(|m| + |n|)}{4}} \overline{\varepsilon_m}(n_{\sharp}) \langle \widehat{\gamma}_- \mu_k^0(x) \rangle.$$

Before proving the theorem, we need to establish several general facts.

7.1. Functional representation. For $\mathbb{Z}_{\sharp} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{n_{\sharp} | n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$, let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ be the space of functions on $\mathbb{Z}_{\sharp}$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ the subspace of functions with compact support. We have an evident *discretization map* $\chi : \mathbb{C}[X^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}$.

Theorem 7.2. *The space $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ has a natural structure of $\mathcal{H}$ -module making the map $\chi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ an $\mathcal{H}$ -homomorphism; $\mathcal{F} \subset \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is an $\mathcal{H}$ -submodule.*

Proof. Let $f \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$. We define $(Xf)(n_{\sharp}) = q^{n_{\sharp}} f(n_{\sharp})$, $(\pi f)(n_{\sharp}) = f(1/2 - n_{\sharp})$. Note that the set $\mathbb{Z}_{\sharp}$ is invariant under $\pi : x \mapsto 1/2 - x$. It is not s -invariant. However the operator

$$(7.3) \quad (Tf)(n_{\sharp}) = \frac{t^{1/2} q^{2n_{\sharp}} - t^{-1/2}}{q^{2n_{\sharp}} - 1} f(-n_{\sharp}) - \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{q^{2n_{\sharp}} - 1} f(n_{\sharp}).$$

is well defined because $-n_{\sharp} \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\sharp}$ for $n = 0$, precisely when first term of (7.3) vanishes. $\square$

Definition 7.3. (a) The Fourier transform $\mathbf{S}$ acts from $\mathcal{P}$ to $\mathcal{F}$ and is given by the formula

$$\mathbf{S}(f)(n_{\sharp}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle f\varepsilon_n(x)\mu_k^0(x) \rangle.$$

(b) Its antilinear counterpart, conjugating q and t , is introduced as follows:

$$\mathbf{E}(f)(n_{\sharp}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \bar{f}\varepsilon_n(x)\mu_k^0(x) \rangle. \quad \square$$

The fact that functions $\mathbf{S}(f)$ and $\mathbf{E}(f)$ have compact supports readily follows from orthogonality relations for the polynomials $\varepsilon_n(x)$; any polynomial is their linear combination.

Theorem 7.4. (a) The formulas

$$\epsilon(X) = Y, \epsilon(Y) = X, \epsilon(T) = T^{-1}, \epsilon(q) = q^{-1}; \epsilon(t) = t^{-1}$$

can be extended to an antilinear automorphism of $\mathcal{H}$. The formulas

$$\sigma(X) = Y^{-1}, \sigma(T) = T, \sigma(Y) = q^{-1/2}Y^{-1}XY = XT^2$$

define a linear automorphism of $\mathcal{H}$, fixing q, t . The connection with the involution η from (5.10) is as follows: $\eta = \epsilon\sigma = \sigma^{-1}\epsilon$.

(b) For any $H \in \mathcal{H}$, $f \in \mathcal{P}$,

$$\mathbf{S}(H(f)) = \sigma(H)(\mathbf{S}(f)), \quad \mathbf{E}(H(f)) = \epsilon(H)(\mathbf{E}(f)).$$

Proof. Claim (a) is straightforward. Let us check (b) for the operator $\mathbf{E}$. It holds for Y because of the definition of ε_n , and therefore for X thanks to the duality (Theorem 5.8). Hence it holds for $T^2 = q^{-1/2}X^{-1}Y^{-1}XY$ and for $T = (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})^{-1}(T^2 - 1)$. Here we use that X, T, Y are unitary for $\langle f, g \rangle$. The special case $t = 1$ is not a problem because it is sufficient to check (b) for generic t .

The automorphism corresponding to the operator $\mathbf{S}$ is $\epsilon\eta = \sigma$ thanks to Proposition 5.12. $\square$

We already defined automorphisms $\epsilon, \sigma, \eta = \epsilon\sigma$ of the algebra $\mathcal{H}$ and the anti-involution ϕ . Completing the preparation to proving the Master formula, let us introduce two more automorphisms.

Proposition 7.5. (a) The formulas

$$\tau_+ : X \mapsto X, T \mapsto T, Y \mapsto q^{-1/4}XY,$$

$$\tau_- : Y \mapsto Y, T \mapsto T, X \mapsto q^{1/4}YX$$

can be extended to linear automorphisms of $\mathcal{H}$.

(b) We have the following identities in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_+ \tau_-^{-1} \tau_+ &= \sigma = \tau_-^{-1} \tau_+ \tau_-^{-1}, \quad \sigma^2 = T^{-1}(\cdot)T, \\ \sigma \tau_+ \sigma^{-1} &= \tau_-^{-1}, \quad \epsilon \tau_+ \epsilon = \tau_-, \quad \phi \tau_+ \phi = \tau_-.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Straightforward. $\square$

Comment. It is immediate from (b) that σ^2 commutes with τ_+ and τ_- . This also follows from $\sigma^2 = T(\cdot)T^{-1}$. The automorphism τ_- is inner in the representation $\mathcal{P}$. This is not true for τ_+ . $\square$

The automorphism τ_+ is directly related to the Gaussian $\widehat{\gamma_-}$. Namely,

$$H \widehat{\gamma_-} = \widehat{\gamma_-} \tau_+(H)$$

in the polynomial representation. Actually what we need here is an abstract function γ satisfying the relation:

$$\gamma(x + 1/2) = q^{1/4} q^x \gamma(x),$$

which readily results in

$$\gamma H \gamma^{-1} = \tau_+(H)$$

in any functional space.

7.2. Proof of the Master formula. We start with **S**. Treating γ^{-1} as $\widehat{\gamma_-}$ and γ as q^{x^2} , we put

$$\varepsilon_m^-(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \widehat{\gamma_-} \varepsilon_m(x), \quad \varepsilon_m^+(n_\#) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\gamma \varepsilon_m)(n_\#).$$

Note that they belong to different spaces. Then (7.1) is equivalent to the formula

$$\mathbf{S}(\varepsilon_m^-)(n_\#) = q^{m_\#^2 - 0_\#^2} \varepsilon_m(n_\#) q^{n_\#^2} \langle \widehat{\gamma_-} \mu_k^0(x) \rangle$$

or, equivalently, to

$$\mathbf{S}(\varepsilon_m^-) = q^{m_\#^2 - 0_\#^2} \varepsilon_m^+ \langle \widehat{\gamma_-} \mu_k^0(x) \rangle.$$

Actually it is sufficient to establish that

$$(7.4) \quad \mathbf{S}(\varepsilon_m^-) = C_m \varepsilon_m^+$$

for a constant C_m , since the left-hand side of (7.1) is $m \leftrightarrow n$ -symmetric and this constant can be only 1.

Introducing the operators

$$(7.5) \quad Y^+ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma Y \gamma^{-1}, \quad Y^- \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma^{-1} Y \gamma,$$

we claim that $\sigma(Y^-) = Y^+$. Indeed, $Y^- = \tau_+^{-1}(Y) = q^{1/4}X^{-1}Y$ and

$$\sigma(Y^-) = \sigma(q^{1/4}X^{-1}Y) = q^{-1/4}YY^{-1}XY = q^{-1/4}XY = \tau_+(Y).$$

Obviously $Y^\pm(\varepsilon_m^\pm) = q^{-m_\#}\varepsilon_m^\pm$. For generic q and k , the eigenvalues are distinct, the $\mathcal{H}$ -module $\widehat{\mathcal{P}\gamma_-}$ is irreducible, and $\{\varepsilon_m^-\}$ is its basis. Similarly, all eigenvectors of Y^+ in $\mathcal{F}\gamma$ are simple. This gives (7.4) and therefore (7.1). Now we can make q, t arbitrary, provided that (7.1) is well defined.

Switching to (7.2), its left-hand side is not $m \leftrightarrow n$ -symmetric anymore. So we have to proceed in a slightly different way.

First, we set $\bar{\varepsilon}_m^{(-)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\varepsilon_m(x)\gamma_-}$, $\bar{\varepsilon}_m^{(+)}(n_\#) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\varepsilon_m(n_\#)\gamma(n_\#)}$, and come to the relation

$$(7.6) \quad \mathbf{S}(\bar{\varepsilon}_m^{(-)})(n_\#) = C_m \bar{\varepsilon}_m(n_\#) q^{n_\#^2}.$$

Indeed, for $\tilde{Y} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \eta(Y)$,

$$\tilde{Y}(\bar{\varepsilon}_n) = q^{n_\#} \bar{\varepsilon}_n$$

and $\bar{\varepsilon}_m^{(\pm)}$ are eigenvectors of the operators

$$\tilde{Y}^+ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma \tilde{Y} \gamma^{-1} = \tau_+(\tilde{Y}), \quad \tilde{Y}^- \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma^{-1} \tilde{Y} \gamma = \tau_+^{-1}(\tilde{Y}).$$

Then we observe that $\sigma(\tilde{Y}^-) = \tilde{Y}^+$, which results from the relation $\sigma = \tau_+ \tau_-^{-1} \tau_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\tilde{Y}^-) &= \sigma \tau_+^{-1} \eta(Y) = \sigma \tau_+^{-1} \epsilon \sigma(Y) = \tau_+(\tau_+^{-1} \sigma \tau_+^{-1}) \epsilon \sigma(Y) \\ &= \tau_+ \tau_-^{-1} \epsilon \sigma(Y) = \tau_+ \epsilon \sigma \tau_-^{-1}(Y) = \tau_+ \epsilon \sigma(Y) = \tilde{Y}^+. \end{aligned}$$

Formula (7.6) is checked.

Second, we establish that

$$(7.7) \quad \mathbf{E}(\bar{\varepsilon}_n)(m_\#) = D_n \bar{\varepsilon}_n(m_\#) q^{m_\#^2}.$$

This formula follows from the identity $\epsilon(Y^-) = \tau_+ \eta(Y)$ for the operator Y^- from (7.5). Let us prove it:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(Y^-) &= \epsilon \tau_+(Y) = \tau_+ \eta(\sigma^{-1} \epsilon \tau_+^{-1} \epsilon \tau_+)(Y) \\ &= \tau_+ \eta(\sigma^{-1} \tau_-^{-1} \tau_+)(Y) = \tau_+ \eta \tau_-(Y) = \tau_+ \eta(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Third, formulas (7.6) and (7.7) result in $C_m = q^{m_\#^2} C$, $D_n = q^{n_\#^2} C$, where C does not depend on m and n , which concludes the proof of formula (7.2). $\square$

7.3. Topological interpretation. We use that the relations of $\mathcal{H}$ are mainly of group nature and introduce the *group*

$$\mathcal{B}_q = \langle T, X, Y, q^{1/4} \rangle / \left\langle \begin{array}{l} TXT = X^{-1}, TY^{-1}T = Y, \\ Y^{-1}X^{-1}YXT^2q^{1/2} = 1 \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

Now $T, X, Y, q^{1/4}$ are treated as group generators, $q^{1/4}$ is central. The double affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}$ is the quotient of the group algebra of $\mathcal{B}_q$ by the quadratic Hecke relation. It is easy to see that the change of variables $q^{1/4}T \mapsto T, q^{-1/4}X \mapsto X, q^{1/4}Y \mapsto Y$ defines an isomorphism $\mathcal{B}_q \cong \mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathbb{Z}$, where the generator of $\mathbb{Z}$ is $q^{1/4}$.

In this section, we give a topological interpretation of the group

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \langle T, X, Y \rangle / \langle TXT = X^{-1}, TY^{-1}T = Y, Y^{-1}X^{-1}YXT^2 = 1 \rangle.$$

Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{C}$, i.e., $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ where $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\iota$. Topologically, the lattice can be arbitrary. Let $o \in E$ be the zero point, and -1 the automorphism $x \mapsto -x$ of E . We are going to calculate the fundamental group of the space $(E \setminus o)/\pm 1 = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \setminus o$. Since this space is contractible, its usual fundamental group is trivial. We can take the quotient after removing all (four) ramification points of -1 . However it would enlarge the fundamental group dramatically.

So we need to understand this space in a more refined way. We take the base point $p = -\varepsilon - \varepsilon\iota \in \mathbb{C}$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proposition 7.6. *We have an isomorphism $\mathcal{B}_1 \cong \pi_1^{orb}((E \setminus o)/\pm 1)$ where $\pi_1^{orb}(\cdot)$ is the orbifold fundamental group, which will be defined in the process of proving.*

Proof. The projection map $E \setminus o \rightarrow (E \setminus o)/\pm 1 = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \setminus o$ has three branching points, which come from the nonzero points of order 2 on E . So by definition, $\pi_1^{orb}((E \setminus o)/\pm 1)$ is generated by three *involutions* A, B, C , namely, the clockwise loops from p around the branching points in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. There are no other relations. We claim that the assignment $A = XT, B = T^{-1}Y, C = XTY$ defines a homomorphism

$$\pi_1^{orb}((E \setminus o)/\pm 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_1.$$

Indeed, A and B are obviously involutive. Concerning C , the image of its square is

$$XTYXTY = T^{-1}X^{-1}YXTY = T^{-1}YT^{-1}Y = 1.$$

This homomorphism is an isomorphism: $ACB = T, ABCA = X$ and $AC = Y$. $\square$

This approach can be hardly generalized to arbitrary root systems. The following (equivalent) constructions can. The definition of the fundamental group is modified as follows. See [C12] and paper [Io].

We switch from E to its universal cover $\mathbb{C}$ and define the *paths* as curves $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Lambda$ from p to $\widehat{w}(p)$, where $\widehat{w} \in \widehat{W} = \{\pm 1\} \ltimes \Lambda$.

The *composition* of the paths is via $\widehat{W}$: we add the image of the second path under $\widehat{w}$ to the first path if the latter ends at $\widehat{w}(p)$. The corresponding variant of Proposition 7.6 reads as follows.

Proposition 7.7. *The fundamental group of the above paths modulo homotopy is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_1$, when T is the half-turn, i.e., the clockwise half-circle from p to $s(p)$, X, Y are 1 and ι considered as vectors from p . $\square$*

Actually this definition is close to the calculation of the fundamental group of $\{E \times E \setminus \text{diagonal}\}$, divided by the transposition of the components. See [Bi]. However there is no exact coincidence. Let us also mention the relation to the elliptic braid group due to v.d.Lek, although he removes all points of second order and his group is in a sense bigger.

The topological interpretation is the best way to understand why the group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathcal{B}_1$ projectively.

Its elements act on $\mathbb{C}$ naturally, by real linear transformations. On E , they commute with -1 , preserve o , and permute three other points of second order. The position of the base point may be changed, so we need to connect its image with the base point by a path. We will always do it in a small neighborhood of zero. This makes the corresponding automorphism of $\mathcal{B}_1$ unique up to powers of T^2 . All such automorphisms fix T , because they preserve zero and the orientation.

Thus we constructed a homomorphism

$$\alpha : SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_T(\mathcal{B}_1)/T^{2\mathbb{Z}},$$

where $\text{Aut}_T(\mathcal{B}_1)$ is the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{B}_1$ fixing T . The elements from $T^{2\mathbb{Z}} = \{T^{2n}\}$ are considered as inner automorphisms.

Let τ_+, τ_- be the α -images of the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Then $\sigma = \tau_+ \tau_-^{-1} \tau_+$ corresponds to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and σ^2 has to be the

conjugation by T^{2l-1} for some l . Similarly,

$$\tau_+ \tau_-^{-1} \tau_+ = T^{2m} \tau_-^{-1} \tau_+ \tau_-^{-1}.$$

Using rescaling $\tau_{\pm} \mapsto T^{2m_{\pm}} \tau_{\pm}$ for $m_- + m_+ = m$, we can eliminate T^{2m} , and make $0 \leq l \leq 5$. Generally, $l \bmod 6$ is the invariant of the action, due to Steinberg. Taking the "simplest" pullbacks for $\tau_{\pm}$, we easily check that $l = 0$ and calculate the images of the generators under $\tau_{\pm}$ and σ . We arrive at the relations from Proposition 7.5.

Noncommutative Kodaira-Spencer map. Generalizing, let $\mathcal{E}$ be an algebraic, or complex analytic, or symplectic, or real analytic manifold, or similar. It may be noncompact and singular. We assume that there is a continuous family of *topological isomorphisms* $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_t$ for manifolds $\mathcal{E}_t$ as $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and that $\mathcal{E}_1$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}$. The path $\{\mathcal{E}_t\}$ in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ induces an outer automorphism ε of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{E}, \star)$ defined as above. Namely, we take the image of $\gamma \in \pi_1(\mathcal{E}, \star)$ in $\pi_1(\mathcal{E}_1, \star_1)$ for the image $\star_1$ of the base point $\star \in \mathcal{E}$ and conjugate it by the path from $\star_1$ to $\star$. We obtain that *the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{M})$ (whatever it is) acts in $\pi_1(\mathcal{E})$ by outer automorphisms modulo inner automorphisms.*

The above considerations correspond to the case when a group G acts in $\mathcal{E}$ preserving a submanifold D . Then $\pi_1(\mathcal{M})$ acts in $\pi_1^{orb}((\mathcal{E} \setminus D)/G)$ by outer automorphisms.

Another variant is with the Galois group taken instead of $\pi_1(\mathcal{M})$ assuming that $\mathcal{E}$ is an algebraic variety over a field which is not algebraically closed.

The action of $\pi_1(\mathcal{M})$ on an *individual* $\pi_1(\mathcal{E})$ generalizes, in a way, the celebrated Kodaira-Spencer map and is of obvious fundamental importance. However calculating the fundamental groups of algebraic (or similar) varieties, generally speaking, it is difficult. The main known examples are concerning the products of algebraic curves and related configuration spaces. Not much can be extracted from the claim above without an explicit description of the fundamental groups.

7.4. Plancherel formulas. Recall that we have two representations of the double affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}$, the polynomial representation $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{C}[X^{\pm 1}]$ and the representation $\mathcal{F}$ in the space of finitely supported functions on the set $\mathbb{Z}_{\#}$. We constructed two Fourier transforms $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}$:

$\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ defined by

$$\mathbf{S}(f)(n_{\sharp}) = \langle f \varepsilon_n(x) \mu_k^0(x) \rangle_0, \quad \mathbf{E}(f)(n_{\sharp}) = \langle \bar{f} \varepsilon_n(x) \mu_k^0(x) \rangle_0$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_0$ denotes the constant term.

The space $\mathcal{P}$ is equipped with the scalar product $\langle f, g \rangle_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle f \bar{g} \mu_k^0(x) \rangle_0$. Its $\mathcal{F}$ -counterpart is as follows:

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_1 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle f \bar{g} \mu_k^1 \rangle_1, \text{ where } \bar{g}(n_{\sharp}) = \overline{g(n_{\sharp})}, \\ \langle f \rangle_1 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n_{\sharp}), \quad \mu_k^1(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mu_k(z) / \mu_k(0_{\sharp}). \end{aligned}$$

A simple calculation for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ gives that

$$(7.9) \quad \mu_k^1(n_{\sharp}) = \mu_k^1((1-n)_{\sharp}) = q^{-k(n-1)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1 - q^{2k+j}}{1 - q^j}.$$

Note that $(1-n)_{\sharp} = 1/2 - n_{\sharp}$ and hence $\pi(\mu_k^1) = \mu_k^1$. Also $\overline{\mu_k^1} = \mu_k^1$ which makes the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ symmetric.

Theorem 7.8. (*Plancherel formula I*). *For any $f, g \in \mathcal{P}$,*

$$(7.10) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_0 = \langle \mathbf{S}(f), \mathbf{S}(g) \rangle_1 = \langle \mathbf{E}(f), \mathbf{E}(g) \rangle_1.$$

Proof. Let $H \mapsto H^*$ denote taking the adjoint with respect to the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$. From Theorem 5.8,

$$X^* = X^{-1}, \quad Y^* = Y^{-1} T^* = T^{-1} q^* = q^{-1}, \quad t^* = t^{-1}.$$

It is an anti-automorphism of $\mathcal{H}$.

The theorem follows formally from the following statements.

(i) For automorphisms σ and ϵ from Theorem 7.4, $\sigma \star \sigma^{-1} = \star$, $\epsilon \star \epsilon^{-1} = \star$. Use that σ and ϵ are homomorphisms of the group $\mathcal{B}_q$. Any group automorphisms commute with the inversion $g \mapsto g^{-1}$.

(ii) The representations $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ of the algebra $\mathcal{H}$ are irreducible. It is true for generic q, t . We can assume this because it suffices to check (7.10) for generic q, t .

(iii) Normalization: (7.8) holds for $f = g = 1$. □

We introduce the characteristic and delta-functions $\chi_m^{\sharp}, \delta_m^{\sharp} \in \mathcal{F}$ by the formulas

$$(7.11) \quad \chi_m^{\sharp}(n_{\sharp}) = \delta_{mn}, \quad \delta_m^{\sharp}(n_{\sharp}) = \delta_{mn} / \mu_k^1(n_{\sharp}),$$

where δ_{mn} is the Kronecker delta.

Theorem 7.9. (a) $\mathbf{E}(\varepsilon_m(x)) = \delta_m^\sharp$, (b) $\mathbf{S}(\varepsilon_m(x)) = t^{1/2}T^{-1}(\delta_m^\sharp)$.

Proof. (a) By the definition of $\mathbf{E}$ and the norm formulas (6.4),

$$\mathbf{E}(\varepsilon_m(x)) = \chi_m^\sharp \langle \varepsilon_m(x), \varepsilon_m(x) \rangle_0 = \delta_m^\sharp.$$

Instead of using the norm formulas we can apply the Plancherel theorem:

$$\langle \varepsilon_m(x), \varepsilon_m(x) \rangle_0 = \langle \chi_m^\sharp, \chi_m^\sharp \rangle_1 \langle \varepsilon_m(x), \varepsilon_m(x) \rangle_0^2.$$

Since $\langle \chi_m^\sharp, \chi_m^\sharp \rangle_1 = \mu_k^1(m_\sharp)$, we get that $\langle \varepsilon_m(x), \varepsilon_m(x) \rangle_0 = \mu_k^1(m_\sharp)^{-1}$.

(b) From (a), $\mathbf{S}(\varepsilon_m(x)) = \delta_m^\sharp$. Then we use part (a) of Proposition 5.13. $\square$

Corollary 7.10. Let $\widehat{\varepsilon}(m_\sharp; x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varepsilon_m(x)$. For any $g \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$(7.12) \quad \mathbf{S}^{-1}(g) = t^{-1/2} \langle g T^{(1)}(\widehat{\varepsilon}(m_\sharp; x)) \mu_k^1 \rangle_1,$$

where $T^{(1)}$ acts on the first argument of $\widehat{\varepsilon}$ via (7.3).

Proof. Using that $\mathbf{S}(\varepsilon_m(x)) = t^{1/2}T^{-1}\delta_m^\sharp$ due to Theorem 7.9,

$$(7.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}(t^{-1/2} \langle g T^{(1)}(\widehat{\varepsilon}(m_\sharp; x)) \rangle) &= t^{-1/2} \langle g T(t^{1/2}T^{-1}\delta_m^\sharp) \mu_k^1 \rangle_1 \\ &= \langle g \delta_m^\sharp \mu_k^1 \rangle_1 = \langle g \chi_m^\sharp \rangle_1 = g(m_\sharp). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We are going to drop the bar-conjugation in the scalar products:

$$\langle\langle f, g \rangle\rangle_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle fg \mu_k^0(x) \rangle_0 \text{ on } \mathcal{P}, \quad \langle\langle f, g \rangle\rangle_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle fg \mu_k^1 \rangle_1 \text{ on } \mathcal{F}.$$

Theorem 7.9 and Theorem 7.2. result in the following corollary.

Corollary 7.11. For arbitrary $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(7.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \varepsilon_n(x) \varepsilon_m(x) \mu_k^0(x) \rangle_0 &= t^{1/2}T^{-1}\delta_n^\sharp(m_\sharp), \text{ where} \\ T(\delta_n^\sharp) &= \frac{t^{1/2}q^{2n_\sharp} - t^{-1/2}}{q^{2n_\sharp} - 1} \delta_{-n}^\sharp - \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{q^{2n_\sharp} - 1} \delta_n^\sharp. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the inequality $\langle \varepsilon_n(x) \varepsilon_m(x) \mu_k^0(x) \rangle_0 \neq 0$ implies $m = \pm n$. $\square$

Theorem 7.12. (Plancherel formula II) For any $f, g \in \mathcal{P}$, we have

$$(7.15) \quad t^{-1/2} \langle\langle \mathbf{S}(f), T\mathbf{S}(g) \rangle\rangle_1 = \langle\langle f, g \rangle\rangle_0 = t^{-1/2} \langle\langle \mathbf{E}(f), T\mathbf{E}(g) \rangle\rangle_1.$$

Proof. Let $\diamond$ denote the anti-involution corresponding to the scalar product $\langle\langle f, g \rangle\rangle_0$. It equals $\star \circ \eta$:

$$X^\diamond = X, T^\diamond = T, \pi^\diamond = \pi, Y^\diamond = TYT^{-1}.$$

The same anti-involution serves the scalar product $\langle\langle f, g \rangle\rangle_1$.

Following Theorem 7.8 (see (i,ii,iii)), we need to check that

$$\sigma \diamond \sigma^{-1} = \epsilon \diamond \epsilon^{-1} = T^{-1} \diamond T.$$

This is straightforward. $\square$

Preparing for the inversion theorems, let us introduce "conjugations" of the transforms $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}$:

$$(7.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{S}} : f &\mapsto \langle f \bar{\varepsilon}_n(x) \mu_k^0(x) \rangle_0, \\ \bar{\mathbf{E}} : f &\mapsto \langle \overline{f \varepsilon_n(x)} \mu_k^0(x) \rangle_0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7.4 states that for any $H \in \mathcal{HH}$, $f \in \mathcal{P}$,

$$\mathbf{S}(H(f)) = \sigma(H)(\mathbf{S}(f)), \quad \mathbf{E}(H(f)) = \epsilon(H)(\mathbf{E}(f)).$$

It is not difficult to find the automorphisms corresponding to $\bar{\mathbf{S}}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{E}}$:

$$\bar{\mathbf{S}}(H(f)) = \sigma^{-1}(H)(\bar{\mathbf{S}}(f)), \quad \bar{\mathbf{E}}(H(f)) = \eta \epsilon(H)(\bar{\mathbf{E}}(f)).$$

Following Theorem 7.1, we get one more Master formula:

$$(7.17) \quad \begin{aligned} &\langle \bar{\varepsilon}_n(x) \overline{\varepsilon_m(x)} \widehat{\gamma_-} \mu_k^0(x) \rangle \\ &= q^{m_\#^2 + n_\#^2 - 20_\#^2} t^{-1/2} (T \overline{\varepsilon_m(x)})(n_\#) \langle \widehat{\gamma_-} \mu_k^0(x) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Algebraically, it is equivalent to the formula

$$\sigma^{-1} \tau_+^{-1} \eta(Y) = \tau_+(T(\eta(Y)T^{-1})),$$

resulting from $\sigma^{-2} = T(\cdot)T^{-1}$ and $\eta \tau_\pm \eta = \tau_\pm^{-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{-1} \tau_+^{-1} \eta &= \tau_+ \tau_+^{-1} (\sigma^{-2} \sigma) \tau_+^{-1} \eta = \tau_+ \sigma^{-2} (\tau_+^{-1} (\sigma) \tau_+^{-1}) \eta \\ &= \tau_+ \sigma^{-2} \tau_-^{-1} \eta = \tau_+ \sigma^{-2} \tau_-^{-1} \eta = \tau_+ \sigma^{-2} \eta \tau_- . \end{aligned}$$

7.5. Inverse transforms. Let us summarize what has been done. We defined four transforms acting from $\mathcal{P}$ to $\mathcal{F}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_0(f) &= \langle f \varepsilon_n(x) \mu_k^0(x) \rangle_0, & \mathbf{E}_0(f) &= \langle \bar{f} \varepsilon_n(x) \mu_k^0(x) \rangle_0, \\ \bar{\mathbf{S}}_0(f) &= \langle f \bar{\varepsilon}_n(x) \mu_k^0(x) \rangle_0, & \bar{\mathbf{E}}_0(f) &= \langle \bar{f} \bar{\varepsilon}_n(x) \mu_k^0(x) \rangle_0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us introduce their counterparts acting in the opposite direction, from the space $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ of all functions on $\mathbb{Z}_\#$ to $\mathcal{P}$. Replacing

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0 &\rightsquigarrow \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1, & \mu_k^0 &\rightsquigarrow \mu_k^1, \\ \mathbf{S}_1(f) &= \langle f \varepsilon_n(x) \mu_k^1 \rangle_1, & \mathbf{E}_1(f) &= \langle \bar{f} \varepsilon_n(x) \mu_k^1 \rangle_1, \\ \bar{\mathbf{S}}_1(f) &= \langle f \bar{\varepsilon}_n(x) \mu_k^1 \rangle_1, & \bar{\mathbf{E}}_1(f) &= \langle \bar{f} \bar{\varepsilon}_n(x) \mu_k^1 \rangle_1. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7.13. (*Inversion theorem*) *We have the following identities:*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{S}}_1 \circ \mathbf{S}_0 &= \text{id} = \mathbf{S}_1 \circ \bar{\mathbf{S}}_0, & \bar{\mathbf{S}}_0 \circ \mathbf{S}_1 &= \text{id} = \mathbf{S}_0 \circ \bar{\mathbf{S}}_1, \\ \mathbf{E}_1 \circ \mathbf{E}_0 &= \text{id} = \bar{\mathbf{E}}_1 \circ \bar{\mathbf{E}}_0, & \mathbf{E}_0 \circ \mathbf{E}_1 &= \text{id} = \bar{\mathbf{E}}_0 \circ \bar{\mathbf{E}}_1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We know that the automorphisms corresponding to $\mathbf{S}$, $\mathbf{E}$, $\bar{\mathbf{S}}$, $\bar{\mathbf{E}}$ are σ , ϵ , σ^{-1} , $\eta\sigma$, respectively. This gives that all maps in the theorem are homomorphisms of $\mathcal{H}$ -modules. Now we use that $\mathcal{H}$ -modules $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{F}$ are irreducible and the inversion formulas are true for $f = 1 \in \mathcal{P}$ or $f = \delta_0^\# \in \mathcal{F}$. $\square$

We can obtain Jackson-type Master formulas from the old ones by formal conjugating. We also replace $\widehat{\gamma_-}$ by $\gamma = q^{x^2}$.

For example, formula (7.17) results in

$$(7.18) \quad \langle \varepsilon_m(x) \varepsilon_n(x) \gamma \mu_k^1 \rangle_1 = q^{20_\#^2 - m_\#^2 - n_\#^2} t^{1/2} T^{-1}(\varepsilon_m(x))(n_\#) \langle \gamma \mu_k^1 \rangle_1.$$

Formulas (7.1), (7.2) read

$$(7.19) \quad \langle \bar{\varepsilon}_m(x) \bar{\varepsilon}_n(x) \gamma \mu_k^1 \rangle_1 = q^{20_\#^2 - m_\#^2 - n_\#^2} \bar{\varepsilon}_m(n_\#) \langle \gamma \mu_k^1 \rangle_1,$$

where $20_\#^2 - m_\#^2 - n_\#^2 = -\frac{m^2 + n^2 + 2k(|m| + |n|)}{4}$, and

$$(7.20) \quad \langle \varepsilon_m(x) \bar{\varepsilon}_n(x) \gamma \mu_k^1 \rangle_1 = q^{20_\#^2 - m_\#^2 - n_\#^2} \varepsilon_m(n_\#) \langle \gamma \mu_k^1 \rangle_1.$$

The proof of these formulas (including the convergence of the integrals) is a straightforward copy of that in the compact case. Plancherel formulas can also be transformed to the Jackson case with ease using Theorem 7.13. We would like to mention here papers [KS1, KS2] devoted to a variant of the Fourier transform $\mathbf{S}_1$, including its inversion in the analytic setting (in contrast to our, essentially algebraic, discussion).

Comments. (i) The limit $q \rightarrow \infty$ of the transform $\bar{\mathbf{S}}_1 : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is the p -adic spherical transform due to Matsumoto [Mat]. Its inverse $\mathbf{S}_0$ is also compatible with the limit. In papers [O3, O4], Opdam developed the Matsumoto theory of "nonsymmetric" spherical functions towards the theory of nonsymmetric polynomials. The operator T_{w_0} appears there in the inverse transform in a way similar to that of the present paper ([O3], Proposition 1.12).

(ii) As $q \rightarrow 1$, the transform $\mathbf{S}_0$ becomes the Hankel transform. One can also get the Harish-Chandra spherical transform under the same limit by switching from the variable x to the variable $X = q^x$. That is, we need to rewrite all formulas in terms of X and then leave X untouched in the limit. Note that the self-duality of the Fourier transform and the Gaussian do not survive in this limit as well as in the p -adic case.

(iii) We can transform the norm formula (6.4) and its variant without conjugation (7.14) to the Jackson case:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varepsilon_m(x) \overline{\varepsilon_n(x)} \mu_k^1 \rangle_1 &= \mu_k^1(n_\sharp)^{-1} \delta_{mn}, \\ \langle \varepsilon_m(x) \varepsilon_n(x) \mu_k^1 \rangle_1 &= t^{1/2} T^{-1}(\delta_n^\sharp)(m_\sharp). \end{aligned}$$

However these formulas hold only for $\Re k \ll 0$. In the absence of the nonsymmetric polynomials, $\Re k < 0$ is sufficient. It is a particular case of the Aomoto conjecture, proved by Ian Macdonald. See [Ao, M4].

8. GENERIC FINITE DIMENSIONAL REPRESENTATIONS

Finite dimensional representations can appear either for special k , namely, for half-integers, or for special q (roots of unity). Let us start their classification with the case of generic q .

8.1. Generic q , special k . We assume that q is not a root of unity. When $q^{a/b}$ appear in the claims and/or formulas for $a/b \in \mathbb{Q}$, then we will assume in the next theorem that $q^{1/b}$ is somehow fixed and $q^{a/b} = (q^{1/(b)})^a$. In particular, a product $q^a t^b$ can be 1 but may not be a nontrivial root of unity.

Theorem 8.1. (i) *An arbitrary irreducible finite dimensional representation V is a quotient of either the polynomial representation $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{C}[X^{\pm 1}]$ or its image under the automorphisms ι , ς_y , $\iota\varsigma_y$, where*

$$(8.1) \quad \iota : T \mapsto -T, X \mapsto X, Y \mapsto Y, q^{1/2} \mapsto q^{1/2}, t^{1/2} \mapsto t^{-1/2},$$

$$(8.2) \quad \varsigma_y : T \mapsto T, X \mapsto X, Y \mapsto -Y, q^{1/2} \mapsto q^{1/2}, t^{1/2} \mapsto t^{1/2}.$$

The $\mathcal{P}$ is Y -semisimple if and only if k is not a negative integer. It is irreducible if and only if $k \neq -1/2 - n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

(ii) Let $k = -1/2 - n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The polynomials e_m are well defined for all m and form a basis of $\mathcal{P}$. They are multiplied by $(-1)^m$ under the automorphism of $\mathcal{H}$

$$(8.3) \quad \varsigma_x : T \mapsto T, X \mapsto -X, Y \mapsto Y, q^{1/2} \mapsto q^{1/2}, t^{1/2} \mapsto t^{1/2}.$$

The values $e_m(-k/2)$ are nonzero and therefore the polynomials

$$\varepsilon_m = e_m/e_m(-k/2) \text{ exist for } M = \{-2n \leq m \leq 2n+1\}.$$

The series μ_k^0 and the pairing $\langle f, g \rangle$ on $\mathcal{P}$ are well defined for $k = -1/2 - n$. The scalar squares of e_m are nonzero precisely at the same set M . The radical Rad_0 of the pairing $\langle f, g \rangle$ is $\oplus_{m \notin M} \mathbb{C}e_m$.

(iii) Continuing (ii), $\text{Rad}_0 = (e_{-2n-1})$ as an ideal in $\mathcal{P}$, and the $\mathcal{H}$ -module $\mathcal{P}/\text{Rad}_0$ is the greatest finite dimensional quotient of $\mathcal{P}$. It is the direct sum of the two non-isomorphic $\mathcal{H}$ -submodules of dimension $2n+1$

$$(8.4) \quad V_{2n+1}^\pm \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \oplus_{m=1}^{2n+1} \mathbb{C}\varepsilon_m^\pm \text{ mod } \text{Rad}_0, \quad \varepsilon_m^\pm = \varepsilon_m \pm \varepsilon_{-2n-1+m},$$

$$(8.5) \quad V_{2n+1}^\pm \cong \mathbb{C}[X^{\pm 1}]/(\varepsilon^\mp) \text{ for } \varepsilon^\pm = \varepsilon_{n+1} \pm \varepsilon_{-n}.$$

These modules are orthogonal to each other with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and V_{2n+1}^- is isomorphic to the ς_x -image of V_{2n+1}^+ .

(iv) The representation V_{2n+1}^+ is the quotient of $\mathcal{P}$ by the radical Rad of the pairing $\{f, g\} = f(Y^{-1})(g)(-k/2)$ from Theorem 5.10, which is $V_{2n+1}^- + \text{Rad}_0$. Respectively, V_{2n+1}^- corresponds to the pairing

$$\{f, g\}_- = f(Y^{-1})(g) |_{X \mapsto -t^{-1}}.$$

The discretization map

$$\chi : f \mapsto f(z), \quad z \in \bowtie' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{1/4 + m/2 \mid -n \leq m \leq n\}$$

identifies V_{2n+1}^+ with $F_{2n+1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Funct}(\bowtie')$, where the action of $\mathcal{H}$ is via formulas (7.3) from Theorem 7.2. The $\varepsilon^\pm$ from (ii) are proportional to

$$e^\pm = e_{n+1} \pm t^{-1/2} e_{-n} = X^{-n} \prod_{m=-n}^n (X \pm q^{1/4+m/2}).$$

Proof. Let V be an arbitrary $\mathcal{H}$ -module, and v a Y -eigenvector of weight $\lambda : Y(v) = q^\lambda v$. Following Corollary 6.1, we construct the chain

$$(8.6) \quad v = v_0 \xrightarrow{A_0} v_1 \xrightarrow{B_1} v_{-1} \xrightarrow{A_{-1}} v_2 \xrightarrow{B_2} \dots,$$

where the operation A_m is $q^{-m/2}X\pi$ and the operation B_m is the application of

$$t^{1/2}(T + \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{q^{-2\lambda_m} - 1}).$$

They come from the intertwining operators, so we will call them intertwiners too. The normalization is adjusted to the case $v = 1 \in \mathbb{C}[X^{\pm 1}]$, when $v_m = e_m$, $\lambda_m = -n_\sharp$. Generally, v_m is a Y -eigenvector of weight

$$\lambda_m = -\lambda - m/2 \text{ for } m > 0, \quad \lambda_m = \lambda - m/2 \text{ for } m \leq 0.$$

Because q is not a root of unity, the chain is infinite and contains only invertible intertwiners B_m (A_m are always invertible) unless for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

- (a) either $q^{2\lambda} = q^{-m}$ ("non-existence"),
- (b) or $q^{2\lambda} = t^{\pm 1}q^{-m}$ ("non-invertibility").

We treat B_m as elements of the nonaffine Hecke algebra $\mathbf{H} = \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}T$. Note that B_m is simply s in the degenerate case $k = 0$, and there are no finite dimensional representations in this case.

(i) Let V be a finite dimensional irreducible module. Then $k \neq 0$ and at least one of the conditions (a), (b) must hold for some m . Applying invertible intertwiners, we can always make

$$\text{either } (a') \lambda = 0 \text{ or } (b') \lambda = k/2.$$

In the second case, we may need to use the automorphisms ι , and ς_y . Let us start with (b').

The corresponding chain of intertwiners results in the weights

$$(8.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_0 &= k/2, \lambda_1 = -1/2 - k/2, \lambda_{-1} = 1/2 + k/2, \\ \dots, \lambda_m &= -m/2 - k/2, \lambda_{-m} = m/2 + k/2, \dots, m > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here all intertwiners exist and are invertible respectively if and only if $0 \neq m/2 + k/2 \neq -k/2$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. These inequalities make V infinite dimensional, so we can assume that $k \in -\mathbb{Z}_+/2$. Recall that the B -intertwiners are applied to the v_m with positive indices m .

Let us check that $\tilde{v} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (T - t^{1/2})(v) = 0$. Indeed, $\tilde{v}$ is a Y -eigenvector of weight $-k/2$. Taking $v_0 = \tilde{v}$, the corresponding chain (8.6) produces the weights

$$\lambda_0 = -k/2, \lambda_1 = -1/2 + k/2, \lambda_{-1} = 1/2 - k/2, -1 + k/2, 1 - k/2, \dots$$

The existence and invertibility of the intertwiners are satisfied respectively as $0 \neq m/2 - k/2 \neq k/2$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Since $k < 0$, the chain is always invertible and $\dim V = \infty$ unless $\tilde{v} = 0$. Thus, under assumption (b'), k has to be in $-\mathbb{Z}_+/2, k \neq 0$, and V is a quotient of $\mathcal{P}$, where the covering map sends $1 \mapsto v$.

Actually case (b') with integral k is also a part of (a'). Indeed, if $k = -n$ for natural n , then the chain behaves as follows. It loses the existence at $m/2 = n/2$ and then the invertibility at $m/2 = n$. Thus we can reach $\lambda = 0$ using the invertible intertwiners in this case, which leads to (a').

Let us prove that V is always infinite dimensional in case (a'), i.e., when we can find $\lambda = 0$ in V . Instead of (8.6), we use its variant with the space $V_0 = \mathbf{H}v$. The latter is an irreducible 2-dimensional module over the affine Hecke algebra $\langle T, Y \rangle$. The operator Y is not semisimple there. This module is the space of all solutions of $(Y - 1)^2(w) = 0$. The operations A, B transform V_0 to

$$V_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{w \mid (Y - q^{\lambda_m})^2(w) = 0 \text{ for } \lambda_m = -m/2, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}.$$

All intertwiners here are invertible unless $\pm k/2 = n/2$ for a positive integer n . Note that the invertibility of all intertwiners is possible only for infinite dimensional V .

Let us consider the case $\{(a'), k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$. In this case, the chain from $\lambda_0 = 0$ loses the invertibility exactly once at $m = n$. The dimension of the next (after V_n) space V_{-n} becomes 1 and then remains unchanged. Therefore V is infinite dimensional too.

We conclude that an irreducible V containing a Y -eigenvector of weight 0 is always infinite dimensional and, moreover, it is Y -non-semisimple precisely as $k = \pm n$ for a positive integer n .

In the case under consideration, we may assume that $k = -n$ using ι , so it is covered by (b') and V is a quotient of $\mathcal{P}$. However the polynomial representation is irreducible for such k . Indeed, let us assume that there is a submodule $W \subset \mathcal{P}$. Then it contains at least one Y -eigenvector v .

Denoting its weight by λ , W contains the whole space

$$\{v \mid (Y - q^\lambda)^2(v) = 0\},$$

which is 2-dimensional. Using the intertwiners, we can make $\lambda = 0$ here. So 1 belongs to W and we get $W = \mathcal{P}$.

(ii) Corollary 6.1 provides the existence of e_m for any half-integral k . The statement about μ_k^0 is direct from Theorem 2.5. The other claims follow from formulas (6.3) and (6.5) from Corollary 6.2:

$$(8.8) \quad e_m(-k/2) = t^{-|m|/2} \prod_{0 < j < |m|'} \frac{1 - q^j t^2}{1 - q^j t},$$

where $|m|' = m$ if $m > 0$, $|m|' = 1 - m$ if $m \leq 0$, and

$$(8.9) \quad \langle e_l, e_m \rangle = \delta_{lm} \prod_{0 < j < |m|'} \frac{(1 - q^j)(1 - q^j t^2)}{(1 - q^j t)(1 - q^j t)}.$$

(iii) Let us show that $\mathcal{P}$ has a unique maximal finite dimensional quotient and calculate it as $k = -1/2 - n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We can construct e_m explicitly using the chain of intertwiners from $v_0 = 1$. The intertwiners are well defined. However one of them, namely,

$$B_{2n+1} = t^{1/2} \left(T + \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{t q^{2n+1} - 1} \right) = t^{1/2} \left(T + \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{t^{-1} - 1} \right) = t^{1/2} (T - t^{1/2}).$$

is not invertible. Nevertheless $e_{-2n-1} = B_{2n+1}(e_{2n+1})$ because the leading monomial of the latter is X^{-2n-1} . We see that this chain does produce all e_m regardless of the non-invertibility of B_{2n+1} . By the way, this consideration makes the above reference to Corollary 6.1 (concerning the existence of $\{e_m\}$) unnecessary. See (ii).

The polynomials e_m and $e_{-2n-1+m}$ have coinciding weights for $1 \leq m \leq 2n+1$, namely, $-m_\# = -(-2n-1+m)_\#$. We obtain that the image of the space J linearly generated by e_m, e_{1-m} for $m > 2n+1$ is zero in V . Indeed, otherwise $\dim V$ would be infinite. Moreover, all eigenvectors in V are images of $\{e_m, e_{1-m}\}$ as $1 \leq m \leq 2n+1$ up to proportionality. The multiplicities of the images can be either all equal to 1 or all equal to 2. If the multiplicities are all 2, then V has to be $\mathcal{P}/J$.

Let us check that J is an $\mathcal{H}$ -submodule of $\mathcal{P}$. The Y -invariance of J is obvious. It is T -invariant, since the intertwiners are always well

defined and

$$e_{-2n-1} = t^{1/2}(T - t^{1/2})(e_{2n+1}) \Rightarrow T(e_{-2n-1}) = -t^{-1/2}e_{-2n-1}.$$

Hence it is $\tilde{\pi}$ -invariant. The A -operations give the $X\tilde{\pi}$ -invariance of J . So it is $X^{\pm 1}\tilde{\pi}$ -invariant, which can also be seen from the Pieri rules (Corollary 6.2). Obviously $J = (e_{-2n-1})$ as an ideal, because the dimension of the quotient $\mathcal{P}/J$ is $2(2n+1)$.

If the Y -multiplicities are all 1, then the dimension of V becomes $2n+1$ and V has to be irreducible. We may set $V = \mathcal{P}/(e)$ for a polynomial $e = X^{n+1} + \dots + \text{const} \cdot X^{-n}$ and a nonzero constant. Here e must be a Y -eigenvector. Indeed, there is a linear combination of $e, Y(e)$ which is lower than e , unless these vectors are proportional. Therefore $e = e_{n+1} + ce_{-n}$ (both have coinciding weights, namely, $-1/4$).

It is easy to find that $c = \pm t^{-1/2}$ using the $A, \tilde{B}$ -invariance of (e) , i.e., $e = e^{\pm}$ up to proportionality. Switching to the ε -polynomials, e has to be proportional to $\varepsilon_{n+1} \pm \varepsilon_{-n}$.

However it is easier to obtain the value of c using (iv), which will be considered next. Indeed, if we know that the ideal $V_{2n+1}^- = (e^-)$ is a nontrivial submodule of $\mathcal{P}/J$, then its orthogonal complement with respect to $\langle, \rangle$ is obviously $V_{2n+1}^+ = (e^+)$. Their sum is direct and they are non-isomorphic as $\mathcal{H}$ -modules because $e^{\pm}$ are non-proportional. Note that they are transposed by ς_x (acting on polynomials) because $\varsigma_x(e^+) = \pm e^-$. So $c = \pm t^{-1/2}$.

By the way, the modules $V_{2n+1}^{\pm}$ are not isomorphic to each other because otherwise $\mathcal{P}/J$, generated by 1 as an $\mathcal{H}$ -module, would contain a proper submodule generated by $\varepsilon_0^+ + \varepsilon_0^- = 2\varepsilon_0 = 2$. The latter is impossible.

(iv) The radical Rad of the pairing $\{, \}$ contains a Y -eigenvector e' if and only if $e'(-k/2) = 0$. This follows directly from the definition (see Theorem 5.10). Since the e -polynomials form a basis in $\mathcal{P}$, a given polynomial f belongs to the radical if and only if $f(m_{\sharp}) = 0$ for all m such that $e_m(-k/2) \neq 0$.

The quotient $V = \mathcal{P}/Rad$ is finite dimensional. Indeed, it contains all

$$\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_{-2n-1+m} \text{ for } 1 \leq m \leq 2n+1.$$

Actually one of them is sufficient for $\dim V < \infty$ because Rad is an ideal.

By the way, it gives that $e_m(-k/2) \neq 0 \neq e_{1-m}(-k/2)$ for the $1 \leq m \leq 2n+1$ and this is the complete list of such m , without using the explicit formula (6.3).

As an immediate application, we obtain that the set $\{m_\sharp\}$ for e_m with nonzero values at $-k/2$ is precisely $\bowtie'$, and V_{2n+1}^+ coincides with $F_{2n+1} = \text{Funct}(\bowtie)$. This proves the product formula for e from (iii) and justifies that $e = e_{n+1} - t^{-1/2}e_{-n}$. $\square$

The theorem gives that for each negative half-integer $k = -1/2 - n$, there are 4 irreducible finite dimensional representations, namely, $\varsigma_x^{\pm 1} \varsigma_y^{\pm 1}(V_{2n+1}^+)$ for all possible combinations of the signs. This is different from the case of the rational DAHA, which has only one such representation (Theorem 4.13) as k is a half-integer. The automorphisms ς do not have counterparts in the rational limit.

We note that a construction connected with the finite dimensional quotients of $\mathcal{P}$ appeared in [DS]. The authors did not consider the double Hecke algebras but found some finitely supported measures for orthogonal polynomials, which is directly related to the theorem.

8.2. Additional series. If it is not supposed that $q^a t^b$ do not represent nontrivial roots of unity for integral a, b , then the additional series of finite dimensional representations will appear. We continue to assume that q is not a root of unity. Parts (i)-(ii) of the theorem below are due to A. Oblomkov. A particular "additional" representation was considered in the Appendix of [C4].

We continue the above consideration, however t now cannot be written in the form q^k without certain reservations. In practical terms, we need to go back to the multiplicative notation. The proof of the statement that finite dimensional representations are quotients of the polynomial representation up to the products of the automorphisms ς_y and ι remains unchanged, so we only need to describe the quotients of the polynomial representation.

Following the previous section, we consider the chain (8.6):

$$(8.10) \quad v_0 = 1 \xrightarrow{A_0} v_1 = X \xrightarrow{B_1} v_{-1} \xrightarrow{A_{-1}} v_2 \xrightarrow{B_2} \dots,$$

where the operation A_{-m} is $q^{m/2} X \pi$ and the operation B_m is the application of

$$t^{1/2} \left(T + \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{tq^m - 1} \right), \quad m > 0.$$

Because q is not a root of unity, the chain is infinite and contains only invertible intertwiners B_m (A_m are always invertible) unless for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

- (a) either $tq^m = 1$ ("non-existence"),
- (b) or $tq^m = t^{-1}$ ("non-invertibility").

There is only one possible degeneration which was not covered by the previous theorem, when

$$(8.11) \quad t = -t^{-n/2} \text{ for some integral } n > 0.$$

In this case, we have no problem with (a). Also, the polynomials e_m always exist. Indeed, the B -intertwiners always create the polynomials with the desired leading term, even if they are not invertible.

Because e_{-n} is proportional to $B_n(e_n)$, one has:

$$T(e_{-n}) = t^{1/2}e_{-n} \quad \text{and} \quad s(e_{-n}) = e_{-n}.$$

Thus $e_{-n} = X^{-n} + \text{const} X^{-n+2} \dots + X^n$. Only terms with X^{-n+2l} can appear in the decomposition.

Using the standard arguments, we conclude that the space

$$\mathbb{C}e_{-n} \oplus \mathbb{C}e_{n+1} \oplus \mathbb{C}e_{-n-1} \oplus \dots$$

is an ideal (e_{-n}) and a $\mathcal{H}$ -submodule. We come to the following theorem.

Theorem 8.2. (i) Assuming that q is not a root of unity, the nonzero finite dimensional representations of $\mathcal{H}$ which are not described in Theorem 8.1 exist only for $t = -q^{\pm n/2}$ as $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Given q, t , such a representation is unique, has dimension $2n$, and is irreducible. For $t = -q^{-n/2}$, it is isomorphic to $V_{2n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{P}/(e_{-n})$. The automorphisms ς_x and ς_y do not change its isomorphism class; $\iota(V_{2n})$ corresponding to $t = -q^{n/2}$ is not a quotient of $\mathcal{P}$ for such t .

(ii) The series μ_k^0 and the pairing $\langle f, g \rangle$ on $\mathcal{P}$ are well defined for such t . The values $e_m(t^{-1/2})$ of e_m and their scalar squares from (8.8) and (8.9) are nonzero precisely for $m = 0, 1, -1, \dots, n$. The radical Rad_0 of the pairing $\langle f, g \rangle$ coincides with (e_{-n}) , so its restriction to V_{2n} is well defined.

(iii) The discretization map identifies it with the space

$$(8.12) \quad F_{2n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Funct}\left(t^{-1/2}q^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \dots, t^{-1/2}, t^{1/2}q^{1/2}, \dots, t^{1/2}q^{\frac{n}{2}}\right).$$

Its kernel coincides with (e_{-n}) and is the radical Rad of the pairing $\{f, g\} = f(Y^{-1})(g)(X \mapsto t^{-1/2})$ from Theorem 5.10.

Proof. Claim (i) has been mainly checked. The invariance of V_{2n} with respect to the substitution $X \mapsto -X$ follows from the structure of e_{-n} described above. The map $Y \mapsto -Y$ acts in V_{2n} since the Y -spectrum of V , which is the inverse of the set (8.12) in the multiplicative notation, that is,

$$\left\{ t^{1/2} q^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \dots, t^{1/2}, t^{-1/2} q^{-1/2}, \dots, t^{-1/2} q^{\frac{-n}{2}} \right\},$$

is invariant with respect to the multiplication by -1 .

Claim (ii) is straightforward. Claim (iii) readily follows from the description of the Y -spectrum of V_{2n} . $\square$

8.3. Fourier transform. To conclude the consideration of the case of generic q , let us describe the action of the projective $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on V_{2n+1}^+ for $k = -1/2 - n$ and V_{2n} for $t = -q^{-n/2}$.

We will begin with the case $k = -1/2 - n$.

First of all, note that the σ -invariance (up to proportionality) of an $\mathcal{H}$ -module V gives that if $Y(v) = q^\lambda v$, then $X(v') = q^{-\lambda} v'$ for some v' . This holds for V_{2n+1}^+ and $\varsigma_x \varsigma_y (V_{2n+1}^+)$ but is not true for the remaining two, which are transposed by σ . That is why only V_{2n+1}^+ will be considered. Applying $\varsigma_x \varsigma_y$ we can manage the second "self-dual" irreducible module. It is not difficult to extend the results below to the direct sum of the remaining two modules. We leave it as an exercise.

It is convenient to switch from V_{2n+1}^+ to its "functional realization" F_{2n+1} from Theorem 8.1 (iv).

This space has the following scalar product:

$$(8.13) \quad \langle f, g \rangle' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle f \bar{g} \mu^1 \rangle', \quad \bar{g}(m_\#) = \overline{g(m_\#)}, \quad \langle f \rangle' = \sum_{m_\# \in \mathbb{N}'} f(m_\#),$$

$$\mu^1(m_\#) = \mu^1((1 - m)_\#) = q^{-k(m-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{1 - q^{2k+i}}{1 - q^i} \text{ as } m > 0.$$

Recall that $(1 - m)_\# = 1/2 - m_\#$, $\pi(\mu^1) = \mu'$, and $\pi(\bowtie') = \bowtie'$. Also $\overline{\mu^1} = \mu^1$ and therefore the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$ is symmetric. The operators X, Y, T, q, t are unitary with respect to this scalar product.

We come to the following truncation of Theorem 7.2.

Theorem 8.3. (*Truncated Master formula*) (i) Let us introduce the Gaussian by the formula $\gamma(m_{\sharp}) = q^{m_{\sharp}^2}$. Then it induces τ_+ upon the action $H \mapsto \gamma H \gamma^{-1}$ on $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Respectively, the automorphisms of F_{2n+1}

$$(8.14) \quad \mathbf{S}(f)(m_{\sharp}) = \langle f \varepsilon_m \mu^1 \rangle', \quad \mathbf{E}(f)(m_{\sharp}) = \langle \bar{f} \varepsilon_m \mu^1 \rangle'$$

induce σ and ϵ on $\mathcal{H}$.

(ii) For $l_{\sharp}, m_{\sharp} \in \mathbb{N}'$,

$$(8.15) \quad \langle \varepsilon_l \bar{\varepsilon}_m \gamma \mu^1 \rangle' = q^{-\frac{m^2 + n^2 + 2k(|m| + |n|)}{4}} \varepsilon_l(m_{\sharp}) \langle \gamma \mu^1 \rangle', \quad \langle \gamma \mu^1 \rangle' =$$

$$(8.16) \quad = \sum_{j=n+1}^{2n+1} q^{\frac{(j-k)^2}{4}} \frac{1 - q^{j+k}}{1 - q^k} \prod_{i=1}^j \frac{1 - q^{i+2k-1}}{1 - q^i} = q^{1/16} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^{1/2-i}).$$

□

Now let us consider the additional series, namely the modules V_{2n} for $t = -q^{-n/2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The analysis is straightforward, so we will simply formulate the counterpart of the previous theorem in this case.

We set

$$(8.17) \quad q = e^a, \quad k = -n/2 + \pi i a \quad \text{for } a > 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The formulas below are algebraic identities in terms of q, t and their fractional powers. This special setting is convenient to continue using the "exponential" notation.

We use the standard $m_{\sharp}$ and the functional realization F_{2n} from Theorem 8.2 in the set:

$$(8.18) \quad \mathbb{N}'_{-} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \frac{1-n-k}{2} \dots, -\frac{k}{2}, \frac{k+1}{2}, \dots, \frac{n+k}{2} \right\}.$$

The Gaussian will be $\gamma(m_{\sharp}) = q^{m_{\sharp}^2}$. For instance,

$$\gamma(m_{\sharp}) = \exp\left(\frac{(m-n/2)^2}{4a} + \frac{(m-n/2)\pi i}{2} - \frac{\pi^2 a}{4}\right) \quad \text{for } m > 0.$$

The ε_m are the spherical polynomials, normalized by $\varepsilon_m(0_{\sharp}) = 1$; $\mu^1 = \mu/\mu(0_{\sharp})$.

Theorem 8.4. (*Additional Series*) *Provided (8.17), for $l_{\sharp}, m_{\sharp} \in \bowtie'_{-}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varepsilon_l \overline{\varepsilon_m} \gamma \mu^1 \rangle'_- &= q^{-\frac{m^2+n^2+2k(|m|+|n|)}{4}} \varepsilon_l(m_{\sharp}) \langle \gamma \mu^1 \rangle'_-, \\ \langle \gamma \mu^1 \rangle'_- &= q^{\frac{(j-k)^2}{4}} + \sum_{j=1}^n q^{\frac{(j-k)^2}{4}} \frac{1-q^{j+k}}{1-q^k} \prod_{i=1}^j \frac{1-q^{i+2k-1}}{1-q^i}, \end{aligned}$$

where the Gaussian sum is given by the formulas:

$$(8.19) \quad \langle \gamma \mu^1 \rangle'_- = e^{-\frac{\pi^2 a}{4}} \prod_{i=0}^{l-1} (1 + q^{-i}) \quad \text{for } m = 2l, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(8.20) \quad \langle \gamma \mu^1 \rangle'_- = \sqrt{2} e^{\frac{1}{16a} - \frac{\pi^2 a}{4}} \prod_{i=0}^{l-1} (1 + q^{-i-1/2}), \quad m = 2l + 1, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

□

8.4. Roots of unity q , generic k . Let us study the case which is in a sense opposite to the previous one. We assume that $q^{1/2}$ is a primitive $2N$ -th root of unity for $N \geq 1$ and consider generic k . Till the end of the subsection, $k \notin \mathbb{Z}/2$. We will continue using the symbol $\mathbb{C}$ for the field of constants, although it can be made now $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/4}, t^{1/2})$. As above, by generic we mean that *all fractional powers of q must be defined in terms of the "highest" primitive root of unity.*

Theorem 8.5. *Let $k \notin \mathbb{Z}/2$.*

(i) *The polynomials $e_m(x)$, $\varepsilon_m(x)$ are well defined and constitute a basis of $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{C}[X^{\pm 1}]$. For instance, $e_{-N} = X^N + X^{-N}$, $e_{-2N} = X^{2N} + X^{-2N}$. The form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ is also well defined:*

$$\langle \varepsilon_l(x), \varepsilon_m(x) \rangle_0 = \delta_{lm} (\mu^1(m_{\sharp}))^{-1},$$

where μ^1 is given by formula (8.13).

(ii) *The vectors $\varepsilon_m(x)$, $m \leq -N$, together with $\varepsilon_m(x)$, $m \geq N+1$, form a basis of the radical Rad_0 of the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ on the space $\mathcal{P}$. The $\mathcal{H}'$ -module $V_{2N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{P} / \text{Rad}_0 = \bigoplus_{N \geq n \geq -N+1} \mathbb{C} \varepsilon_m(x)$ is irreducible of dimension $2N$ with the simple Y -spectrum:*

$$\left\{ \frac{k+N-1}{2}, \dots, \frac{k}{2}, -\frac{k+1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{k+N}{2} \right\}.$$

As an ideal, $Rad_0 = (e_{-N})$, so $V_{2N} = \mathcal{P}/(X^N + X^{-N})$.

(iii) The polynomials $\varepsilon_l - \varepsilon_m$ for $m_{\sharp} = l_{\sharp} \pmod N$ linearly generate the radical Rad of the pairing $\{f, g\} = f(Y^{-1})(g)(-k/2)$ from Theorem 5.10. The quotient $\mathcal{P}/Rad$ is irreducible and isomorphic to the $\mathcal{H}$ -module $\text{Funct}(\boxtimes_N)$ for the set $\boxtimes_N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{Z}_{\sharp} \pmod N$ of cardinality $4N$, under the action from Theorem 7.2. As an ideal,

$$Rad = (X^{2N} + X^{-2N} - t^N - t^{-N}).$$

(iv) An arbitrary nonzero irreducible quotient of $\mathcal{P}$ is either

$$V^C \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{P}/(X^{2N} + X^{-2N} + C) \text{ for } C \neq 2,$$

or $V_{2N} = \mathcal{P}/(X^N + X^{-N})$, which is a quotient of V^2 by the submodule isomorphic to the image of V_{2N} under ς_y .

The dimension of V^C is $4N$ and it is Y -semisimple with simple weights constituting the set $-\boxtimes_N$. It is also X -semisimple (with simple weights) unless $C = -2$. The module $\mathcal{P}/Rad$ from (iii) equals V^{C_t} as $C_t = t^N + t^{-N} = q^{kN} + q^{-kN}$; $C_t \neq \pm 2$ since $k \notin \mathbb{Z}/2$.

Proof. (i) The existence of the series μ^0 , the e -polynomials, and the ε -polynomials readily follows from Theorem 2.5, Corollary 6.1, and (8.8). One can also involve the Pieri rules from Corollary 6.2 or directly the chain (8.6). Let us calculate e_{-N}, e_{-2N} . We get $Y(e_{-N}) = q^{N/2+k/2}e_{-N} = -t^{1/2}e_{-N}$, where $q^{N/2} = -1$ because $q^{1/2}$ is a primitive root of unity. Then $e_{-N} = t^{1/2}(T + t^{-1/2})e_N$ thanks to (8.6), so $T(e_{-N}) = t^{1/2}e_{-N}$. The latter immediately gives that e_{-N} is $\tilde{\text{sinvariant}}$ (even), and that

$$\pi(e_{-N}) = YT^{-1}(e_{-N}) = -e_{-N}, \text{ where } \pi(f(x)) = f(1/2 - x),$$

so $e_{-N}(x+1/2) = -e_{-N}$. Therefore e_{-N} can contain only the monomials X^N and X^{-N} . Combining it with the $\tilde{\text{sinvariance}}$ we obtain the formula for e_{-N} . The calculation of e_{-2N} is similar.

(ii) The norm-formulas (8.9) give the description of Rad_0 as a linear space. Since it is an ideal, $Rad_0 = (e_{-N})$. As an immediate application, we obtain that (e_{-N}) is an $\mathcal{H}$ -submodule.

Note that it is not difficult to check directly the $\mathcal{H}$ -invariance of (e_{-N}) using the Pieri formula for Xe_{-N} together with the above formulas for the action of Y, T on e_{-N} .

(iii) The calculation of the radical Rad of the form $\{, \}$ is similar to that for Rad from the previous theorem. The module $\mathcal{P}/Rad$ (of dimension $4N$) has to be irreducible because of the following argument. If it contains a nontrivial submodule W , then using the corresponding chain of invertible intertwiners we can find there a Y -eigenvector either of weight $k/2$ or of weight $N/2 + k/2$, i.e., either 1 or e_{-N} . The former is impossible. The latter is impossible too because the generator $X^{2N} + X^{-2N} - t^N - t^{-N}$ of Rad is not divisible by $e_{-N} = X^N + X^{-N}$:

$$e_{-N}(q^{k/2}) = q^{kN/2} + q^{-kN/2} = q^{-kN/2}(q^{kN} + 1) = 0 \Leftrightarrow k \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}.$$

(iv) The element $X^{2N} + X^{-2N}$ is central in $\mathcal{H}$. Indeed, it commutes with π and with

$$T_i + \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{X_i^2 - 1} \text{ for } i = 0, 1, T_1 = T, X_1 = X, T_0 = \pi T_1 \pi, X_0 = \pi(X),$$

generating a proper localization of $\mathcal{H}$. Therefore it is central in $\mathcal{H}$ without the localization.

Hence V^C is an $\mathcal{H}$ -module. Using the chain of intertwiners (see (iii)), it is irreducible if and only if $X^{2N} + X^{-2N} + C$ is divisible by $X^N + X^{-N}$, so for $C = 2$ only. Otherwise its Y -spectrum is simple. Concerning the X -spectrum, the polynomial $X^{2N} + X^{-2N} + C$ has simple roots unless $C = \pm 2$. The action of X is not semisimple in V^{-2} (use the affine Hecke algebra $\langle T, X \rangle$). $\square$

Comment. The form $\langle, \rangle$ making the generators X, Y, T, q, t unitary and inducing $\star$ on $\mathcal{H}$ cannot be introduced on V^C for $C \neq 2$. It follows from formula (6.26) (before Proposition 6.3) in [C12]. The form $\{, \}$ inducing ϕ exists only on $\mathcal{P}/Rad$ from (iii). Note that if V_{2N} had such a form, then $\star \cdot \phi = \epsilon$ would be an inner automorphism of V_{2N} and $\text{Spec}_X = -\text{Spec}_Y$, which is impossible.

9. CLASSIFICATION, VERLINDE ALGEBRAS

In this section we continue to assume that $q^{1/2}$ is a primitive $2N$ -th root of unity, $N \geq 1$. However now k will be arbitrary (possibly special). The actual field of constants is $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/4}, t^{1/2})$, although the next theorem is stated over $\mathbb{C}$. Recall, that the Y -weights λ are defined as follows: $Yv = q^\lambda v$, $v \neq 0$.

The classification below gives the list of all possible pairs $\{\lambda, k\}$. However in process of proving the next theorem we give a complete description of the defining parameters of the irreducible representations.

Note that the consideration of the regular case can be simplified using the structure of the center of DAHA. It makes the parametrization $X \leftrightarrow Y$ symmetric. Using the center makes sense for the special cases too, although the technique of intertwiners seems more convenient.

There is a variant of the general theory for odd N , when one picks $q^{1/2}$ in primitive N -th roots of unity. This case will not be considered in this section, as well as the equivalent case of the Little DAHA generated by $\langle X^2, T, Y^2 \rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ (see below).

9.1. The list.

Definition 9.1. (i) A number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is called *regular* if the orbit $O_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pm\lambda + \mathbb{Z}/2 \pmod N$ is simple, i.e., contains $4N$ elements. Equivalently, $2\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}/2 \pmod N$. Otherwise it is called *half-singular* as $2\lambda \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z} \pmod N$, and *singular* as $2\lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \pmod N$.

(ii) An irreducible representation V of $\mathcal{H}$ is called *Y -principal* if its dimension is $4N$ and Y has a simple spectrum in it. Otherwise it is called *Y -special*.

Note that the O_λ can consist of $4N$ or $2N$ elements.

Theorem 9.2. Let V be an irreducible finite dimensional $\mathcal{H}$ -module with a Y -eigenvector of weight λ . The following list exhausts all possible pairs $\{\lambda, k\}$.

(A) Let λ be regular. Then V is Y -principal if $k \notin \pm 2\lambda + \mathbb{Z}$. Otherwise, $k \in \pm 2\lambda + \mathbb{Z}$, so $k \notin \mathbb{Z}/2$, and up to ι , ς_y , and their product from (8.1, 8.2), V is one of the irreducible quotients of $\mathcal{P}$ described in Theorem 8.5. The latter are Y -principal unless $V = \mathcal{P}/(X^N + X^{-N})$.

(B) Let λ be half-singular, i.e., $2\lambda \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}$, and either $k \notin \mathbb{Z}/2$ or $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then V is Y -semisimple. The dimension can be $2N$ (then the Y -spectrum is simple) or $4N$ (then all weights are of multiplicity 2).

(C) Let λ be singular, i.e., $2\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $k \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then the dimension of V is $4N$ and it is not Y -semisimple, unless $t = 1$.

(D) Let λ be either half-singular under $k \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}$ or λ singular under $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then V is a quotient of $\mathcal{P}$ or its image under the automorphisms $\iota, \varsigma_y, \iota\varsigma_y$.

Proof. Given a Y -eigenvector $v = v_0$ of weight $\lambda = \lambda_0$, we will apply the chain of intertwiners from (8.6):

$$(9.1) \quad v_0 \xrightarrow{A_0} v_1 \xrightarrow{B_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{A_{-m+1}} v_m \xrightarrow{B_m} \cdots v_{2N},$$

to construct v_{1-m}, v_m for $1 \leq m \leq 2N$. Recall that

$$A_{-m+1} = q^{(m-1)/2} X\pi, \quad B_m = t^{1/2} \left(T + \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{q^{-2\lambda_m} - 1} \right), \quad \text{and}$$

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{2} - \lambda, \lambda_{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda, \dots, \lambda_m = -\frac{m}{2} - \lambda = \lambda_{-m} \quad \text{for } m > 0.$$

We can also start here with the space $V_0 = V(\lambda) \subset V$ of all λ -eigenvectors. Then the composition

$$K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B_{2N} A_{1-2N} \cdots B_2 A_{-1} B_1 A_0$$

preserves $V_0 = V(\lambda)$ if K is well defined. Similarly, the *chain* can be applied to the space $\widehat{V}_0 = \widehat{V}(\lambda)$ of the *generalized eigenvectors*, satisfying $(Y - \lambda)^M(u) = 0$ for sufficiently large M . In this case, however, we need to go back to the formula

$$B_m = t^{1/2} \left(T + \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{Y^{-2} - 1} \right).$$

(A) All intertwiners exist and are invertible if $k/2 \notin O_\lambda$. We can set $K(v_0) = q^\kappa v_0$ for arbitrary $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ and uniquely extend it to the action of $\mathcal{H}$ on $\bigoplus_{m=1-2N}^{2N} \mathbb{C}v_m$. It is a simple exercise. This representation is principal. If $k/2$ belongs to the orbit O_λ , then we use the intertwiners to find a Y -eigenvector v_0 of weight $\pm k/2 \bmod N/2$ such that the B -intertwiner is zero on it. Indeed, if $B(v_0) \neq 0$, then we take it as v_0 . Using ι and ς_y , we can assume that this weight is $k/2$. This means that V is a quotient of $\mathcal{P}$. Now we can apply Theorem 8.5.

(B) All intertwiners exist and are invertible. We can make $\lambda = -1/4$. Then $S = q^{-1/4} X\pi$ creates the weight $-1/2 - \lambda = -1/2$, and therefore preserves the space V_0 of all eigenvectors of weight $-1/4$. The operators K, S act in V_0 and satisfy the relation $S^2 = 1, SKS = K^{-1}$. The irreducibility of V_0 as a $\{S, K\}$ -module is necessary and sufficient for the irreducibility of V . Similar to (A), we can uniquely extend an arbitrary action of S, K on V_0 to a structure of $\mathcal{H}$ -module on V . Thus V_0 is either one-dimensional ($K = \pm 1, S = \pm 1$) or two-dimensional. We obtain the desired result.

(C) The intertwiners are invertible provided we have their existence. We can find v_0 with $\lambda = 0$. Then $\mathcal{H}_y v_0$ is a two-dimensional irreducible representation of the affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_Y = \langle T, Y \rangle$, which coincides with

$$\widehat{V}_0 = \widehat{V}(0) = \{u \mid (Y - 1)^2(u) = 0\}.$$

The action of Y here is not semisimple. We take invertible

$$L \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_{1-N} \dots B_2 A_{-1} B_1 A_0$$

instead of K . It sends $\lambda = 0$ to $-N/2$ and $\widehat{V}_0$ to $\widehat{V}_N$. Recall that $q^{N/2} = -1$, so the intertwiner B_N acting in $\widehat{V}_N$ is singular. We obtain that $(Y + 1)^2 = 0$ on $\widehat{V}_N$ and T preserves it. If we know the action of T in this space, then it is sufficient to reconstruct uniquely the $\mathcal{H}$ -action on V . Indeed, we know the action of Y and $X\pi$, which sends $\widehat{V}_N$ back to $\widehat{V}_{1-N}$. The T -action depends on one parameter because we can conjugate T by the matrices in the centralizer of Y (the Y -action is known). Any choice of this parameter gives the corresponding action of $\mathcal{H}$ on V . Here we need to exclude the case $k = 0$, which require somewhat different consideration.

(D) Similar to the second part of (A), we can find a Y -eigenvector v_0 of weight $\pm k/2 \bmod N/2$ such that the B -intertwiner is zero on it. Using ι and ς_y if necessary, we obtain a surjection $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow V$. $\square$

Actually we have proved more than what was stated in the theorem. In cases (A-C), we gave the following complete description of *all* parameters which determine the irreducible representations.

Given a Y -weight λ , we need either the action of K (A), or K and S (B) in the corresponding Y -eigenspace.

Respectively, we need the action of T in $\{v \mid (Y + 1)^2(v) = 0\}$ for (C) as $k \neq 0$, and it can be arbitrary, compatible with the given action of Y .

Case (D) requires an extension of Theorem 8.5 to integral and half-integral k , which we are going to discuss now.

9.2. Special spherical representations. First of all, the substitution

$$T \mapsto -T, \quad t^{1/2} \mapsto -t^{1/2}$$

identify the polynomial representations for $t^{1/2}$ and $-t^{1/2}$. It does not act on monomials X^m , so the nonsymmetric polynomials e_m remain

unchanged, as long as they are well defined. The spherical polynomials ε_m for even m do not change either, $\varepsilon_m \mapsto -\varepsilon_m$ for odd m .

Thus it is sufficient to decompose $\mathcal{P}$ upon the transformation $k \mapsto k + N$, and we can assume that $N/2 \leq k < N/2$. We will also use the outer involutions ι, ς of $\mathcal{H}$ from (8.1, 8.2).

Theorem 9.3. (i) Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}/2 \bmod 2N$ and $-N/2 \leq k < N/2$. Then the representations $V^C = \mathcal{P}/(X^{2N} + X^{-2N} + C)$ of dimension $4N$ from Theorem 8.5 remain irreducible for $C \neq \pm 2$. However now V^C for such C becomes Y -non-semisimple for integral $k \neq 0$ and Y -semisimple with the 2-fold spectrum otherwise.

Moreover, V^{-2} is irreducible for half-integral k , as well as the quotient $V_{2N} = \mathcal{P}/(X^N + X^{-N})$ of V^2 for integral k . Recall that the kernel of the map $V^2 \rightarrow V_{2N}$ is isomorphic to the image of V_{2N} under ς_y sending Y to $-Y$.

(ii) If $0 < 2k < N$, then either V^{-2} for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and or V_{2N} for $k \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}$ has a unique irreducible nonzero quotient $V_{2n} = \mathcal{P}/(\varepsilon_{-n})$ of dimension $2n$ for $n = N - 2k$. Its Y -spectrum is simple. The eigenvectors are the images of ε_m for $-n + 1 \leq m \leq n$, which are all well defined. It coincides with $\mathcal{P}/\text{Rad}$ for the radical of the pairing $\{, \}$;

$$(9.2) \quad T(e_{-n}) = -t^{-1/2}e_{-n} = Y(e_{-n}).$$

These statements can be extended to $k = 0$ when $t = 1$ if we take $\varepsilon_{-n} = X^N - X^{-N}$ in place of ε_{-n} .

(iii) Let $k = -1/2 - n$ for integral $0 \leq n < (N - 1)/2$. Then the module $V_{2N} = \mathcal{P}/(X^N + X^{-N})$ has two non-isomorphic irreducible the Y -semisimple quotients, namely, the representations from (8.5):

$$V_{2n+1}^{\pm} = \mathcal{P}/(\varepsilon_{n+1} \pm \varepsilon_{-n}),$$

$$\dim(V_{2n+1}^{\pm}) = |2k| = 2n + 1, \quad V_{2n+1}^{-} = \varsigma_x(V_{2n+1}^{+}).$$

Here ε_m are well defined when $-2n \leq m \leq 2n + 1$. The binomials $X^{n+1} \pm X^{-n}$ must be taken in place of $\varepsilon_{n+1} \pm \varepsilon_{-n}$ to extend these statements to the boundary case $N = 2n + 1$.

The kernel (ε_{-2n-1}) of the map from V_{2N} to the direct sum of V_{2n+1}^{+} and V_{2n+1}^{-} has dimension $2N - |4k|$ and is isomorphic to $V_{2N-4|k|}$ from (ii) under the involution ι sending k to $-k$, $T \mapsto -T$. The vector $e = \varepsilon_{-2n-1}$ satisfies $T(e) = -t^{-1/2}e$, $Y(e) = t^{-1/2}e$.

(iv) In the last case $k \in -1 - \mathbb{Z}_+$, the module V^{-2} has a unique irreducible nonzero quotient $V_{2N+4|k|}$ (of dimension $2N + 4|k|$). It equals

$\mathcal{P}/\text{Rad}$ for the radical of the pairing $\{, \}$, and is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}/(e)$ for $e = \varepsilon_N - \varepsilon_{-N-2|k|}$ satisfying relations (9.2). Here the polynomials $\varepsilon_N, \varepsilon_{-N-2|k|}$ is well defined.

It is also isomoprphic to the kernel of the map $V^{-2} \rightarrow V_{2N-4|k|}$ from (ii) under the outer involution ι_{ζ_y} .

(v) The polynomials ε_m for $\{m = -2|k|, 2|k| + 1, \dots, -N + 1, N\}$ exist and their images generate the Y -semisimple part of $V_{2N+4|k|}$ (of dimension $2N - 4|k|$). The corresponding Y -weights are

$$\{\lambda = \frac{|k|}{2}, \frac{-|k| - 1}{2}, \frac{|k| + 1}{2}, \dots, \frac{N - 1 - |k|}{2}, \frac{|k| - N}{2}\}.$$

The rest of $V_{2N+4|k|}$ is the direct sum of $2|k|$ Jordan 2-blocks of the total dimension $4|k|$ corresponding to the remaining $2|k|$ weights in the orbit $O_\lambda = \{\lambda = 0, -1/2, 1/2, -1, \dots, (N - 1)/2, -N/2\}$. Namely, there are two segments of non-semisimple λ :

$$\{-\frac{|k|}{2}, \frac{|k| - 1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}, 0\}, \{\frac{N - k}{2}, \frac{|k| - N - 1}{2}, \dots, \frac{N - 1}{2}, -\frac{N}{2}\}.$$

Proof. (i) We use that the X -spectrum is simple in V^C and the intertwiners are always invertible. This readily gives the irreducibility. The Jordan Y -blocks will appear if and only if the Y -spectrum contains 0 (with a reservation about the degenerate case $k = 0$, which must be considered separately).

The space $\widehat{V}(0) = \{v \mid (Y - 1)^2 v = 0\}$ is an $\mathcal{H}_Y$ -module which is not Y -semisimple. So are all other generalized Y -eigenspaces. This happens precisely for integral $k \neq 0$. This argument has been used quite a few times. We obtain (i).

Concerning the existence of the e -polynomials, the invertibility of the intertwiners is sufficient but not necessary. The following lemma gives the general construction. In fact, it has been already used before. It will be applied a couple of times, especially in part (iv).

Lemma 9.4. *Let $V_0 = \mathbb{C}$, $V_1 = \mathbb{C}X, \dots$,*

$$\widehat{V}_{-m} = B_m \widehat{V}_m, \widehat{V}_{m-1} = A_{-m} \widehat{V}_{-m}, \dots,$$

where $m > 0$, $A_{-m} = q^{m/2} X \pi$, B_m is the restriction of the B -intertwiner $B = t^{1/2}(T + \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{Y^{-2} - 1})$ to $\widehat{V}_m$ provided that $q^{2\lambda_m} \neq 1$ for $\lambda_m = -m/2 - k/2$. If $q^{2\lambda_m} = 1$, then we set $B_m = t^{1/2}T$, $\widehat{V}_{-m} = \widehat{V}_m + T\widehat{V}_m$.

The space $\widehat{V}_{\pm m}$ is always one or two-dimensional, and $(Y - q^{\pm \lambda_m})^2$ equals 0 there. It contains a unique e -polynomial, however of degree smaller than $|m|$ if the space is two-dimensional.

If $\dim \widehat{V}_m = 1$ then the corresponding chain of intertwiners

$$A_{1-m}B_{m-1} \cdots B_1 A_0(1)$$

produces the polynomial $e_m \in \widehat{V}_m$. Also, $e_{-m} = B_m e_m$ for such $m > 0$. When $\dim \widehat{V}_m = 2$ then the e -polynomials e_m, e_{-m} do not exist in $\mathcal{P}$.

Let us assume that either 1) $q^{2\lambda_m} = t$ or 2) $q^{2\lambda_m} = t^{-1}$. Then $\dim \widehat{V}_{-m} = 1$ and $e_{-m} \in \widehat{V}_{-m}$. If here $\dim \widehat{V}_m = 2$, then respectively either 1) $(T + t^{-1/2})e_{-m}$ or 2) $(T - t^{1/2})e_{-m}$ is nonzero and proportional to the unique e -polynomial in the space $\widehat{V}_m$. If $\dim \widehat{V}_m = 1$, then the vector 1) or 2) is zero. $\square$

If $V = \mathcal{P}/J$ is a proper (neither $\{0\}$ nor $\mathcal{P}$) irreducible $\mathcal{H}$ -quotient, then J is an ideal. We may set $J = (e)$ for either

$$(\mathcal{A}) : e = X^l + \dots + cX^{-l} \quad \text{or} \quad (\mathcal{B}) : e = X^{l+1} + \dots + cX^{-l},$$

where $2N > l > 0, c \neq 0$,

$$(\mathcal{A}) : \dim V = 2l, \quad (\mathcal{B}) : \dim V = 2l + 1.$$

In either case, e is an eigenvector of Y . Indeed, $Y(e) \in J$ has the same type as e with the same degrees. A proper linear combination of e and $Y(e)$ would be lower than e , unless they are proportional. We set $e = e^0 + e^1$, where $e^\alpha(-X) = (-1)^\alpha e^\alpha(X)$. Note that this decomposition is always nontrivial in case $(\mathcal{B})$.

Since Y commutes with the ι_x sending $X \mapsto -X$, then $\{e^0, e^1\}$ are Y -eigenvectors of top X -degrees

$$(\mathcal{A}) : \{l, m\} \text{ as } 0 < m < l, \text{ for odd } l - m, \quad (\mathcal{B}) : \{l + 1, l\}.$$

The Y -weights of e^0, e^1 must coincide mod N . They can be readily calculated:

$$(\mathcal{A}) : \{(l + k)/2, \pm(m + k)/2\}, \quad (\mathcal{B}) : \{-(l + 1 + k)/2, (l + k)/2\}.$$

Here it suffices to know the leading term of e^α with respect to the ordering $\succ$: $X^{\pm m} \Rightarrow \mp(m + k)/2$ for $m > 0$.

The coincidence mod N immediately gives that only the plus sign is possible in $(\mathcal{A})$. We arrive at the following relations:

$$(\mathcal{A}) : k = -(l + m)/2 \pmod{N}, \quad (\mathcal{B}) : k = -1/2 - l \pmod{N}.$$

In either case, $k \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}$ if the decomposition $e = e^0 + e^1$ is nontrivial.

We obtain that $(\mathcal{B})$ results in claims from (iii) from the theorem. Indeed, $0 \leq l < 2N$, and l is nothing but $n = k + 1/2$. The representations $V_{2n+1}^\pm$ exist and remain irreducible in this case.

We now need to examine $(\mathcal{A})$. We will impose this condition till the end of the proof.

The argument above, which ensured the Y -invariance of e , can be used for T as well. It preserves the type of e (note that it does not hold in case $(\mathcal{B})$). We obtain that $T(e) = \pm t^{\pm 1/2} e$. Therefore $e = e_{-l}$. Indeed, $e - e_{-l}$ must be proportional to e_m for positive $m \leq l$. However, such small e_m are never eigenvectors of T since the chain of intertwiners remains invertible in this range.

We have four subcases of $(\mathcal{A})$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha) \quad T(e) &= t^{1/2} e = Y(e), & (\beta) \quad T(e) &= t^{1/2} e = -Y(e), \\ (\gamma) \quad T(e) &= -t^{-1/2} e = Y(e), & (\delta) \quad T(e) &= -t^{-1/2} e = -Y(e). \end{aligned}$$

Concerning (α, β) , we obtain that $s(e) = e$, so e is even and the decomposition $e = e^0 + e^1$ is trivial. Then $(l + k)/2 = k/2 \pmod N$ for (α) and $(l + k)/2 = k/2 + N/2 \pmod N$ for (β) . The former equality results in $l = 0 \pmod{2N}$, which is impossible. As for (β) :

$$\pi(e) = YT^{-1}(e) = -e \Rightarrow e(x + 1/2) = -e(x) \Rightarrow e = X^N + X^{-N}.$$

Therefore (β) implies that the quotient $\mathcal{P}/(X^N + X^{-N})$ is an $\mathcal{H}$ -module and is irreducible unless it is covered by (iii) of the theorem, which was already considered.

(γ) We obtain $(l + k)/2 = N/2 - k/2 \pmod N$ and $l = N - 2k \pmod{2N}$. Recall that $e = e_{-l}$. If $2k > 0$, we arrive exactly at (ii) of the theorem. In this case, $l = n$. All polynomials e_m are well defined and have nonzero $e_m(-k/2)$ in this case. Otherwise $2k < 0$.

(γ_1) If $2k < 0$, then k cannot be a half-integer. Indeed, $e_{-l}(-k/2) = 0$ in this case (use the evaluation formula), as well as for the generator of the ideal for V_{2n+1}^+ in case (b). We see that these two ideals together do not generate the whole $\mathcal{P}$. Since V is irreducible, it has to coincide with V_{2n+1}^+ , which is impossible because we assumed that e is in the form (a). Therefore k must be an integer.

(γ_2) We consider the integers $-N/2 \leq k < 0$, examine the chain

$$\widehat{V}_0 = \mathbb{C}, \widehat{V}_1 = \mathbb{C}X, \widehat{V}_{-1}, \dots, \widehat{V}_m, \dots$$

from Lemma 9.4. See also (9.1). The following takes place ($m > 0$):

- 0) the $\widehat{V}$ -spaces are one-dimensional till $m = |k|$;
- 1) the intertwiner is trivial ("non-existent") at $m = |k|$, and $\dim \widehat{V}_m = 2$ for $|k| < m \leq 2|k|$;
- 2) the B_m kills $1 \in \widehat{V}_m$ at $m = 2|k|$, and $\dim \widehat{V}_m = 1$ for $2|k| < m \leq N$;
- 3) B_m becomes proportional to $(T+t^{-1/2})$ at $m = N$, $e_{-N} = X^N + X^{-N}$, and $\dim \widehat{V}_m = 1$ for $N < m \leq N + |k|$;
- 4) it is trivial ("non-existent") again at $m = N + |k|$, and $\dim \widehat{V}_m = 2$ for $N + |k| < m \leq N + 2|k|$;
- 5) the B -intertwiner kills e_{-N} at $m = N + 2|k|$, and its image becomes proportional to $e_{-N-2|k|}$, which has the same Y -eigenvalue as e_N .

The polynomials $\varepsilon_{-n-2|k|}$ and ε_N exist and the difference $e = \varepsilon_N - \varepsilon_{-N-2|k|}$ belongs to the radical *Rad*. The lemma gives that the vector $(T + t^{1/2})e_{-N-2|k|}$ is proportional to e_{-N} , as well as $(T + t^{1/2})e_N$. Therefore the difference e satisfies $(T + t^{1/2})e = 0$.

The lemma gives that between 2) and 3), the e_m exist and their images linearly generate the Y -semisimple part of V . Otherwise, there will be Jordan 2-blocks with respect to Y . Indeed, we obtain the 2-dimensional irreducible representation of $\mathcal{H}_Y$ in the space of the corresponding generalized eigenvectors at step 1). Then we shall apply the intertwiners to this space (the weights will go back) and eventually will obtain the two-dimensional generalized eigenspace for the starting weight $\lambda = -|k|/2$.

The intertwiner at 2) will then make the latter space one-dimensional (i.e., Y -semisimple). It will remain one-dimensional until 3). After 3), we obtain the Jordan blocks similar to steps 0)2).

It readily results in the irreducibility of V . We arrive at (v).

Let us consider the last subcase.

(δ) Now $l = 2N - 2k$. If $2k > 0$, then e_{-l} belongs to the ideal (e_{-n}) . Indeed, its image in V_{2n} is zero since there are no eigenvectors of weight $-k/2$ in this module. That contradicts to the irreducibility. Negative k are impossible because $l < 2N$ by assumption. So this case is empty.

Concerning the "duality" claims involving ι from (iii), it holds because $e = e_{-2n-1}$ generating the kernel (e) of the map $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow V_{2n+1}^+ \oplus V_{2n-1}^-$ satisfies the relation $(\delta) : T(e) = -t^{-1/2}e = -Y(e)$. Recall that there is a reservation about the boundary value $2|k| = N$.

As for the duality (ii) $\leftrightarrow$ (iv), the vector $e = e_{-n} = e_{-N-2k}$ generating the kernel of the map $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow V_{2N-4k}$ satisfies the relation $(\gamma) : T(e) = -t^{-1/2}e = Y(e)$. The same relation holds for $e = \varepsilon_N - \varepsilon_{-N-2|k|}$ from (iv). Note the reservation about the boundary value $k = 0$ in this case. $\square$

9.3. Perfect representations. We are going to find out which irreducible modules are $PGL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariant. It is clear that the exceptional representations (ii) - (iv) from the theorem are invariant because they can be distinguished by the dimensions. It is also not difficult to describe $PGL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariant modules from scratch without using the classification. Motivated by the Verlinde algebras [Ve] (see also [KL2]) we will require more structures.

Definition 9.5. *We say that a finite dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{H}$ -module V is perfect, we also call it a nonsymmetric Verlinde algebra if the following conditions hold:*

- (a) *V is spherical, i.e., there exists a surjection $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow V$;*
- (b) *it is $\{\tau_{\pm}, \epsilon\}$ -invariant, which means that there are pullbacks of the $\mathcal{H}$ -automorphisms $\tau_{\pm}$ and ϵ to V satisfying the relations*

$$\tau_+ \tau_-^{-1} \tau_+ = \tau_-^{-1} \tau_+ \tau_-^{-1}, \quad \epsilon^2 = 1, \quad \epsilon \tau_+ = \tau_- \epsilon;$$

- (c) *and also X -pseudo-unitarity: there exists a nondegenerate form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on the space V such that corresponding anti-involution of $\mathcal{H}$ is $\star$ and $\langle e, e \rangle \neq 0$ for any X -eigenvector $e \in V$.*

Note that condition (b) implies that one can replace X -eigenvectors by Y -eigenvectors in (c). Also V is X -semisimple and Y -semisimple simultaneously. By condition (a), the module V has a structure of a commutative algebra (since it is a quotient of the commutative algebra $\mathcal{P}$). Obviously, $V^{sym} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v \in V | Tv = t^{1/2}v\}$ is a subalgebra of V , a generalization of the Verlinde algebra.

Proposition 9.6. *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}/2, |k| < N/2$. The notation is from Theorem 9.3. The greatest σ -invariant quotient of $\mathcal{P}$ is V^2 for half-integral k , and V^{-2} for integral k . Recall that the module V^2 is always an extension of V_{2N} by its ς_y -image $\varsigma_y(V_{2N})$.*

There are exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \iota_{\varsigma_y}(V_{2N+4k}) \rightarrow V^{-2} \rightarrow V_{2N-4k} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \\ 0 \rightarrow \iota(V_{2k}^+ \oplus V_{2k}^-) \rightarrow V_{2N} \rightarrow V_{2N-4k} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } k \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}_+. \end{aligned}$$

The arrows must be reversed for $k < 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \iota_{\zeta_y}(V_{2N-4|k|}) &\rightarrow V^{-2} \rightarrow V_{2N+4|k|} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } k \in -1 - \mathbb{Z}_+, \\ 0 \rightarrow \iota(V_{2N-4|k|}) &\rightarrow V_{2N} \rightarrow V_{2|k|}^+ \oplus V_{2|k|}^- \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } k \in -1/2 - \mathbb{Z}_+. \end{aligned}$$

The modules $V_{2|k|}^\pm$, $V_{2N-4|k|}$, $V_{2N+4|k|}$ are irreducible and σ -invariant. The latter module is Y -non-semisimple. The other three are semisimple.

Proof. We use Theorem 9.3, the structure of the Y -spectrum in the polynomial representation, and that the dimensions of the Jordan Y -blocks are no greater than 2. $\square$

We obtain that up to ι, ζ , there are *three* different series of σ -invariant spherical representations at roots of unity, namely, V_{2N-4k} (integral $N/2 > k > 0$), $V_{2|k|}$ (half-integral $-N/2 < k < 0$), and $V_{2N+4|k|}$ (integral $-N/2 < k < 0$). The latter is non-semisimple.

If $k = 1$, the subalgebra $\widehat{V_{2N-4}^{sym}}$ of dimension $N-1$ is isomorphic to the usual Verlinde algebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ of level N (central charge $= N-2$). The symmetric polynomials $p_m^{(1)}$ are the classical characters of finite dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. In V_{2N-4}^{sym} , these characters are considered as functions at roots of unity.

Comment. 1) Recently a non-semisimple variant of the Verlinde algebra appeared in connection with the fusion procedure for the $(1, p)$ Virasoro algebra, although the connection with the fusion is still not justified. Generally speaking, the fusion procedure for the Virasoro-type algebras and the so-called W -algebras can lead to non-semisimple Verlinde algebras. At least, there are no reasons to expect the existence of a positive hermitian inner product there like the Verlinde pairing for the conformal blocks, because the corresponding physics theories are expected *massless*. Presumably it is connected with $V_{2N+4|k|}$ for $k = -1$.

2) The latter module and its multi-dimensional generalizations are also expected to be connected with the important problem of describing the complete tensor category of the representations of the Lusztig quantum group at roots of unity. The Verlinde algebra, the symmetric part of $V_{2N-4|k|}$ for $k = 1$, describes the so-called *reduced category*, in a sense, corresponding to the Weyl chamber. The nonsemisimple modules of type $V_{2N+4|k|}$ are supposed to appear in the so-called *case of the parallelogram*. $\square$

Theorem 9.7. *The perfect representations V are exactly the cases*

- (a) : $2k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 < k < N/2$, and
 (b) : $k = -1/2 - n, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq n < N/2$

from Theorem 9.3. Both V are quotients of $\mathcal{P}$ by the radical Rad of the form $\{f, g\} = f(Y^{-1})(g)(-k/2)$. They are isomorphic to $\text{Funct}(\bowtie')$:

$$(a) \quad \bowtie' = \left\{ \frac{k-N+1}{2}, \frac{k-N+2}{2}, \dots, -\frac{k}{2}, \frac{k+1}{2}, \dots, \frac{N-k}{2} \right\},$$

$$(b) \quad \bowtie' = \left\{ \frac{1/2-n}{2}, \frac{3/2-n}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \dots, \frac{1/2+n}{2} \right\}.$$

The action of $\mathcal{H}$ is defined here by the formulas from Theorem 7.2. The invariant form is (8.13):

$$(9.3) \quad \langle f, g \rangle' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle f \bar{g} \mu^1 \rangle', \quad \bar{g}(m_\#) = \overline{g(m_\#)}, \quad \langle f \rangle' = \sum_{m_\# \in \bowtie'} f(m_\#),$$

$$\mu^1(m_\#) = \mu^1((1-m)_\#) = q^{-k(m-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{1-q^{2k+i}}{1-q^i} \text{ as } m > 0.$$

Proof. We first check that $V = \mathcal{P}/\text{Rad}$ if V is perfect. Using $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and ϵ on V , we introduce the form $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_\epsilon$ on $\mathcal{P}$ which is the pullback of the form $\langle f, \epsilon g \rangle$. This form is symmetric since ϵ commutes with $\star$. The corresponding anti-involution is $\epsilon \star = \phi$, i.e., the same as for the form $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$. A proper linear combination $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_\dagger$ of $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_\epsilon$ satisfies $\{1, 1\}_\dagger = 0$. Therefore it is zero identically and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$, $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_\epsilon$ are proportional. This gives the desired.

Second, using the chain of intertwiners from (8.6) and (9.1), we obtain that all ε_m for $m_\# \in -\bowtie'$ are well defined and their images in V linearly generate an irreducible $\mathcal{H}$ -submodule V' . Indeed, the polynomial e_{-n} exists, $e_{-n}(-k/2) = 0$, and therefore it belongs to Rad . Equivalently, one may check that the formulas from Theorem 7.2 define an $\mathcal{H}$ -action on $\text{Funct}(\bowtie')$.

The orthogonal complement of V' in V with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ (or $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_\epsilon$) intersect V by zero.

Third, the operator X has a simple spectrum on V . The existence of the X -pseudo-unitary pairing guarantees that X is semisimple. Since V is a cyclic module over $\mathbb{C}[X^{\pm 1}]$, each eigenvalue of X has a unique Jordan block. Therefore the spectrum of X in V is simple. Applying ϵ , the same holds for Y . For instance, the image of 1 in V can belong

either to V' or to its orthogonal complement constructed above. This is impossible because it is a generator of V .

Fourth, we can define τ_+ as the multiplication by the restricted Gaussian $\gamma(m_\#) = q^{m_\#^2}$ in the realization $V = \text{Func}(\bowtie')$. The automorphism ϵ in V is the Fourier transform $\mathbf{E} : \hat{f}(m_\#) = \langle \bar{f} \epsilon_m \mu^1 \rangle'$ from (8.14). $\square$

Note that the action of τ_+, σ in V_{2n}^{sym} for $n = N - 2k$ and the integral k was introduced and calculated for the first time in [Ki] on the basis of the interpretation of Rogers' polynomials for the integral positive k discovered by Etingof and Kirillov. Verlinde considered only $k = 1$.

We will finish this section with the following generalization of Theorem 3.4. We follow Theorem 8.3, where negative half-integres k were considered. See also [C7].

Theorem 9.8. *Let $0 < 2k < N$, $l_\#, m_\# \in \bowtie'$. Then*

$$(9.4) \quad \langle \epsilon_l \overline{\epsilon_m} \gamma \mu^1 \rangle' = q^{-\frac{m^2 + n^2 + 2k(|m| + |n|)}{4}} \epsilon_l(m_\#) \langle \gamma \mu^1 \rangle',$$

$$(9.5) \quad \langle \gamma \mu^1 \rangle' = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{1 - q^j} \sum_{j=0}^{2N-1} q^{j^2/4} \quad \text{where } k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2N-1} q^{j^2/4} = (1 + \imath) \sqrt{N} \quad \text{as } q^{1/4} = \exp(\pi \imath / 2N),$$

$$(9.6) \quad \langle \gamma \mu^1 \rangle' = 2q^{1/16} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1/2} \frac{1}{1 - q^{1/2-j}} \quad \text{for } k = 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}.$$

Comment. To conclude, let us note an interesting connection of these formulas with Theorem 8.4 describing the *additional series* for non-cyclotomic q and $t = -q^{-n/2}$. The formulas (8.20) and (8.19) actually can be used to deduce (9.4), and the other way round. Indeed, setting in the last formulas $k = N/2 - n/2$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain the relation $t = -q^{-n/2}$. If N is sufficiently big than the corresponding q is actually "generic". The only thing we need to establish the connection

is rewriting the Gaussian sums using Corollary 3.6:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma \mu^1 \rangle' &= q^{n^2/4} \prod_{j=k+1}^n (1 - q^j) && \text{if } N = 2n, \\ \langle \gamma \mu^1 \rangle' &= q^{n^2/4} (1 + q^{(2n-1)/4}) \prod_{j=k+1}^n (1 - q^j) && \text{if } N = 2n + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can chose big N providing the desired parity. The *additional* representation V_{2n} becomes V_{2N-4k} for such N . This is essentially what was done in [C4] (Appendix) in a particular case. $\square$

10. DAHA AND THE p -ADIC THEORY

This section is devoted to double Hecke algebras in the general setting and their connection with the classical p -adic spherical transform. In contrast to previous sections, we state the results without proofs. The paper [C10] contains a complete theory.

10.1. Affine Weyl group. Let $R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a simple reduced root system, $R_+ \subset R$ the set of positive roots, $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subset R_+$ the corresponding set of simple roots, and $\theta \in R_+$ the maximal *coroot*. We normalize scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by the condition $(\theta, \theta) = 2$, so θ belongs to R as well. For any $\alpha \in R$, its dual is $\alpha^\vee = \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha, \alpha)} = \alpha/\nu_\alpha$. So $\nu_\alpha = (\alpha, \alpha)/2 = 1, 2, 3$.

The affine roots are

$$R^a = \{\tilde{\alpha} = [\alpha, \nu_\alpha j]\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Note the appearance of ν_α here. It is because of our nonstandard choice of θ . We identify nonaffine roots α with $[\alpha, 0]$ and set $\alpha_0 = [-\theta, 1]$. For $\tilde{\alpha} \in R^a$, $s_{\tilde{\alpha}} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ is the reflection

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\tilde{\alpha}}([x, \zeta]) &= [x, \zeta] - 2 \frac{(x, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \tilde{\alpha}, \quad \text{and} \\ (10.1) \quad W &= \langle s_\alpha \mid \alpha \in R \rangle, \quad W^a = \langle s_{\tilde{\alpha}} \mid \tilde{\alpha} \in R^a \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We set $s_i = s_{\alpha_i}$. It is well-known that W^a is a Coxeter group with the generators $\{s_i\}$.

Let $\omega_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the fundamental weights: $(\omega_i, \alpha_j^\vee) = \delta_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $P = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \omega_i^\vee$ the weight lattice, and $P_+ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{Z}_+ \omega_i$ the cone

of dominant weights. We call $\hat{W} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} W \ltimes P^\vee$ the *extended affine Weyl group*:

$$wb([x, \zeta]) = [w(x), \zeta - (b, x)] \text{ for } b \in P, x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The length function $l : \hat{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ is given by the formula

$$(10.2) \quad l(wb) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R_+ \\ w(\alpha^\vee) \in R_+}} |(b, \alpha^\vee)| + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R_+ \\ w(\alpha) \in -R_+}} |(b, \alpha^\vee) + 1|,$$

where $w \in W$, $b \in P$. Let $Q = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ be the root lattice. Then $W^a = W \ltimes Q \subset \hat{W}$ is a normal subgroup and $\hat{W}/W^a = P/Q$. Moreover, $\hat{W}$ is the semidirect product $\Pi \ltimes W^a$, where

$$\Pi = \{\pi \in \hat{W} \mid l(\pi) = 0\} = \{\pi \in \hat{W} \mid \pi : \{\alpha_i\} \mapsto \{\alpha_i\}\}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n.$$

It is isomorphic to P/Q and acts naturally on the affine Dynkin diagram for $R^\vee$ with the reversed arrows. It is not just the standard Dynkin diagram because of our choice of θ . We set $\pi(i) = j$ as $\pi(\alpha_i) = \alpha_j$.

10.2. Affine Hecke algebra. We denote it by $\mathcal{H}$. Its generators are T_i for $i = 0, \dots, n$ and $\pi \in \Pi$. The relations are

$$(10.3) \quad \underbrace{T_i T_j T_i \dots}_{\text{order of } s_i s_j} = \underbrace{T_j T_i T_j \dots}_{\text{order of } s_i s_j}, \quad \pi T_i \pi^{-1} = T_{\pi(i)},$$

$$(T_i - t^{1/2})(T_i + t^{1/2}) = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n, \quad \pi \in \Pi.$$

If $\hat{w} = \pi s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_l} \in \hat{W}$ is a reduced expression, i.e., $l(\pi^{-1}w) = l$, we set $T_{\hat{w}} = \pi T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_l}$. The elements $T_{\hat{w}}$ are well defined and form a basis of $\mathcal{H}$.

Let G be the adjoint split p -adic simple group corresponding to R . Then $\mathcal{H}$ is the convolution algebra of compactly supported functions on G which are left-right invariant with respect to the Iwahori subgroup B , due to Iwahori and Matsumoto. Namely, T_i are the characteristic functions of the double cosets Bs_iB , where we use a natural embedding $W \rightarrow G$. Generally, it is not a homomorphism.

To be more exact, the p -adic quadratic equations are in the form $(T_i - 1)(T_i + t_i) = 0$ for the standard normalization of the Haar measure. Here t_i may depend on the length of α_i and are given in terms of the cardinality of the residue field. We will stick to our normalization of

T , and assume that the parameters t coincide to simplify formulas. We will also use

$$\delta_{\hat{w}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} t^{-l(\hat{w})/2} T_{\hat{w}},$$

which satisfy the quadratic equation with 1.

Let Δ be the left regular representation of $\mathcal{H}$. In the basis $\{\delta_{\hat{w}}\}$, the representation Δ is given by

$$(10.4) \quad T_i \delta_{\hat{w}} = \begin{cases} t^{1/2} \delta_{s_i \hat{w}} & \text{if } l(s_i \hat{w}) = l(\hat{w}) + 1, \\ t^{-1/2} \delta_{s_i \hat{w}} + (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}) \delta_{\hat{w}} & \text{if } l(s_i \hat{w}) = l(\hat{w}) - 1, \end{cases}$$

and obvious relations $\pi \delta_{\hat{w}} = \delta_{\pi \hat{w}}$, where $\pi \in \Pi$, $\hat{w} \in \hat{W}$.

The *spherical representation* appears as follows. Let

$$\delta^+ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\sum_{w \in W} t^{l(w)} \right)^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} t^{l(w)} \delta_w \in \mathcal{H}.$$

One readily checks that $T_i \delta^+ = t^{1/2} \delta^+$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $(\delta^+)^2 = \delta^+$. We call δ^+ the t -symmetrizer. Then

$$\Delta^+ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Delta \delta^+ = \oplus_{b \in P} \mathbb{C} \delta_b^+, \quad \delta_{\hat{w}}^+ = \delta_{\hat{w}} \delta^+,$$

is an $\mathcal{H}$ -submodule of Δ .

It is nothing but $\text{Ind}_H^{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{C}_{t^{1/2}})$, where $H \subset \mathcal{H}$ is the subalgebra generated by $T_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\mathbb{C}_{t^{1/2}}$ is the one-dimensional representation of H defined by $T_i \mapsto t^{1/2}$.

Due to Bernstein, Zelevinsky, and Lusztig (see e.g., [L]), we set $Y_a = T_a$ for $a \in P_+$ and extend it to the whole P using $Y_{b-a} = Y_b Y_a^{-1}$ for dominant a, b . These elements are well defined and pairwise commutative. They form the subalgebra $\mathcal{Y} \cong \mathbb{C}[P^\vee]$ inside $\mathcal{H}$.

Using Y , one can omit T_0 . Namely, the algebra $\mathcal{H}$ is generated by $\{T_i, i > 0, Y_b\}$ with the following relations:

$$(10.5) \quad \begin{aligned} T_i^{-1} Y_b T_i^{-1} &= Y_b Y_{\alpha_i}^{-1} \text{ if } (b, \alpha_i^\vee) = 1, \\ T_i Y_b &= Y_b T_i \text{ if } (b, \alpha_i^\vee) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

The PBW-theorem for $\mathcal{H}$ gives that the spherical representation can be canonically identified with $\mathcal{X}$, as δ^+ goes to 1. The problem is to calculate this isomorphism explicitly. It will be denoted by Φ . We come to the definition of Matsumoto's spherical functions:

$$\phi_a(\Lambda) = \Phi(\delta_a^+)(Y \mapsto \Lambda^{-1}), \quad a \in P.$$

Here by $Y \mapsto \Lambda^{-1}$, we mean that Λ_b^{-1} substitutes for Y_b . See [Mat]. In the symmetric (W -invariant) case, the spherical functions are due to Macdonald.

By construction,

$$\phi_a = t^{-l(a)} \Lambda_a^{-1} = t^{-(\rho^\vee, a)} \Lambda_a^{-1} \text{ for } a \in P_+, \quad \rho^\vee \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1/2) \sum_{\alpha > 0} \alpha^\vee.$$

The formula $l(a) = (\rho^\vee, a)$ readily results from (10.2). So the actual problem is to calculate ϕ_a for non-dominant a .

Example. Consider a root system of type A_1 . In this case, $P = \mathbb{Z}\omega$, where $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the fundamental weight, $Q = 2\mathbb{Z}$, $\omega = \pi s$ for $s = s_1$. We identify Δ^+ and $\mathcal{Y}$, so $\delta^+ = 1$. Letting $Y = Y_\omega, T = T_1$, we get $Y_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Y_{m\omega} = Y^m$, and

$$\phi_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_{m\omega} = t^{-m/2} \Lambda^{-m}, \text{ for } m \geq 0.$$

Note that $TY^{-1}T = Y$ and $\pi = YT^{-1}$.

Let us check that

$$(10.6) \quad \Lambda \phi_{-m} = t^{1/2} \phi_{-m-1} - (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}) \phi_{m+1}, \quad m > 0.$$

Indeed, $\phi_{-m} = t^{-m/2} (T\pi)^m(1) |_{Y \mapsto \Lambda^{-1}}$ and $Y^{-1} \phi_{-m} =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= t^{-m/2} (T^{-1}\pi)(T\pi)^m(1) = t^{-m/2} (T - (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})) \pi (T\pi)^m(1) \\ &= t^{-m/2} (T\pi)^{m+1}(1) - t^{-m/2} (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}) (\pi T)^m \pi(1) \\ &= t^{1/2} \phi_{-m-1}(Y^{-1}) - t^{-m/2} (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}) (\pi T)^m (t^{-1/2} \pi T)(1) \\ &= t^{1/2} \phi_{-m-1}(Y^{-1}) - (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}) \phi_{m+1}(Y^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

10.3. Deforming p -adic formulas. The following chain of theorems represents a new vintage of the classical theory. We are not going to prove them here. Actually all claims which are beyond the classical theory of affine Hecke algebras can be checked by direct and not very difficult calculations, with a reservation about Theorems 10.5 and 10.6.

Theorem 10.1. *Let $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a fixed vector and let $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ be a fixed scalar. We represent $\hat{w} = bw$, where $w \in W, b \in P$. In $\Delta_q^\xi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{\hat{w} \in \hat{W}} \mathbb{C} \delta_w^\xi$, the formulas $\pi \delta_{\hat{w}}^\xi = \delta_{\pi \hat{w}}^\xi$ and*

$$T_i \delta_{\hat{w}}^\xi = \begin{cases} \frac{t^{1/2} q^{(\alpha_i, w(\xi) + b)} - t^{-1/2}}{q^{(\alpha_i, w(\xi) + b)} - 1} \delta_{s_i \hat{w}}^\xi - \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{q^{(\alpha_i, w(\xi) + b)} - 1} \delta_{\hat{w}}^\xi & \text{if } i > 0, \\ \frac{t^{1/2} q^{1 - (\theta, w(\xi) + b)} - t^{-1/2}}{q^{1 - (\theta, w(\xi) + b)} - 1} \delta_{s_0 \hat{w}}^\xi - \frac{t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}}{q^{1 - (\theta, w(\xi) + b)} - 1} \delta_{\hat{w}}^\xi & \text{if } i = 0 \end{cases}$$

define a representation of the algebra $\mathcal{H}$, provided that all denominators are nonzero, i.e., $q^{(\alpha, b+\xi)} \neq 1$ for all $\alpha \in R$, $b \in P$.

The regular representation Δ with the basis $\delta_{\hat{w}}$ is the limit of representation Δ_q^ξ as $q \rightarrow \infty$, provided that ξ lies in the fundamental alcove:

$$(10.7) \quad (\xi, \alpha_i) > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (\xi, \theta) < 1.$$

We see that the representation Δ_q^ξ is a flat deformation of Δ for such ξ . Moreover, $\Delta_q^\xi \cong \Delta$. This will readily follow from the next theorem.

Note that taking ξ in other alcoves, we get other limits of Δ_q^ξ as $q \rightarrow \infty$. They are isomorphic to the same regular representation, however the formulas do depend on the particular alcove. We see that the regular representation has rather many remarkable systems of basic vectors. They are not quite new in the theory of affine Hecke algebras, but were not studied systematically.

Theorem 10.2. (i) We set $X_b(\delta_{\hat{w}}^\xi) = q^{(\alpha_i, w(\xi)+b)} \delta_{\hat{w}}^\xi$ for $\hat{w} = bw$, where we use the notation $X_{[b,j]} = q^j X_b$. These operators have a simple spectrum in Δ_q^ξ under the conditions of the theorem and satisfy the relations dual to (10.5):

$$(10.8) \quad \begin{aligned} T_i^{-1} X_b T_i^{-1} &= X_b^{-1} X_{\alpha_i} \text{ if } (b, \alpha_i^\vee) = 1, \\ T_i X_b &= X_b T_i \text{ if } (b, \alpha_i^\vee) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ \text{and moreover, } \pi X_b \pi^{-1} &= X_{\pi(b)} \text{ as } \pi \in \Pi. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Introducing the double affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}$ by (10.3) and (10.8), the representation Δ_q^ξ is nothing but the induced representation

$$\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{C}\delta_{id}^\xi), \quad \mathcal{X} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{C}[X_b],$$

which is isomorphic to Δ as an $\mathcal{H}$ -module.

Comment. The operators X_b are in a way the coordinates of the Bruhat-Tits buildings corresponding to the p -adic group G . In the classical theory, we use only their combinatorial variants, namely, the distances between vertices, which are integers. The X -operators clarify dramatically the theory of the p -adic spherical Fourier transform, because, as we will see, they are the "missing" Fourier-images of the Y -operators. Obviously, the X_b do not survive in the limit $q \rightarrow \infty$, however they do not collapse completely. Unfortunately the Gaussian, which is $q^{x^2/2}$ as $X_b = q^{(x,b)}$, does. $\square$

The theorem is expected to be directly connected with [HO2] and via this paper with [KL1]. Here we will stick to the spherical representations. The following theorem establishes a connection with Δ^+ .

Theorem 10.3. *We set $q^\xi = t^{-\rho}$, i.e., $q^{(\xi,b)} \mapsto t^{-(\rho,b)}$ for all $b \in P$. The corresponding representation will be denoted by $\tilde{\Delta}$. It is well defined for generic q, t . For any $b \in P$, let π_b be the minimal length representative in the set $\{bW\}$. It equals bu_b^{-1} for the length-minimum element $u_b \in W$ such that $u_b(b) \in -P_+$. Setting $\delta_b^\# \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \delta_{\pi_b}$ in $\tilde{\Delta}$, the space $\Delta^\# \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{b \in P} \mathbb{C} \delta_b^\#$ is an $\mathcal{H}$ -submodule of $\tilde{\Delta}$. It is isomorphic to Δ^+ as an $\mathcal{H}$ -module.*

The representation $\Delta^\#$ is described by the same formulas from Theorem 10.1, which vanish *automatically* on $s_i \pi_b$ not in the form π_c thanks to the special choice of q^ξ . It results directly from the following:

$$s_i \pi_b = \pi_c \Leftrightarrow (\alpha_i, b + d) \neq 0, \text{ where } (\alpha_i, d) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \delta_{i0}.$$

Here $c = b - ((\alpha_i, b + d)\alpha_i^\vee$ for $\alpha_0^\vee \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\theta$.

We define the action $((\))$ of $\hat{W}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by the formulas $wa((x)) = w(a + x)$. The above c is $s_i((b))$. This action is constantly used in the theory of Kac-Moody algebras. It is very convenient when dealing with $\Delta^\#$. Note that

$$\pi_b((c)) = bu_b^{-1}((c)) = u_b^{-1}(c) + b \text{ for } b, c \in P.$$

Let us calculate the formulas from Theorem 10.1 upon $q^\xi \mapsto t^{-\rho}$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$. This substitution changes the consideration but not too much:

$$(10.9) \quad T_i \delta_b^\# = \begin{cases} t^{1/2} \delta_{s_i((b))}^\# & \text{if } (\alpha_i, b + d) > 0, \\ t^{-1/2} \delta_{s_i((b))}^\# + (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}) \delta_b^\# & \text{as } (\alpha_i, b + d) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Otherwise it is zero. The formulas $\pi \delta_b^\# = \delta_{\pi((b))}^\#$ hold for arbitrary $\pi \in \Pi, b \in P$.

Since the calculation is different from that for generic ξ , it is not surprising that (10.9) do not coincide with (10.4) restricted to $\hat{w} = b$ and multiplied on the right by the t -symmetrizer δ^+ . The representations $\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^\#$ and Δ^+ are equivalent, but the T -formulas with respect to the limit of the basis $\{\delta^\#\}$ are different from those in terms of the classical basis $\{\delta_b^+ = \delta_b \delta^+\}$.

10.4. Fourier transform. In the first place, Macdonald's nonsymmetric polynomials generalize the Matsumoto spherical functions. We use $\pi_b = bu_b^{-1} = \text{Min-length } \{bw, w \in W\}$.

Theorem 10.4. (i) Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the representation of the double affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}$ in the space of Laurent polynomials $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{C}[X_b]$:

$$(10.10) \quad T_i = t_i^{1/2} s_i + (t_i^{1/2} - t_i^{-1/2})(X_{\alpha_i} - 1)^{-1}(s_i - 1), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n, \\ X_b(X_c) = X_{b+c}, \quad \pi(X_b) = X_{\pi(b)}, \quad \pi \in \Pi, \quad \text{where } X_{[b,j]} = q^j X_b.$$

(ii) For generic q, t , the polynomials ε_b are uniquely defined from the relations:

$$(10.11) \quad Y_a(\varepsilon_b) = t^{(u(\rho), a)} q^{-(b, a)} \varepsilon_b, \quad \text{where } \pi_b = bu \text{ for } u \in W \\ \varepsilon_b(t^{-\rho}) = 1 \text{ where } X_b(t^{-\rho}) = t^{-(b, \rho)}.$$

(iii) Setting $X_b^* = X_{-b}$, $q^* = q^{-1}$, $t^* = t^{-1}$, the limit of $\varepsilon_b^*(X \mapsto \Lambda)$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$ coincides with $\phi_b(\Lambda)$ for $b \in P$.

Comment. Note that the Δ^ξ -formulas from Theorem 10.1 are actually the evaluations of (10.10) at q^ξ . To be more exact, there is an $\mathcal{H}$ -homomorphism from $\mathcal{P}$ to the $\mathcal{H}'$ -module of functions on Δ^ξ . For instance, Theorem 10.1 can be deduced from Theorem 10.4. The formulas for the polynomial representation of the double affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}$ are nothing but the Demazure-Lusztig operations in the affine setting. $\square$

We are going to establish a Fourier-isomorphism $\Delta^\sharp \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ and generalize the Macdonald–Matsumoto inversion formula. We use the constant term functional on Laurent series and polynomials denoted by $\langle \rangle$.

The first step is to make both representations unitary using

$$(10.12) \quad \mu = \prod_{\tilde{\alpha} \in R^a} \frac{1 - X_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{1 - tX_{\tilde{\alpha}}}, \quad \mu^0 = \mu / \langle \mu \rangle, \\ \mu^1(\pi_b) = \mu(t^{-\pi_b(\rho)}) / \mu(t^{-\rho}), \quad \pi_b = bu_b^{-1}.$$

Here we treat μ as a Laurent series to define μ^0 . The coefficients of μ^0 are rational functions in terms of q, t . The values $\mu^1(\pi_b)$ are rational function in terms of $q, t^{1/2}$.

The corresponding pairings are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{pol} &= \langle f T_{w_0} w_0 (g(X^{-1}) \mu^0), f, g \in \mathcal{P}, \\ \langle \sum f_b \delta_b^\sharp, \sum g_b \delta_b^\sharp \rangle_{del} &= \sum (\mu^1(\pi_b))^{-1} f_b g_b. \end{aligned}$$

Here w_0 is the longest element in W . Note that the element $T_{w_0}^2$ is central in the nonaffine Hecke algebra H . Both pairings are well defined and symmetric. Let us give the formulas for the corresponding anti-involutions:

$$\begin{aligned} T_i &\mapsto T_i, X_b \mapsto X_b, T_0 \mapsto T_0, Y_b \mapsto T_{w_0} Y_{w_0(b)}^{-1} T_{w_0}^{-1} \quad \text{in } \Delta^+, \\ T_i &\mapsto T_i, Y_b \mapsto Y_b, T_0 \mapsto T_{s_\theta}^{-1} Y_\theta, X_b \mapsto T_{w_0}^{-1} X_{w_0(b)}^{-1} T_{w_0} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{P}, \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq i \leq n$, $b \in P$.

Theorem 10.5. (i) Given $f = \sum_b f_b \delta_b^\sharp \in \Delta^\sharp$, we set $\widehat{f} = \sum_b f_b \varepsilon_c^* \in \mathcal{P}$, where $X_b^* = X_b^{-1}$, $q^* = q^{-1}$, $t^* = t^{-1}$. The inversion of this transform is as follows:

$$(10.13) \quad f_b = t^{l(w_0)/2} (\mu^1(\pi_b))^{-1} \langle \widehat{f}, \varepsilon^* \rangle_{pol}.$$

(ii) The Plancherel formula reads as

$$(10.14) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{del} = t^{l(w_0)/2} \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle_{pol}.$$

Both pairings are positive definite over $\mathbb{R}$ if $t = q^k$, $q > 0$ and $k > -1/h$ for the Coxeter number $h = (\rho, \theta) + 1$.

(iii) The transform $f = \sum_b f_b \delta_b^\sharp \mapsto \widetilde{f} = \sum_b f_b^* \delta_b^\sharp$ is an involution: $\widetilde{(\widetilde{f})} = f$. To apply it for the second time, we need to replace ε_b^* by the corresponding δ -function $\sum_c \varepsilon_b^*(\pi_c) \mu^1(\pi_c) \delta_c^\sharp$.

Recall that ε_b^* becomes the Matsumoto spherical function ϕ_b in the limit $q \rightarrow \infty$ upon the substitution $X \mapsto \Lambda$. It is easy to calculate the limits of μ^0 and $\mu^1(\pi_b)$. We come to a variant of the Macdonald–Matsumoto formula. Claim (iii) has no counterpart in the p -adic theory. Technically, it is because the conjugation $*$ sends $q \mapsto q^{-1}$ and is not compatible with the limit $q \rightarrow \infty$. It is equivalent to the non- p -adic self-duality $\varepsilon_b(\pi_c) = \varepsilon_c(\pi_b)$ of the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials. The following theorem also has no p -adic counterpart because the Gaussian is missing.

Theorem 10.6. We set $\gamma(\pi_b) = q^{(\pi_b((k\rho)), \pi_b((k\rho)))/2}$, where $t = q^k$, use $\nu_\alpha = (\alpha, \alpha)/2$, and $\rho = (1/2) \sum_{\alpha > 0} \alpha$. For arbitrary $b, c \in P$,

$$(10.15) \quad \langle \varepsilon_b^*, \varepsilon_c^* \gamma \rangle_{del} = \gamma(\pi_0)^2 \gamma(\pi_b)^{-1} \gamma(\pi_c)^{-1} \varepsilon_c^*(\pi_b) \langle 1, \gamma \rangle_{del},$$

$$(10.16) \quad \langle 1, \gamma \rangle_{del} = \left(\sum_{a \in P} \gamma(\pi_a) \right) \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 - t^{(\rho, \alpha)} q^{j\nu_\alpha}}{1 - t^{(\rho, \alpha)-1} q^{j\nu_\alpha}} \right).$$

10.5. The A_1 -case. Let us use the Pieri formula (6.1) from Corollary 6.2 for X^{-1} and $m \geq 0$ upon the conjugation $*$:

$$(10.17) \quad X\varepsilon_{-m}^* = \frac{t^{-1/2-1}q^{-m-1} - t^{1/2}}{t^{-1}q^{-m-1} - 1} \varepsilon_{-m-1}^* - \frac{t^{-1/2} - t^{1/2}}{t^{-1}q^{-m-1} - 1} \varepsilon_{1+m}^*.$$

Under the limit $q \rightarrow \infty$, we get exactly (10.6) for $\phi_{-m}(\Lambda) \mapsto \varepsilon_{-m}^*(X)$:

$$(10.18) \quad X\varepsilon_{-m}^* = t^{1/2} \varepsilon_{-m-1}^* - (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}) \varepsilon_{m+1}^*.$$

The generalization is Theorem 10.4, (iii).

Also $\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \mu_k^0(x) = \frac{1-X}{1-tX}$. So the limit of the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{pol}$ reads as

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{pol}^\infty = \langle f T(g) \frac{1-X}{1-tX} \rangle, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Substituting m for $m\omega$ in the indices: $\Delta^\# = \oplus_m \mathbb{C} \delta_m^\#$. In the limit $q \rightarrow \infty$, the operators T and π act here as follows:

$$T\delta_m^\# = t^{1/2} \delta_{-m}^\#, \quad T\delta_{-m}^\# = t^{-1/2} \delta_m^\# + (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2}) \delta_{-m}^\#,$$

and $\pi\delta_m^\# = \delta_{1-m}^\#$ for $m \geq 0$.

Concerning $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{del}$, we use (7.9) for $m > 0$:

$$(10.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu^1(m_\#) &= \mu^1((1-m)_\#) = t^{-(m-1)} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{1-t^2q^j}{1-q^j} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{tq^{j/2} - t^{-1}q^{-j/2}}{q^{j/2} - q^{-j/2}} \rightarrow t^{m-1} \text{ as } q \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mu^1(m_\#) = \mu^1(\pi_{m\omega})$. Therefore the limit of this scalar product is simply

$$\langle \delta_m^\#, \delta_n^\# \rangle_{del}^\infty = \delta_{mn} \begin{cases} t^{1-m} & \text{if } m > 0, \\ t^m & \text{if } m \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Comment. The latter inner product is different from the classical one, which is calculated as follows. We define the pairing

$$(T_{\hat{w}}, T_{\hat{u}}) = \text{Constant Term } (T_{\hat{w}} T_{\hat{u}^{-1}})$$

on the affine Hecke algebra $\langle Y^{\pm 1}, T \rangle$, where the constant term is with respect to the decomposition via $T_{\hat{w}}$. It is simply $\delta_{\hat{u}, \hat{w}}$. Then we switch to $\delta_{\hat{w}} = t^{-l(\hat{w})/2} T_{\hat{w}}$ and finally calculate (δ_m^+, δ_n^+) , which is $\delta_{mn} t^{-|m|} / (1+t)$. $\square$

The Fourier transform $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ from Theorem 10.5 is compatible with the limit $q \rightarrow \infty$. The inversion formula (10.13) and the Plancherel formula (10.14) survive as well. We get a minor reformulation of the Matsumoto formulas. Upon symmetrization, T disappears from the inversion formula and we come to the Macdonald inversion.

What is completely missing in the limit is (10.15). One of the main applications of the double Hecke algebra is adding the Gaussian to the classical p -adic theory. Technically, one does not need $\mathcal{H}$ to do this. The ξ -deformation of the Iwahori-Matsumoto formulas (Theorem 10.1) is the main tool. Its justification is elementary. It is surprising that it had not been discovered well before the double Hecke algebras were introduced.

REFERENCES

- [An] edited by G. E. Andrews et al, *Ramanujan Revisited*, Academic Press Inc., 1988.
- [Ao] K. Aomoto, On product formula for Jackson integrals associated with root systems, preprint 1994.
- [AI] R. Askey, and M.E.H. Ismail, A generalization of ultraspherical polynomials, in *Studies in Pure Mathematics* (ed. P. Erdős), Birkhäuser (1983), 55–78.
- [Bi] J. Birman, On Braid groups, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, **22** (1969), 41–72.
- [Bo] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Ch. 4–6, Hermann, Paris, 1969.
- [C1] I. Cherednik, Double affine Hecke algebras and Macdonald’s conjectures, *Annals of Mathematics* 141 (1995), 191–216.
- [C2] ———, Macdonald’s evaluation conjectures and difference Fourier transform, *Inventiones Math.* 122 (1995), 119–145.
- [C3] ———, Intertwining operators of double affine Hecke algebras, *Selecta Math. New ser.* 3 (1997), 459–495.
- [C4] ———, Nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials, *IMRN* 10 (1995), 483–515.
- [C5] ———, Difference Macdonald–Mehta conjecture, *IMRN* 10 (1997), 449–467.

- [C6] ———, From double Hecke algebra to analysis , *Doc.Math.J.DMV, Extra Volume* ICM 1998,II, 527–531.
- [C7] ———, One-dimensional double Hecke algebras and Gaussian sums , *Duke Math. J.* 108:3 (2001), 511–538.
- [C8] ———, Inverse Harish–Chandra transform and difference operators *IMRN* 15 (1997), 733–750.
- [C9] ——— On q -analogues of Riemann’s zeta function *Sel.math., New ser.* 7 (2001), 1–44.
- [C10] ———, Double affine Hecke algebras and difference Fourier transforms, *Inventiones Math.*, (2002).
- [C11] ——— Lectures on Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations and Hecke algebras *MSJ Memoirs* 1 (1998).
- [C12] ———, Double affine Hecke algebras, Knizhnik- Zamolodchikov equations, and Macdonald’s operators, *IMRN (Duke M.J.)* 9 (1992), 171–180.
- [CM] ———, and Y. Markov, *Hankel transform via double Hecke algebra* , Lecture Notes in Math., 2002.
- [Cha] K. Chandrasekharan, *Elliptic Functions*, A series of Comprehensive Studies in Math. 281, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1985.
- [DS] J.F. van Diejen, and J.V. Stokman, Multivariable q -Racah polynomials. *Duke Math. J.* 91 (1998), 89–136.
- [D] C.F. Dunkl, Hankel transforms associated to finite reflection groups, *Contemp. Math.* 138 (1992), 123–138.
- [Ed] H.M. Edwards, *Riemann’s Zeta Function*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1974.
- [Ev] R.J. Evans, The evaluation of Selberg character sums, *L’Enseignement Math.* 37 (1991), 235–248.
- [J] M.F.E. de Jeu, The Dunkl transform , *Invent. Math.* 113 (1993), 147–162.
- [HO1] G.J. Heckman, and E.M. Opdam, Root systems and hypergeometric functions I, *Comp. Math.* 64 (1987), 329–352.
- [HO2] ———, and ———, Harmonic analysis for affine Hecke algebras, preprint (1996).
- [He] S. Helgason, *Groups and Geometric Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1984.
- [Io] B. Ion, Involutions of double affine Hecke algebras, preprint QA/0111010 (2001).
- [K] V.G. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras* , Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [KL1] D. Kazhdan, and G. Lusztig, Proof of the Deligne-Langlands conjecture for Hecke algebras, *Invent.Math.* 87 (1987), 153–215.
- [KL2] D. Kazhdan, and G. Lusztig, Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras. III, *J. of AMS* 7 (1994), 335–381.
- [Ki] A. Kirillov, Jr., Inner product on conformal blocks and Macdonald’s polynomials at roots of unity , preprint (1995).
- [KnS] F. Knop, and S. Sahi, A recursion and a combinatorial formula for Jack polynomials, *Inventiones Math.*, (1997).

- [KS1] E. Koelink, and J. Stokman, The big q -Jacobi function transform , *Publ. IRMA (Univ. Lois Pasteur)*, 1999/23 (1999).
- [KS2] ———, and ———, The Askey-Wilson function transform, preprint, CA/0004053 (2000).
- [Luk] J. Luke, *Integrals of Bessel Functions*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York-Toronto-London, 1962.
- [L] G. Lusztig, Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version, *J. of the AMS* 2:3(1989), 599–685.
- [M1] I.G. Macdonald, Some conjectures for root systems, *SIAM J.Math. Anal.* 13:6 (1982), 988–1007.
- [M2] ———, Orthogonal polynomials associated with root systems, preprint (1988).
- [M3] ———, Affine Hecke algebras and orthogonal polynomials, *Séminaire Bourbaki* 47:797 (1995), 01–18.
- [M4] ———, A formal identity for affine root systems, preprint (1996).
- [M5] ———, Affine root systems and Dedekind’s η -function, *Inventiones Math.* 15 (1972), 91–143.
- [Mat] H. Matsumoto, *Analyse harmonique dans les systemés de Tits bornologiques de type affine*, Lecture Notes in Math. 590, 1977.
- [O1] E.M. Opdam, Some applications of hypergeometric shift operators, *Invent.Math.* 98 (1989), 1–18.
- [O2] ———, Harmonic analysis for certain representations of graded Hecke algebras, *Acta Math.* 175 (1995), 75–121.
- [O3] ———, A generating function for the trace of the Iwahori–Hecke algebra, preprint math. RT/0101006 (2001).
- [O4] ———, On the spectral decomposition of affine Hecke algebras, preprint math. RT/0101007 (2001).
- [Po] M.P. Polishchuk, Appell’s function and vector bundles of rank 2 on elliptic curve, preprint math.AG/9810084.
- [Ve] E. Verlinde, Fusion rules and modular transformations in $2D$ conformal field theory, *Nucl. Phys. B* 300(FS22) (1987), 360–376.

(I. Cherednik) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNC CHAPEL HILL, NC 27599, USA, CHERED@MATH.UNC.EDU

(V. Ostrik) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIT, 77 MASS.AVE, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139, USA, OSTRIK@MATH.MIT.EDU